Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for ChemComm. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2014

Supporting Information

Regulated Assemblies and Anion Responsive Vesicles Based on 1,3-Alternate

Oxacalix[2]arene[2]triazene Amphiphiles

Qing He, Zhi-Tang Huang, De-Xian Wang*

Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Sciences, CAS Key Laboratory of Molecular Recognition

and Function, Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China

1.	General information	2
2.	Experimental details	2
3.	Synthesis	3
4.	SEM images of 3a and 3b	7
5.	TEM images of 3a-d	9
6.	LSCM images of 3a and 3b	13
7.	DLS and Zeta potential results	14
8.	Calculated molecular models of 3a-d	16
9.	Determination of CAC values of 3a-d	17
10.	XRD results for determination of the membrane thicknesses of 3a and 3b	19
11.	Vesicular size responses of 3b to anions	20
12.	NMR titrations	21
13.	¹ H NMR spectra and ¹³ C NMR spectra of the compounds	23

1. General information

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on 300 MHz NMR spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm versus tetramethylsilane or the residual solvent resonance used as an internal standard. Melting points are uncorrected. All chemicals, except if stated otherwise, were obtained from commercial sources and used without further purification. Elemental analyses, mass spectrometry, SEM, TEM, DLS, LSCM and X-ray diffraction analysis were performed at the Institute of Chemistry. The fluorescence spectra were measured with a Hitachi F-4500 fluorescence spectrophotometer. Synthesis of macrocyclic compound **1** and **4**, intermediates **2c'**, **2d''** and **2d** followed the reported methods. ¹⁻⁴

2. Experimental details

Preparation of vesicles or micelle: A small volume (100 ul) of the stock solution of **3** (**3a-d**) in THF (5×10^{-4} M) was transferred to a sample bottle. Double distilled water (1 ml) was injected quickly, giving a mixture solution of 5×10^{-5} M. The mixture solution was put in the ultrasonic bath for 30 minutes, incubated at 60 °C for 30 minutes to remove the organic solvent. Solutions of **3a** and **3b** hence yielded as opalescent, whereas **3c** and **3d** as clear dispersions.

Laser scanning confocal microscopy (LSCM): Laser scanning confocal microscopic experiments were carried out by preparing the vesicles in a solution containing lucigenin. The fluorescence agent outside the vesicles was then removed through repeated dialysis. The resulting vesicle solution were deposited on a glass surface, covered with a glass slide, and then visualized using a confocal laser scanning microscope (LSCM, Olympus FV1000, Olympus FV1000 with a 100×oil-immersion objective and a numerical aperture of 1.4. The excitation wavelength was set at 405nm).

Dynamic light scattering (DLS): The DLS measurements were carried out at 25 °C using an LLS spectrometer (ALV/SP-125) with a multi- τ digital time correlater (ALV-5000). Light of λ =632.8nm from a solid-state He-Ne laser (22 mW) was used as the incident beam. The measurement was performed at a scattering angle of 90°. The correlation function was analyzed from the scattering data via the CONTIN method to obtain the distribution of diffusion coefficients (D) of the solutes. The apparent hydrodynamic radius R_h was deduced from D by the Stokes-Einstein equation R_h=k_BT/(6 π ηD) for spherical particles, where k_B is the Boltzmann constant, T is the Kelvin temperature, and η is viscosity of solvent. For the effect of salts on

vesicle sizes, small volume of salt solutions (2.5 M) was added to the vesicle solutions (2 mL) assembled from **3a** or **3b**, the mixture was then balanced for 1 minute and the size distribution was recorded by DLS equipment.

Zeta potential measurement: The zeta potential measurements were conducted at 25 °C, using a Malvern Zetasizer Nano-ZS instrument (ZEN3600, Malvern Instruments, Worcestershire, UK) equipped with a 4 mW He–Ne laser at a wavelength of 633 nm. A clear disposable capillary cell (DTS1060C) was used. All the measurements were performed at θ =173°. The zeta potential was calculated using the Helmholtz-Smoluchowski relationship from the mobility measured in an electrophoretic light-scattering (ELS) experiment.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis: Samples for XRD measurement were prepared through directly casting vesicle solution on the glass slide for 4 times and the solvent was evaporated at room temperature. XRD analysis was performed on an X-ray diffracometer (SW, X'PERT) with nickel filtered Cu K α radiation (λ =1.54060 Å) operated at 40 kV and 10 mA.

3. Synthesis

Synthesis of 2d



Scheme S1. Synthesis of 2d.

1.32 g (3.03 mmol) of **2d''** was dissolved in methanol (50 ml) and magnesium powders (0.42 g, 30 mmol) were added. The resulting suspension was sonicated for 2 h when TLC on silica (ethyl acetate–methanol, 6 : 1) indicated that all starting material had been consumed. After filtration, the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford oily residue, which was further purified by column chromatography using silica gel with acetone/methanol (v/v, 20/1) as eluent to give **2d** as oil (0.27 g, 32%): ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃Cl, ppm): δ 4.46 (br. s, 3H), 3.57-3.72 (m, 20H), 2.89 (t, J=5.3 Hz, 4H); MS (ESI): m/z 282.16 [M+H]⁺, 304.06 [M+Na]⁺.

General procedures for the synthesis of 3a, 3b, 3c' and 3d

A mixture of **1** (0.46 g, 0.5 mmol for **3a**, 0.30 g, 0.3 mol for **3b**, 0.13 g, 0.14 mmol for **3c'**, 0.18 g, 0.2 mmol for **3d**), **2** (**2a**: 0.16 g, 1.5 mmol, **2b**: 0.10 g, 1.0 mmol, **2c'**: 0.13 g, 0.4 mmol, **2d**: 0.23 g, 0.8 mmol) and DIPEA (0.52 g, 4.0 mmol for **3a**, 0.34 g, 2.6 mmol for **3b**, 0.14 g, 1.1 mmol for **3c'**, 0.21 g, 1.6 mmol for **3d**) in THF (30 ml) was stirring at room temperature for 5 h. After removal of the solvent, the residue was subjected to silica gel chromatography to get pure products of **3** as white solids (0.42 g, 81% for **3a**, 0.32 g, 92% for **3b**, 0.22 g, 92% for **3c'** and 0.12 g, 46% for **3d**).

3a: mp 159~160°C; IR (KBr) v 3335, 3081, 2923, 2853, 1645, 1603, 1583, 1525, 1392, 1304, 1172; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆, ppm): δ 8.36 (t, J=5.4Hz, 2H), 7.34 (d, J=2.0Hz, 4H), 7.20 (t, J=2.0Hz, 2H), 4.85 (t, J=5.3Hz, 4H), 3.70~3.72 (m, 8H), 3.63~3.66 (m, 8H), 3.13~3.19 (m, 4H), 1.41~1.46 (m, 4H), 1.20~1.24 (m, 44H), 0.84 (t, J=6.5Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆, ppm): δ 170.92, 167.47, 163.81, 151.78, 136.53, 119.29, 117.02, 58.32, 50.52, 31.29, 29.05, 29.02, 28.96, 28.84, 28.71, 26.45, 22.09, 13.92. MS (MALDI-TOF): m/z 1081.3 [M+Na]⁺. Calcd for C₅₆H₈₆N₁₀O₁₀: C, 63.49; H, 8.18; N, 13.22. Found: C, 63.55; H, 8.37; N, 13.19.

3b: mp 86~87°C; IR (KBr) v 3078, 2964, 2934, 2874, 1589, 1523, 1391, 1265, 1201, 1141; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃Cl, ppm): δ 7.13 (d, J=2.1Hz, 4H), 6.78 (t, J=2.1Hz, 2H), 6.06 (t, J=5.6Hz, 2H), 3.57 (t, J=7.7Hz, 8H), 3.34 (q, J=6.8Hz, 4H), 1.64~1.74 (m, 8H), 1.52~1.59 (m, 4H), 1.23~1.32 (m, 44H), 0.96 (t, J=7.35Hz, 12H), 0.88 (J=6.6Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (300 MHz, CD3Cl, ppm): δ 171.88, 167.83, 166.22, 152.58, 137.36, 120.03, 118.01, 49.47, 40.43, 32.07, 29.81, 29.78, 29.73, 29.66, 29.50, 27.20, 22.83, 20.97, 14.25, 11.44. MS (MALDI-TOF): m/z 1073.7 [M+Na]⁺. Calcd for C₆₀H₉₄N₁₀O₆: C, 68.54; H, 9.01; N, 13.32. Found: C, 68.64; H, 9.06; N, 13.32.

3c[°]: mp 116~117°C; IR (KBr) v 3305, 3066, 3034, 2924, 2853, 1750, 1645, 1579, 1538, 1386, 1300, 1168, 1030; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃Cl, ppm): δ 7.32-7.37 (m, 20H), 7.13 (d, J=2.0 Hz, 4H), 6.71 (t, J=2.0 Hz, 2H), 6.08 (t, J=2.3 Hz, 2H), 5.22 (s, 8H), 4.58 (s, 8H), 3.36 (q, J=5.0 Hz, 4H), 1.59 (m, 4H), 1.21-1.32 (m, 44H), 0.88 (t, J=6.9 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (300 MHz, CD₃Cl, ppm): δ 172.06, 169.18, 168.98, 165.95, 152.37, 137.76, 135.34, 128.80, 128.64, 128.42, 119.67, 118.20, 67.37, 49.65, 40.48, 32.07, 29.84, 29.80, 29.73, 29.64, 29.50, 27.20, 22.83, 14.26. MS (MALDI-TOF): m/z 1497.5 [M+Na]⁺. Calcd for C₈₄H₁₀₂N₁₀O₁₄: C, 68.36; H, 6.97; N, 9.49.

3d: mp 72~73°C; IR (KBr) v 3342, 3079, 2924, 2853, 1644, 1581, 1525, 1386, 1305, 1138, 1078,

811; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃Cl, ppm): δ 7.16 (d, J=2.1Hz, 4H), 6.76 (t, J=2.1Hz, 2H), 6.37 (s, 2H), 3.96 (t, J=4.7Hz, 8H), 3.80 (t, J=5.0Hz, 8H), 3.67-3.71 (m, 24H), 3.59 (t, J= 4.7Hz, 8H) 0-3.37 (m, 8H), 1.21-1.57 (m, 4H), 1.22-1.32 (m, 44H), 0.88 (t, J=6.6Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (300 MHz, CD₃Cl, ppm): δ 171.69, 168.07, 166.23, 152.18, 137.30, 119.67, 118.11, 72.71, 70.47, 69.11, 61.71, 48.32, 40.36, 31.99, 29.77, 29.73, 29.69, 29.49, 29.43, 27.13, 22.76, 14.21. MS (MALDI-TOF): m/z 1434.0 [M+Na]⁺. Calcd for C₇₂H₁₁₈N₁₀O₁₈: C, 61.25; H, 8.42; N, 9.92. Found: C, 60.95; H, 8.42; N, 9.80.

Procedures for synthesis of 3c

0.22 g of 3c' was dissolved in THF, to which Pd/C (10%, 0.04 g) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight under hydrogen atmosphere. The resulting solution was filtrated through celite. After removal of organic solvent, the residues were recrystallized with a mixture of chloroform and acetonitrile to afford **3c** as white solid (0.15 g, 88%).

3c: mp 212~213°C; IR (KBr) v 3325, 3084, 2924, 2853, 2543, 1732, 1578, 1541, 1387, 1300, 1170; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆, ppm): δ 12.88 (br, 4H), 8.32 (t, J=5.4Hz, 2H), 7.37 (d, J=2.1Hz, 4H), 7.27 (t, J=2.1Hz, 2H), 4.37 (s, 8H), 3.12-3.18 (m, 4H), 1.42-1.46 (m, 4H), 1.20-1.25 (m, 44H), 0.84 (t, J=6.6Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆, ppm): δ 170.98, 170.25, 168.22, 163.61, 151.59, 136.56, 119.31, 117.29, 49.86, 31.27, 29.03, 28.99, 28.82, 28.74, 28.69, 26.47, 22.06, 13.85. MS (MALDI-TOF): m/z 1137.4 [M+Na]⁺. HRMS (APCI): Calcd for C₅₆H₇₈N₁₀O₁₄ [M+H]⁺: 1115.5772. Found: 1115.5770.

Procedures for syntheses of compound 4 and 5

Compound 4 was prepared according to the reported methods.⁵





Scheme S2. Synthesis of compound 5.

Synthesis of **5**

Tetraoxacalix[2]arene[2]triazene (**5'**) (1 mmol, 442 mg) was dissolved in THF (30 ml), to which n-dipropylamine (3 mmol, 303 mg) and DIPEA (8 mmol, 1.032 g) were added. The resulting mixture was stirring for 2 hours at room temperature. After removal of the organic solvent, the residue was subjected to silica gel chromatography with a mixture of dichloride methane and n-hexane as an eluent, giving pure **5** as white solids (0.411 g, 72%): mp 167-168°C; IR (KBr) v 3084, 2964, 2934, 2874, 1589, 1523, 1464, 1391, 1322, 1266, 1201, 1141; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃Cl, ppm): δ 7.14 (t, J=8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.78 (dd, J=2.1 Hz, J=8.1 Hz, 4H), 6.67 (t, J=2.1 Hz, 2H), 3.57 (t, J=7.7 Hz, 8 H), 1.64-1.76 (m, 8H), 0.96 (t, J=7.4 Hz, 12H); ¹³C NMR (300 MHz, CD₃Cl, ppm): δ 172.14, 167.88, 152.52, 129.47, 118.96, 117.42, 49.32, 20.98, 11.44; HRMS: m/z 573.2932 [M+H]⁺ (Calcd.: 573.2938); Anal. Calcd for C₃₀H₃₆N₈O₄: C, 62.92; H, 6.34; N, 19.57. Found: C, 63.08; H, 6.50; N, 19.13.

4. SEM images of 3a and 3b



Fig. S1 SEM images of vesicles formed with 3a in water.



Fig. S2 SEM images of vesicles formed with 3b in water.

5. TEM images of 3a-d





Fig. S3 TEM images of assemblies formed with 3a in water.





Fig. S4 TEM images of assemblies formed with 3b in water.



Fig. S5 TEM images of assemblies formed with 3c in water (top) and the statistic size distribution

from TEM images (bottom).



Fig. S6 TEM images of assemblies formed with 3d in water (top) and statistic size distribution

from TEM images (bottom)



Fig. S7 TEM images of vesicles of 3a before (left) and after (right) treated with NaCl.

6. LSCM images of 3a and 3b



Fig. S8 LSCM images of vesicles formed with 3a.



Fig. S9 LSCM images of vesicles formed with 3b.



Fig. S10 LSCM images of vesicles of 3a before (top) and after (bottom) treatment with

NaCl.

7. DLS results of 3a-d



Fig. S11 Size distribution of assemblies formed with 3a.



Fig. S12 Size distribution of assemblies formed with 3b.



Fig. S13 Zeta potential of vesicles formed with 3a in the presence of NaCl (0.63 mM)



Fig. S14 Zeta potential of vesicles formed with 3b in the presence of NaCl (6.3 mM)

8. Calculated molecular models of 3a-d



Fig. S15 Molecular model of 3a (A), 3b (B), 3c (C) and 3d (D). Optimized at b3lyp/6-31g* level

9. Determination of CAC values of 3a-d



Fig. S16 Plot of I_1/I_3 ratio of pyrene vs concentration of **3a**. [pyrene]= 5×10^{-7} M, excitation 335 nm.



Fig. S17 Plot of I_1/I_3 ratio of pyrene vs concentration of **3b**. [pyrene]= 5×10^{-7} M, excitation 335 nm.



Fig. S18 Plot of I_1/I_3 ratio of pyrene vs concentration of **3c**. [pyrene]= 5×10^{-7} M, excitation 335 nm.



Fig. S19 Plot of I_1/I_3 ratio of pyrene vs concentration of **3d**. [pyrene]= 5×10^{-7} M, excitation 335

nm.

10. XRD results for determination of the membrane thicknesses of 3a and 3b



Fig. S21 XRD result of 3b.

11. Vesicular size responses of 3b to anions



Fig. S22 Vesicular size response of 3b to (A) NaCl of different concentrations and various anions.



Fig.S23 Comparison of effect nitrate and carbonate on the size of 3a.

12. NMR titrations



Fig. S24 ¹H NMR titrations of **4** in presence of n-Bu₄NCl in acetone-d₆.



Fig. S25 ¹H NMR titrations of **5** in presence of n-Bu₄NCl in acetone-d₆.

References:

- 1. J. P. Hagemann and P. T. Kaye, *Synthetic Commun.*, 1997, **27**, 2539-2546.
- 2. M. X. Wang and H. B. Yang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 15412-15422.
- 3. S. Quici, A. Manfredi, G. Pozzi, M. Cavazzini and A. Rozzoni, *Tetrahedron*, 1999, 55, 10487-10496.
- 4. M. Iqbal, J. Huskens, M. Sypula, G. Modolo and W. Verboom, *New. J. Chem.*, 2011, **35**, 2591-2600.
- 5. H. -B. Yang, D. -X. Wang, Q. -Q. Wang and M. -X. Wang, J. Org. Chem., 2007, 72, 3757-3763.







Figure S27 ¹³C NMR spectrum of 3a.





Figure S29¹³C NMR spectrum of 3b.



Figure S30 ¹H NMR spectrum of 3c`.







Figure S33 ¹³C NMR spectrum of 3c.











Figure S37 ¹³C NMR spectrum of 5.