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## A. Computational details

The molecular structures of the three donor/acceptor group substituted phenyl polymethines are referred to as NIRF-0, NIRF-1 and NIRF-2 and were optimized using density functional theory with the B3LYP exchange-correlation functional together with the $6-311+\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set using the Gaussian09 package. ${ }^{1}$ The geometry optimization was performed for the molecules in vacuo as well as in solution (dichloromethane). In the latter case, the PCM method was used. The one- and two-photon absorption spectra calculations in both vacuo and solution (on the respective geometries in vacuo and solution) were carried out using the CAM-B3LYP ${ }^{2}$ functional and the TZVP ${ }^{3}$ basis set employed for all atoms. For the calculations in solution the Polarizable Continuum Model ${ }^{4}$ was used and the solute cavity was based on the UAHF model ${ }^{5}$ The one- and two photon absorption properties were computed using linear and quadratic response theory within time-dependent density functional, respectively. All property calculations were performed using a locally modified version of the DALTON program ${ }^{6}$.

The two-photon absorption cross section, $\sigma^{2 \mathrm{PA}}(\omega)$ in Göppert-Mayer units was computed using the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{2 \mathrm{PA}}(2 \omega)=\frac{4 \pi^{3} \alpha a_{0}^{5} \omega^{2}}{c} g(2 \omega) \delta^{2 \mathrm{PA}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is the fine structure constant, $\mathrm{a}_{0}$ is the Bohr radius, $c$ is the speed of light, $\omega$ is the energy of the photon, $\mathrm{g}(2 \omega)$ is the line shape function and $\delta^{2 \mathrm{PA}}$ is the two-photon transition rate in atomic units. For the line shape function corresponding to a transition to a final state $f$, we assume a Lorentzian function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(2 \omega)=\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{f}}{\left(\omega_{f}-2 \omega\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{f}\right)^{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In all calculations we used $\Gamma_{f}=0.1 \mathrm{eV} .{ }^{7}$

## B. Three-state model

A three-state model (TSM), which is a special case of a generalized few-state model suggested by Alam et al..$^{8,9}$, considers three electronic states: the ground $(|0\rangle)$, intermediate $(|i\rangle)$ and final $(|f\rangle)$ states. The excited state $|f\rangle$ is also included as an intermediate in this model. The twophoton absorption probability corresponding to excitation from the ground to the final excited state is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{3 S M}^{f \leftarrow 0}=\delta^{i i}+\delta^{f f}+2 \delta^{i f} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{i i}=\frac{4}{15}\left(\frac{\left|\mu^{0 i}\right|\left|\mu^{i f}\right|}{\omega_{i}-\frac{1}{2} \omega_{f}}\right)^{2}\left(2 \cos ^{2}\left(\theta_{i f}^{0 i}\right)+1\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\delta^{f f}=\frac{16}{15}\left(\frac{\left|\mu^{0 f}\right|\left|\mu^{f f}\right|}{\omega_{f}}\right)^{2}\left(2 \cos ^{2}\left(\theta_{f f}^{0 f}\right)+1\right)  \tag{5}\\
\delta^{i f}=\frac{8}{15}\left(\frac{\left|\mu^{0 i}\right|\left|\mu^{0 f}\right|\left|\mu^{i f}\right|\left|\mu^{f f}\right|}{\omega_{f}\left(\omega_{i}-\frac{1}{2} \omega_{f}\right)}\right)\left(\cos \theta_{0 f}^{f f} \cos \theta_{0 i}^{i f}+\cos \theta_{0 f}^{0 i} \cos \theta_{f f}^{i f}+\cos \theta_{0 f}^{i f} \cos \theta_{0 i}^{f f}\right) . \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $\hbar \omega_{i}$ stands for the excitation energy from the ground state to the excited state $|i\rangle$, $\mu^{i j}=\langle i| \vec{\mu}|j\rangle$ and $\theta_{i j}^{k l}$ is the angle between (transition) dipole moments $\mu^{i j}$ and $\mu^{k l}$.

## C. Additional data

Table 1: Bond lengths (in $\AA$ ) and BLA for NIRF-0, NIRF-1 and NIRF-2 in dichloromethane solvent. C 1 refers to the polymethine carbon connected to the phenyl group while C 7 refers to the carbon atom connected to the cyano groups and the intermediate carbons are labeled accordingly.

| Probe $\Rightarrow$ | NIRF-0 | NIRF-1 | NIRF-2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atom pairs $\Downarrow$ |  |  |  |
| C1-C2 | 1.4224 | 1.4279 | 1.4322 |
| C2-C3 | 1.3836 | 1.3768 | 1.3737 |
| C3-C4 |  | 1.4066 | 1.4122 |
| C4-C5 | 1.3875 | 1.3807 |  |
| C5-C6 |  |  | 1.4040 |
| C6-C7 | 1.3544 |  | 1.3894 |
| N-C | 1.4202 | 1.3577 | 1.3603 |
| C-CCN | $(1.4235)$ | 1.4200 | 1.4195 |
|  | 0.04 | 0.07 | $(1.4183)$ |
| BLA |  |  | 0.10 |

Table 2: Ground and excited-state dipole moments (in Debyes) for NIRF-0 as obtained from CAM-B3LYP and RI-CC2 levels of theory using the TZVPP basis set. The calculations were carried out at the optimized gas phase geometry (B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) level of theory). RICC2 calculations were performed using the TURBOMOLE 6.4 program. ${ }^{10}$

| State | CAM-B3LYP | RI-CC2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 11.16 | 10.82 |
| 1 | 15.16 | 17.83 |
| 2 | 12.09 | 11.41 |
| 3 | 16.36 | 17.07 |
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