Electronic Supplementary Information

A novel strategy for the design of smart supramolecular gels: control

the stimuli-response properties through competitive coordination of

two different metal ions

Qi Lin,* Bin Sun,[‡] Qing-Ping Yang,[‡] Yong-Peng Fu, Xin Zhu, Tai-Bao Wei and You-Ming Zhang*

Key Laboratory of Eco-Environment-Related Polymer Materials, Ministry of Education of China, Key Laboratory of Polymer Materials of Gansu Province, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, Gansu, 730070, P. R. China.

Table of Contents

Materials and instruments

Synthesis of gelator G

Scheme S1. The synthetic route to organogelator G.

Characterization of G

Scheme S2. Chemical structure of the G and the presumed self-assembly and stimuliresponsive mechanism.

Table S1. Gelation Property of Organogelator G.

Fig. S1 (a) Plots of critical gelation concentrations (CGCs) of G in the different solvents. (b) Plots of T_{gel} against the concentrations of organogel OG and metallogels CaG and CaFeG in ethanol

Fig. S2 Photographs of organogel of G in ethanol (1% w/v) and organogels of G in the presence of various metal ions

Fig. S3 Fluorescence spectra of organogel of G (OG) in ethanol (1% w/v) and organogels of G in the presence of various metal ions.

Fig. S4 Fluorescence spectra of organogel OG, metallogels CaG, FeG and CaFeG

Fig. S5 Temperature-dependent fluorescent spectra of the CaG during gelation process.

Fig. S6 Fluorescence spectra of CaFeG with increasing concentration of H₂PO₄⁻

Fig. S7 Partial 1H NMR spectra of G in CDCl3 with different concentrations and partial 1H NMR spectra of G mixed with 1 equiv. of Ca^{2+} in CDCl₃.

Fig. S8 FT-IR spectra of powdered G and xerogel of organogel OG

Fig. S9 FT-IR spectra of powdered G and xerogel of metallogel CaG and CaFeG

Fig. S10 SEM images of xerogel of OG, CaG, CaFeG and CaFeG xerogel treated with $H_2PO_4^-$ in situ.

Fig. S11 Powder XRD patterns of xerogel of **OG**, **CaG**, **CaFeG** and **CaFeG** xerogel treated with H₂PO₄-

Materials and instruments

All reagents and starting materials were obtained from commercial suppliers and used as received unless otherwise noted. All anions were used as sodium salts while all cations were used as the perchlorate salts, which were purchased from Alfa Aesar and used as received. Fresh double distilled water was used throughout the experiment. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded on Varian Mercury 400 and Varian Inova 600 instruments. Mass spectra were recorded on a Bruker Esquire 6000 MS instrument. The X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD) was performed in a transmission mode with a Rigaku RINT2000 diffractometer equipped with graphite monochromated CuKa radiation ($\lambda = 1.54073$ Å). The morphologies and sizes of the xerogels were characterized using field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM, JSM-6701F) at an accelerating voltage of 8 kV. The infrared spectra were performed on a Digilab FTS-3000 Fourier transform-infrared spectrophotometer. Melting points were measured on an X-4 digital melting-point apparatus (uncorrected). Fluorescence spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu RF-5301PC spectrofluorophotometer. Elemental analyses were performed by Thermo Scientific Flash 2000 organic elemental analyzer.

Synthesis of gelator G

Compounds 3,4,5-tris(hexadecyloxy)benzo-hydrazide were synthesized according to literatures methods.¹ **G** was synthesized as follow: 1-naphthaldehyde (1 mmol), 3,4,5-tris(hexadecyloxy)benzohydrazide (1 mmol) and acetic acid (0.1 mL, as a catalyst) were added to ethanol (20 mL). Then the reaction mixture was stirred under

refluxed conditions for 24 hours, after removing the solvent, yielding the precipitate of **G**. Recrystallized with $CHCl_3-C_2H_5OH$ to get solid of **G**.



Scheme S1. The synthetic route to organogelator G.

Characterization of G: Yield: 75%, m.p. 89-91°C ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ , 9.77 (s, 1H,-NH), 9.04 (s, 1H, -N=CH), 8.85 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H, -ArH), 7.99 (s, 1H, ArH), 7.87 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, -ArH), 7.51-7.45 (m, 3H, -ArH), 7.11 (s, 2H, -ArH), 3.98 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 6H, -OCH₂), 1.77 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 6H, -OCH₂CH₂), 1.43~1.25 (m, 72H, -CH₂), 0.88 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 9H, -CH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): 166.95, 152.78, 142.26, 141.34, 107.87, 133.80, 131.10, 130.71, 129.06, 128.82, 127.74, 127.37, 127.00, 126.19, 125.26, 124.60, 107.87, 105.91, 105.12, 73.56, 73.45, 69.39, 69.11, 68.92, 31.93, 30.34, 30.23, 29.73, 29.67, 29.38, 29.28, 29.17, 26.09, 22.70, 14.13. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) *v*: 3450 (N-H), 1717(C=O), 1649 (C=N); MS-ESI calcd for C₆₆H₁₁₁N₂O₄ [**G** + H]⁺: 995.8544; found: 995.8096. Elemental analysis calcd for C₆₆H₁₁₀N₂O₄: **C**, 79.62; H, 11.14; N, 2.81; found: **C**, 79.51; H, 11.23; N, 2.69.

Reference:

 1. Y.-P. Fu, Q. Lin, T.-B. Wei, P. Chen, X. Zhu, X. Liu, Y.-M. Zhang, Chem.

 Reagents,
 2013,
 35,
 367-368.



Scheme S2. The presumed self-assembly and stimuli-responsive mechanism of OG and metallogel.

Entry	Solvent	State ^a	CGC ^b	T_{gel}^{c} (°C, wt%)
1	Cyclohexane	S	\	\
2	Toluene	S	\	\
3	Petroleum ether	Р	\	\
4	THF	S	\	\
5	Chloroform	S	\	\
6	Dichloromethae	F	\	\
7	Acetone	F	\	\
8	Acetonitrile	S	\	\
9	DMF	G	0.6	45(1%)
10	DMSO	Р	\	\
11	Methanol	F	\	\
12	Ethanol	G	0.4	69(1%)
13	Ethanediol	F	\	\
14	Propyl alcohol	G	0.5	50(1%)
15	Isopropanol	F	\	\
16	<i>n</i> -Butyl alcohol	G	0.6	60(1%)
17	<i>n</i> -Amyl alcohol	PG	\	\
18	Isoamyl alcohol	G	0.8	52(1%)
19	Hexyl alcohol	PG	\	\

 Table S1 . Gelation Property of Organogelator G.

^a G, P, F, PG, and S denote gelation, precipitation, fluid, partial gelation and solution, respectively, c = 1%.

^b The critical gelation concentration (wt%, 10mg/mL = 1%).

° The gelation temperature (°C).



Fig. S1 (a) Plots of critical gelation concentrations (CGCs) of **G** in the different solvents. (b) Plots of T_{gel} against the concentrations of organogel **OG** and metallogels **CaG** and **CaFeG** in ethanol (for **CaG**, **G** : Ca²⁺ = 1 : 1; for **CaFeG**, **G** : Fe³⁺ : Ca²⁺ = 1 : 2 : 1).



Fig.S2 Photographs of organogel of **G** in ethanol (1% w/v) and organogels of **G** in the presence of various metal ions (in ethanol, 1%, w/v, using their perchlorate salts as the sources, **G**: cation =1 : 1) under (a) room (b) UV light.



Fig.S3 Fluorescence spectra of organogel of **G** (**OG**) in ethanol (1%, w/v) and organogels of **G** in the presence of various metal ions (in ethanol, 1%, w/v, using their perchlorate salts as the sources, **G**: cation =1 : 1, $\lambda_{ex} = 350$ nm).



Fig. S4 Fluorescence spectra of organogel **OG** (1%, in ethanol), metallogels **CaG**, **FeG** and **CaFeG** (1%, in ethanol, for **CaG**, **G** : $Ca^{2+} = 1 : 1$; for **FeG**, **G** : $Fe^{3+} = 1 : 1$; for **CaFeG**, **G** : $Fe^{3+} : Ca^{2+} = 1 : 2 : 1$).



Fig. S5 Temperature-dependent fluorescent spectra of the CaG during gelation process.



Fig. S6 UV-vis spectra of the xerogel films of **OG** (obtained from 1 % ethanol organogel); **CaG** (obtained from 1% ethanol metallogel, **CaG**, **G** : $Ca^{2+} = 1 : 1$); **CaFeG** (obtained from 1% ethanol metallogel, **CaFeG**, **G** : $Fe^{3+} : Ca^{2+} = 1 : 2 : 1$); **FeG** (obtained from 1% ethanol metallogel, **FeG**, **G** : $Fe^{3+} = 1 : 2$);**CaFeG** xerogel treated with H₂PO₄⁻ in situ.



Fig. S7 Fluorescence spectra of CaFeG with increasing concentration of H₂PO₄⁻



Fig. S8 (a-c) Partial ¹H NMR spectra of **G** in CDCl₃ with different concentrations. (d) Partial ¹H NMR spectra of **G** mixed with 1 equiv. of Ca^{2+} in CDCl₃.



Fig. S9 FT-IR spectra of powdered G and xerogel of organogel OG (obtained from 1 % ethanol organogel).



Fig. S10 FT-IR spectra of powdered **G** and xerogel of metallogel **CaG** (obtained from 1% ethanol metallogel, **CaG**, **G** : $Ca^{2+} = 1 : 1$) and **CaFeG** (obtained from 1% ethanol metallogel, **CaFeG**, **G** : $Fe^{3+} : Ca^{2+} = 1 : 2 : 1$).



Fig. S11 SEM images of xerogel of (a) **OG** (obtained from 1 % ethanol organogel) (b) **CaG** (obtained from 1% ethanol metallogel, **CaG**, **G** : $Ca^{2+} = 1 : 1$) (c) **CaFeG** (obtained from 1% ethanol metallogel, **CaFeG**, **G** : $Fe^{3+} : Ca^{2+} = 1 : 2 : 1$); (d) **CaFeG** xerogel treated with H₂PO₄⁻ in situ.



Fig. S12 Powder XRD patterns of xerogel of **OG** (obtained from 1 % ethanol organogel), **CaG**, **CaFeG** (obtained from 1% ethanol metallogel, for **CaG**, **G** : $Ca^{2+} = 1 : 1$; for **CaFeG**, **G** : $Fe^{3+} : Ca^{2+} = 1 : 2 : 1$) and **CaFeG** xerogel treated with H₂PO₄⁻ (5 equiv., using 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaH₂PO₄ water solution as the H₂PO₄⁻ sources).