## 1 Electronic Supplementary Information

2 Pt nanospheres grown paper working electrode based electrochemical device for
3 in-situ and real-time determination of the flux of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> releasing from SK-BR-3

- 4 cancer cells
- 5 Fang Liu<sup>a</sup>, Shenguang Ge<sup>b</sup>, Jinghua Yu<sup>a,\*</sup>, Mei Yan, Xianrang Song<sup>c</sup>
- 6

### 7 Materials and methods

#### 8 Reagents

All chemicals and solvents used here were aseptic after heat sterilization 9 treatment. Chloroplatinic acid (H<sub>2</sub>PtCl<sub>6</sub>) was obtained from Shanghai Chemical 10 Reagent Company (Shanghai, China). Catalase (from bovine liver, lyophilized 11 12 powder, 2000-5000 U/ mg, Sigma), phorbol myristate acetate (PMA, ~99%, Sigma), N-formylmethionyl-leucylphenylalanine (fMLP, ≥97%, Sigma), adenosine 5'-13 diphosphate (ADP,  $\geq$ 95%, Sigma), ascorbic acid (AA,  $\geq$ 99.0%, Sigma), Solutions 14 of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> were freshly diluted from the 30% solution, and their concentrations were 15 16 determined using a standard KMnO<sub>4</sub> solution. The S6 aptamer with high specificity for SK-BR-3 cells was synthesized and purified by Shanghai Sangon Biotechnology 17 Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China). 18

The human breast cancer cells SK-BR-3 were provided by Shandong Tumor
 Hospital. The sequence of S6 aptamer was 5'-NH<sub>2</sub>-TGGATGGGGGAGAT
 CCGTTGAGTAAGCGGGCGTGTCTCTCTGCCGCCTTGCTATGGGGG-3'. Calcein

acetoxymethyl ester (AM) and fluorescein isothiocyanate labeled annexin-V (FITCannexin-V) were purchased from Beijing Biosynthesis Biotechnology Co. Ltd.
(Beijing, China).

0.1 M phosphate buffer solution (PBS, pH 7.4) was employed as the supporting 25 electrolyte. Carbon Ag/AgCl ink (CNC-01) were 26 ink (ED423ss) and purchased from Acheson. Whatman chromatography paper #1 (58.0 cm  $\times$  68.0 cm) 27 (pure cellulose paper) was obtained from GE Healthcare Worldwide 28 (Pudong, Shanghai, China) and used with further adjustment of size (A4 size). 29

## 30 Apparatus

Scanning electron microscope (SEM) analyses were performed using QUANTA 31 FEG 250 thermal field emission scanning electron microscopy (FEI Co., USA). 32 Electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) were performed on a CHI 604D 33 electrochemical workstation (Shanghai CH Instruments Inc., China). Electrochemical 34 measurements were carried out with a homemade EC system. The current was 35 measured on a CHI 660D electrochemical workstation (Shanghai Chenhua Apparatus 36 Corporation, China) with a three-electrode system, whereas the modified PWE with a 37 diameter of 6.0 mm was used as the working electrode, screen-printed carbon 38 electrode and Ag/AgCl electrode were used as the counter electrode and the reference 39 electrode, respectively. 40

## 41 **Preparation of Pt nanoparticles**

42 Pt naoparticles were prepared according to a facile method described as follows.
43 H<sub>2</sub>PtCl<sub>6</sub> and trisodium citrate solutions were filtered through a 0.22-μm microporous

44 membrane filter prior to use, and then 3.0 mL of 1% trisodium citrate was added to 20 45 mL of boiling 0.05% H<sub>2</sub>PtCl<sub>6</sub> solution and stirred for 30 min at the boiling point. The 46 final Pt naoparticles prepared by this method have an average diameter of ~5 nm.

### 47 Fabrication of Pt-PWE

Prior to the S6 aptamer immobilization, growth of Pt layer on the surfaces of 48 cellulose fibers in the paper working zone of PWE was implemented to fabricate a 49 novel Pt-PWE with enlarged effective surface area and enhanced electrocatalytic 50 reduction property for sensitive H2O2 sensing. This novel Pt-PWE was fabricated on 51 this 3D origami electrochemical device through a direct chemical reduction of 52 H<sub>2</sub>PtCl<sub>6</sub> by AA. Fist, as-prepared Pt nanoparticle seeds solution (15.0 µL) was 53 dropped into the bare PWE. Then the origami device was equilibrated at room 54 temperature for 1 h to optimize the surface immobilization of Pt nanoparticle seeds on 55 rinsing with water thoroughly to remove loosely bound Pt cellulose fibers. After 56 nanoparticle seeds, freshly prepared silver-growth solution (15.0 µL) containing 57 0.50 mM H<sub>2</sub>PtCl<sub>6</sub> and 0.25 mM AA was applied into the Pt nanoparticles seeded 58 PWE, respectively, and incubated at room temperature for 4 min. During the growth 59 process, the Pt nanoparticle seeds acted as catalysts for the reduction of H<sub>2</sub>PtCl<sub>6</sub> by 60 AA, resulting in the enhancement of the Pt nanoparticle seeds. Subsequently, the 61 resulting Pt-PWEs were washed with water thoroughly. Thus a layer of 62 interconnected Pt nanoparticle on cellulose fibers with good conductivity was 63 obtained (Scheme 1B), which was dried at room temperature for 20 min. 64

65 Cell culture

The SK-BR-3 human breast cancer cells were obtained from Shandong Tumor 66 Hospital. Breast cancer cells were maintained in dulbecco's modified eagle medium 67 (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. 68 Cultures were maintained at 37 °C and in 5% CO2 atmosphere. The culture medium 69 was changed every other day and the cells were passaged when they reached 80-90% 70 confluency. For cancer cell electrochemical measurement, cells were placed inside a 71 12-well plate. When cultured for two days, cancer cells were digested by pancreatic 72 enzymes and dispersed in PBS. After being blown well, cancer cells were counted by 73 a Petroff- Hausser cell counter (U.S.A.). 74



75 Fabrication and characterization of this 3D origami EC device

76

Scheme S1. (A) The schematic representation, size, and shape of this  $\mu$ -PAD. (B) One side of the  $\mu$ -PAD with the screen-printed reference and counter electrode; (C) The reverse side of (B) with the screen-printed working electrode.

80

81 The preparation of this μ-PAD was similarly to our previous work [1] with large
82 modifications and a detailed procedure was described below. This origami device was

comprised of a square sample tab (Scheme S1A, 15.0 mm  $\times$  15.0 mm) and a square 83 auxiliary tab (Scheme S1B,  $15.0 \text{ mm} \times 15.0 \text{ mm}$ ). An angle of the square auxiliary tab 84 was cut off for exposure of the contact pad of screen-printed carbon working 85 electrode (Scheme S1B). The entire origami device could be produced in bulk on an 86 A4 paper sheet by a commercially available solid-wax printer (Xerox Phaser 8560N 87 color printer). Owing to the porous structure of paper, the melted wax can penetrate 88 into the paper network to decrease the hydrophilicity of paper remarkably while the 89 unprinted area (paper auxiliary zone and paper sample zone) still maintained good 90 hydrophilicity, flexibility, and porous structure and will not affect the further screen-91 printing of electrodes and modifications [2]. 92

Between the sample tab and auxiliary tab, the unprinted line (1 mm in width) 93 was defined as fold line (Scheme S1B). The unprinted hydrophilic area (paper 94 auxiliary zone and paper sample zone) constituted the reservoir of the paper 95 electrochemical cell (~40  $\mu$ L) after being folded at the predefined fold line. Then, the 96 wax-penetrated paper sheet was ready for screen-printing of electrode containing the 97 wire and contact pad on its corresponding paper zone (Scheme S1B, C). The electrode 98 array consisted of a screen-printed Ag/AgCl reference electrode and carbon counter 99 electrode on the auxiliary zone (Scheme S1A) and screen-printed carbon working 100 electrode (6 mm in diameter) on the reverse side of paper sample zone (Scheme S1B), 101 respectively. After folding (Scheme S1C), the three screen-printed electrodes will be 102 connected once the paper electrochemical cell was filled with solution. 103

# 104 Electrical resistivity of Pt nanospheres grown paper sample zone

To measure the resistivity of Pt nanospheres grown paper sample zone exactly, 105 106 rectangular paper zones (1.0 mm×20.0 mm) were fabricated by wax-printing and then were modified through the growth of dense Pt nanospheres conducting layer on 107 the surfaces of cellulose fibers in these rectangular paper zones using the same 108 method described in experimental section under the same experimental conditions in 109 each case, the average electrical resistivity of which revealed the electrical resistivity 110 of Pt nanospheres grown paper sample zone in this work. Prior to the measurements, 111 both the bare and Pt nanospheres grown rectangular paper zones were dried in a 112 drying oven for 3 h. Then the measurements were performed with a four-point 113 measurement setup using a digital multi-meter (Agilent, U1251B). 114

# 115 Detection of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> standard solution at our cancer cell immobilized Pt-PWE

116 Our work aimed at developing a biosensor for monitoring the release process and measuring the flux of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> from cells. To evaluate the possibility of the biosensor 117 used in this purpose, the current responses of our modified Pt-PWEs toward the 118 various concentrations of  $H_2O_2$  were studied. And the potential swept from 0 to -0.6119 V with scan rate of 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup> after 10  $\mu$ L of PBS buffer solution (pH 7.4) was added. 120 PBS buffer containing different concentrations of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> standard solution (early 121 122 determined using a standard KMnO<sub>4</sub> solution) were used in this experiment as detection solution. At the absence of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, the current response of the modified 123 porous Pt-PWE is weak. Upon addition of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> with increasing concentrations, the 124 intensity of the cathodic current of the modified porous Pt-PWE increases gradually. 125

126 Characterizations of Pt-PWE



Fig. S1 TEM image of Fig. S1 TEM image of the as-prepared Pt nanoparticle seeds (A); SEM
images of bare paper sample zone of PWE (B); Growth of Pt nanospheres layer on the surfaces
of cellulose fibers in paper sample zone of PWE under different magnification: (C, D, E); XPS of
Pt nanospheres modified paper sample zone (F).

131

The PWE was modified through the growth of a Pt nanospheres layer on the 132 surfaces of cellulose fibers in paper sample zone from Pt nanoparticle seeds to 133 fabricate this novel Pt-PWE. Fig. 1SA showed the TEM image of the as-prepared Pt 134 nanoparticle seeds with the average diameter of about 5 nm. As shown in Fig. 1SB, 135 the porous bare paper sample possessed high ratio of surface area to weight with 136 rough cellulose fibers, which could offer an excellent adsorption microenvironment 137 for the Pt nanoparticles. After Pt nanoparticles were successfully seeded and grown 138 on the cellulose fiber, a continuous and dense Pt nanospheres conducting layer on the 139 cellulose fiber surfaces was observed (Fig. 1SC, D ). The enlarged image of Pt 140 nanospheres grown cellulose fiber was shown in Fig. 1SE, showing the uniform 141

growth of Pt nanospheres. This indicated that a good coverage of Pt nanospheres on 142 the surfaces of the cellulose fibers was obtained using our simple growth method. The 143 Pt nanospheres grown paper sample zone maintained good 3D interwoven and 144 incompact cellulose fibers networks structure after the growth process, which would 145 facilitate the area-to-volume ratio as well as increase the catalytic active sites to 146 obtain better electrochemical performance. In addition, the successful modification of 147 Pt nanospheres on cellulose fibers was confirmed by X-ray photoelectron 148 spectroscopy (XPS) (Fig. 1SF) and the peaks observed at 73 eV were ascribed to 149 150 metallic Pt.

#### 151 Optimization of experiment conditions

To achieve the optimal analytical properties of the sensing system, pH and ionic strength of assay solution on the sensitivity of the sensor were investigated (Fig. 2S). Fig. 2SA shows the dependence of currents on pH of PBS. An optimal current was obtained at pH 7.4 PBS. A higher or lower pH resulted in the decrease of catalytic currents. In addition, as shown in Fig. 2SB, the current value increased with the increment of ionic strength of assay solution and tended to level off after 10 mM PBS. Thus, a pH 7.4 PBS (10 mM) was chosen as the supporting electrolyte.



Fig. 2S Current signal dependence of the Pt-PWE based μ-PADs electrochemical H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> sensor on
(A) different pH of PBS from 5.0 to 10.0; (B) different ionic strength of the assay solution in 1mM,
5 mM, 10 mM, 15 mM and 20 mM PBS solution, respectively.

163

174

# 164 The H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> standard solution concentration-dependent electrocatalytic current

According to the selectivity of results, 10 mM PBS (pH 7.4) was chosen as the 165 supporting electrolyte. Then, under the optimal conditions, our constructed cancer 166 cells immobilized Pt-PWEs were employed to obtain the varied current values 167 towards various concentrations of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Fig. S3A). The current increased gradually 168 with an increasing concentration of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. When the concentration of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> exceeded 169 1.0 mM, the current response became steady (Fig. S3B). The current displays a good 170 171 linear increase with  $H_2O_2$  in the range 0.10 nM – 1.0 mM, and the calibration equation between the current (I) and the  $H_2O_2$  concentration (c) is expressed as follows: I 172  $(\mu A)=2.2591+1.3189 \text{ lg}c \text{ (nM)}, R^2=0.9989.$ 173



Fig. S3 The current responses at -0.6 V of the cancer cells immobilized Pt-PWE towards various
concentrations of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in 10 mM PBS (pH 7.4) (A); calibration curve for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> determination (B).

## 178 The cell concentration-dependent electrocatalytic current

179 Under the optimal conditions, we also have investigated the influence of the cell concentration on the electrode to the electrical signal of the releasing H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. And our 180 modified Pt-PWEs were incubated with various concentrations of SK-BR-3 cells. 181 Subsequently, cells were stimulated by PMA (100 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>), and the varied current 182 183 responses were recorded in Fig. S4. The current increases gradually with an increasing concentration of SK-BR-3 cells (Fig. S4A) and the current displays a good 184 linear increase with the logarithm value of cell concentration cells  $H_2O_2$  in the range 185 of  $1 \times 10^2$  to  $5 \times 10^6$  cells mL<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. S4B). The linear relation is not applicative when 186 the cell concentration exceed  $5 \times 10^6$  cells mL<sup>-1</sup>. As cell is a nonconductive 187 biomacromolecule and may obstruct electron transfer and mass trans-port of the 188 electrochemical probe. The calibration equation between the current (I) and the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> 189 190 concentration (c) is expressed as follows:  $I (\mu A) = -1.3935 + 1.2795 \lg_{c_{SK-BR-3}}$  (cells 191 mL<sup>-1</sup>),  $R^2 = 0.9987$ .



193 Fig S4 Relationship between current and SK-BR-3 cells concentration, each point is the average194 of five measurements (A); logarithmic calibration curve for SK-BR-3 cells (B).

195

#### 196 Current analysis of the flux of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> releasing from SK-BR-3 cells

Information on the mechanism of  $H_2O_2$  release from cells can be inferred from the time-current response. The  $H_2O_2$  determination was measured at different time after the PMA buffer was added to the cancer cells immobilized Pt-PWE. As shown in Fig. S5A, the current increased with the time varing from  $t_0$  to  $t_1$ , demonstrating that a short period is needed for cancer cells to be stimulated completely. Then a stable current reached featuring the end of the event. So our  $H_2O_2$  determination was measured after  $t_1$  (8 s), so as to obtain the stable and accurate current value.



204

Fig. S5 The time-current response of  $H_2O_2$  released from cancer cells induced by 100 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> PMA based on our cancer cells immobilized Pt-PWE (A) and Pt nanospheres modified ordinary glassy carbon electrode (B).

As a comparation, Pt nanospheres modified ordinary glassy carbon electrode was applied for the determination of the flux of  $H_2O_2$  releasing from SK-BR-3 cells. To achieve the electrochemical measurements, a conventional three electrode system was constructed: a modified glassy carbon electrode as the working electrode, Pt and Ag/AgCl electrode were used as the counter electrode and reference electrode, respectively. 2.0 mL of PBS solution containing PMA (100 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) was used as determination and stimulation buffer and time-current course was recorded in Fig.

S5B. It can be observed that the trend was similar to that of the curve in Fig. S5A with 215 the time varying from t<sub>0</sub> to t<sub>1</sub>. The current reduces gradually to a lower value beyond 216 the time  $t_1$  (10 s) due to the diffusion process of  $H_2O_2$  released from SK-BR-3 cancer 217 cells. The diffusion process of H2O2 lower the determination accuracy, and this can be 218 largely improved by using our cancer cells immobilized Pt-PWE. It can be explained 219 220 that our Pt nanospheres grown paper sample zone maintained good 3D interwoven and incompact cellulose fibers networks structure, allowing cells to adhere (highly 221 affinitive binding with aptamers) to the cellulose fibers to achieve the in-situ and real-222 time determination of extracellular  $H_2O_2$  (as shown in Scheme 1D). Only 10  $\mu$ L of 223 buffer is needed to the Pt-PWE, so  $H_2O_2$  can be electrocatalyzed adequately when 224 releasing from cancer cell and rarely diffuse away. Based on the above, our designed 225 cancer cell immobilized Pt-PWE demonstrates some advantages in accuracy and 226 sensitivity of  $H_2O_2$  determination. To further confirm the superiority of our  $H_2O_2$ 227 biosensors, analytical performance of some other H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> biosensors has been collected 228 in Table S1. As shown in Table S1, our strategy shows shorter response time and 229 lower detection limit than other H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> assays as reported previously. 230

Table S1. Comparison analytical performance of some  $H_2O_2$  biosensors

H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> biosensor	Response time /s	Detection limit /µM	Reference
HRP-Au colloid-cysteamine	15	0.58	[3]
nitrogen-doped graphene	20	0.05	[4]
HRP-Nafion-silica-sonogel-carbon	35	1.6	[5]

		1	1
Pt grown paper working electrode	8	0.0001	This work

233

## 234 **References**

- 235 [1] J. Lu, S. Ge, L. Ge, M. Yan, J. Yu, *Electrochim. Acta.*, 2012, 80, 334.
- 236 [2] E. Carrilho, A. W. Martinez and G. M. Whitesides, Anal. Chem., 2009, 81, 7091.
- 237 [3] Y. Xiao, H. X. Ju, H. Y. Chen, Anal. Biochem., 2000, 278, 22.
- 238 [4] P. W, Z. W. Cai, Y. Gao, H. Zhang, C. X. Cai, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 11327.
- 239 [5] M. Elkaoutit, I. Naranjo-Rodriguez, M. Domínguez, M. P. Hernández-Artiga, D. Bellido-Milla,
- 240 J. L. H. H. de Cisneros, *Electrochim. Acta.*, 2008, **53**, 7131.