Asymmetric core-expanded aza-BODIPY analogues: facile synthesis

and optical properties

Hui Liu, Hua Lu,* Zhikuan Zhou, Soji Shimizu, Zhifang Li, Nagao Kobayashi, and Zhen Shen*

I. Experimental section

I.1 Materials and instrumentations	
I.2 Synthesis and characterization	
I.3 X-ray structure determination	
I.4 Spectroscopic measurements	
I.5 DFT calculations	
II. Supplementary Figure	
Fig. S1 (¹ H NMR spectra of 4b and it's precursor)	
Fig. S2 (View of the π - π stacking interactions)	
Table S1 (Spectroscopic and photophysical properties of 4a-4d)	
Fig. S3(Absorption spectra of 4a in various solvents)	
Fig. S4 (Absorption spectra of 4b in various solvents.)	
Fig. S5 (Absorption spectra of 4c in various solvents.)	S10
Fig. S6 (Absorption spectra of 4d in various solvents.)	S10
Fig. S7 (Emission spectra of 4a in kinds of solvents.)	S11
Fig. S8 (Emission spectra of 4b in kinds of solvents.)	S11
Fig. S9 (Emission spectra of 4c in kinds of solvents.)	
Fig. S10 (Emission spectra of 4d in kinds of solvents.)	
Fig. S11 (TD-DFT spectra of 4a-4d)	S13
Table S2 (Calculated E, <i>f</i> , and eigenvectors of 4a-4d)	S14
Fig. S12 (Cyclic voltammograms of 4a-4d)	S15
Table S3 (Redox potentials and electronics states)	S16
III. References	
IV. ¹ H NMR	S18- S21
V. HR-MS	

I. Experimental Section

I.1 Materials and instrumentations

All reagents were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification unless otherwise indicated. All air and moisture-sensitive reactions were carried out under nitrogen atmosphere in oven-dried glassware. Glassware was dried in an oven at 120 °C and cooled under a stream of inert gas before use. Both dichloromethane and triethylamine were distilled over calcium hydride. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DRX400 spectrometer and referenced to the residual proton signals of the solvent. HR-MS were recorded on a Bruker Ddtonics microTOF-Q II spectrometer. All the solvents employed for the spectroscopic measurements were of UV spectroscopic grade (Aldrich).

I.2 Synthesis and characterisation

Aza-boron-pyridyl-ketoazaphenalene (4a)

A solution of imino-ketoazaphenalene (100 mg, 0.51 mmol) and 4-(octyloxy)pyridin-2-amine (124 mg, 0.56 mmol) in n-butanol (6 ml) was heated under reflux for 24h, ammonia was evolved, when the solution was cooled, a orange-yellow solid was precipitated. The crude product was dissolved in dry dichloromethane solution (100 ml) and treated with BF₃·OEt₂ (1.1 ml) in the presence of triethylamine (1 ml) at room temperature for 1 h. The reaction was quenched by adding water, the organic layer was dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by silica-gel flash column chromatography (80% Hexane/Ethyl acetate) and recrystallized from CH₂Cl₂/hexane to provide 4a as cyan powder with high yield of 30%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 9.09 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 8.65 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 8.30 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 8.20-8.17 (m, 2 H), 7.77–7.72 (m, 2 H), 7.07 (s, 1 H), 6.85 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.20 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2 H), 1.91-1.85 (m, 2 H), 1.53-1.46 (m, 2 H), 1.37-1.31 (m, 8 H), 0.90 (t, J = 6.6 Hz)Hz, 3 H); UV/Vis (CH₂Cl₂), λ_{max} (ϵ) = 399 nm (39700 dm³ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹); HRMS-ESI: m/z: calcd $[C_{25}H_{26}BF_2N_3O_2+H]^+ m/z = 450.2159$; found m/z = 472.1978, $[M+Na]^+$; 450.2159, $[M+H]^+$; 430.2097, [M-F]+;

Compound **4b** was obtained as yellow powder in 31% yield by following a procedure similar to that of **4a**. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 8.92$ (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 8.65 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 8.13 (dd, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.95 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.76-7.67 (m, 2 H), 7.43-7.32 (m, 3 H), 4.36 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 1.93-1.86 (m, 2 H), 1.48-1.39 (m, 2 H), 1.00 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H); UV/Vis (CH₂Cl₂), λ_{max} (ϵ) = 401 nm (26200 dm³ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹); HRMS-ESI: m/z: calcd [C₂₃H₁₉BF₂N₄O+Na]⁺ m/z = 439.1512 ; found m/z = 439.1517, [M+Na]⁺.

Compound **4c** was obtained as a yellow solid in 28% yield by following a procedure similar to that of **4a**. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 8.94$ (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 8.58 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 8.20 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.99 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.76-7.69 (m, 3 H), 7.61 (m, 1 H), 1.33 (s, 9 H); UV/Vis (CH₂Cl₂), λ_{max} (ϵ) = 429 nm (31700 dm³ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹); HRMS-ESI: m/z: calcd [C₂₃H₁₈BF₂N₃OS+H]⁺ m/z = 434.1304; found m/z =434.1304 [M+H]⁺; 414.1242, [M-F]⁺.

Compound **4d** was obtained as orange-red crystals in 26% yield by following a procedure similar to that of **4a**. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.98 (d, *J* = 7.4, 1 H), 8.70 (d, *J* = 7.4, 1 H), 8.23 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 8.11 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.81-7.73 (m, 2 H), 7.21-7.16 (m, 2 H), 4.02 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2 H), 1.82 (m, 2 H), 1.48 (m, 2H), 1.36-1.30 (m, 8 H), 0.90 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3 H); UV/Vis (CH₂Cl₂), λ_{max} (ϵ) = 431 nm (34800 dm³ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹); HRMS-ESI: m/z: calcd [C₂₇H₂₆BF₂N₃O₂S+H]⁺ m/z = 506.1880; found m/z = 506.1880, [M+H]⁺; 486.1817, [M-F]⁺.

I.3 X-ray structure determination

The X-ray diffraction data were collected on a Bruker Smart Apex CCD diffractometer with graphite monochromated Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) using the ω -2 θ scan mode. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined on F^2 by full-matrix least-squares methods using SHELX-2000.^{S1-S2} All calculations and molecular graphics were carried out on a computer using the SHELX-2000 program package and Diamond 3.2.

4c: C₂₃H₁₈BF₂N₃OS, CH₂Cl₂; A yellow block-like crystal of the approximate dimensions $0.15 \times 0.14 \times 0.12 \text{ mm}^3$ was measured. Monoclinic, space group P2(1)/n, a = 9.5908(8) Å, b = 10.9141(10) Å, c = 22.863(2) Å, $\alpha = 90$, $\beta = 99.059(2)$, $\gamma = 90$, V = 2363.3(4) Å³, Z = 4, F(000) = 1064, $\rho = 1.530$ Mgm⁻³, R₁ = 0.0488, wR₂ = 0.1529, GOF = 1.032, residual electron density between 0.402 and -0.482 eÅ⁻³.

4d: $C_{27}H_{26}BF_2N_3O_2S$; A orangey-red block-like crystal of the approximate dimensions 0.12 × 0.11 × 0.10 mm³ was measured. Monoclinic, space group P2(1)/n, *a* = 14.57220(10) Å, *b* = 16.04600(10) Å, *c* = 22.1206(2) Å, $\alpha = 90$, $\beta = 107.66$, $\gamma = 90$, V = 4928.66(6) Å³, Z = 8, F(000) = 2112, $\rho = 1.511$ Mgm⁻³, R₁ = 0.0715, *w*R₂ = 0.2230, GOF = 1.081, residual electron density between 0.851 and -0.675 eÅ⁻³.

CCDC No.1020987 for 4c and No 1020988 for 4d containing the supplementary crystallographic this data for paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html (or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB21EZ, UK; fax: (+44)1223–336–033; E-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

1.4 Spectroscopic measurements

UV-visible absorption spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu 3000 spectrophotometer. Fluorescence spectra were measured on a Hitachi F-2700 luminescence spectrometer with a 150 W xenon lamp. The fluorescence lifetimes of the samples were determined with a Horiba Jobin Yvon Fluorolog-3 spectrofluorimeter. Absorption and emission measurements were carried out in 1×1 cm quartz cuvettes. Drop casting films were prepared by evaporation of the dye (1 mM) in dichloromethane onto a clean silicon wafer substrates, hose films were stable and no change in emission was observed over an extended period of time. The absolute quantum yields and emission spectra in the solid state were measured in Horiba Jobin Yvon Fluorolog-3 spectrofluorimeter with integrating sphere. For all measurements, the temperature was kept constant at (298±2) K. Dilute solutions with absorbance of less than 0.05 at the excitation wavelength were used for the measurement of fluorescence quantum yields. 9,10-Diphenylanthracene was used as the standard ($\Phi_{\rm F} = 0.90$ in cyclohexane).^{S3} The quantum yield, Φ , was calculated using equation (1):

 $\Phi_{sample} = \Phi_{std} \begin{bmatrix} I \ sample \ A \ std \\ I \ std \ A \ sample \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \ sample \\ n \ std \end{bmatrix}^2$ (1)

where the *sample* and *std* subscripts denote the sample and standard, respectively, I is the integrated emission intensity, A stands for the absorbance, and n is refractive index.

When the fluorescence decays were monoexponential, the rate constants of radiative (k_r) and nonradiative (k_{nr}) deactivation were calculated from the measured fluorescence quantum yield (Φ_F) and fluorescence lifetime (τ) according to equations (2) and (3):

$$k_{\rm r} = \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\rm F}/\boldsymbol{\tau} \tag{2}$$

$$k_{\rm nr} = (1 - \Phi_{\rm F})/\tau \tag{3}$$

I.5 DFT calculations

The G09W software package was used to carry out a DFT geometry optimization using the B3LYP functional with 6-31G(d) basis sets.^{S4} The same approach was used to calculate the absorption properties based on time-dependent (TD-DFT) method.

II Supplementary data



Fig. S1 ¹H NMR spectra of 4b and it's precursor.



Fig. S2 View of the π - π stacking interactions of 4c (Top) and 4d (Bottom).

	Solvent	λ_{abs}	λ_{em}	fwhm _{em}	$\Delta v_{\text{em-ab}}$	$arPsi_{\mathrm{F}}{}^{[\mathtt{c}]}$	$ au_{ m f}$	k _r	$k_{ m nr}$
		[nm]	[nm]	[nm]	$[cm^{-1}]$		[ns]	$[10^8 \text{s}^{-1}]$	$[10^8 s^{-1}]$
4 a	hexane	378(sh), 396, 415(sh)	424(sh), 451	72	3080	0.10	1.00	1.0	9.0
	Toluene	382(sh), 399, 420(sh)	436(sh), 462	78	3418	0.25	2.23	1.1	3.4
	CH_2Cl_2	382(sh), 399, 418(sh)	437(sh), 463	77	3464	0.43	3.16	1.4	1.8
	THF	381(sh), 398, 417(sh)	461	82	3433	0.14	1.52	0.9	5.7
	CH ₃ CN	381(sh), 396, 414(sh)	462	85	3608	0.11	1.45	0.8	6.1
4b	hexane	397, 418(sh)	458(sh), 489	83	4739	0.19	2.08	0.9	3.9
	Toluene	402, 420(sh)	474(sh), 504	88	5034	0.13	1.87	0.7	4.7
	CH_2Cl_2	401	512	91	5406	0.10	1.59	0.6	5.7
	THF	400	510	92	5392	0.06	1.43	0.4	6.6
	CH ₃ CN	399	516	93	5683	0.04	1.01	0.4	9.5
4c	hexane	407, 427	474(sh), 504	81	3578	0.16	1.98	0.8	4.2
	Toluene	414(sh), 432	489(sh), 515	86	3731	0.12	1.61	0.7	5.5
	CH_2Cl_2	429	524	86	4226	0.06	1.18	0.5	8.0
	THF	427	519	89	4151	0.05	0.97	0.5	9.8
	CH ₃ CN	423	524	89	4557	0.03	0.90	0.3	10.8
4d	hexane	423, 445(sh)	503(sh), 535	81	4949	0.025	0.73	0.3	134
	Toluene	429	549	79	5095	0.013	0.45	0.3	21.9
	CH_2Cl_2	431	553	76	5119	0.006	0.23	0.3	43.2
	THF	428	555	77	5346	0.005	0.22	0.2	45.2
	CH ₃ CN	429	558	77	5389	< 0.002[b]	n.d. ^[d]	n.d.	n.d.

Table S1. Spectroscopic and photophysical properties of 1-4 in various solvents at 298K.

[a] sh=shoulder. [b] Could not be reliably determined. [c] Relative fluorescence quantum yield. [d] Not determined.



Fig. S3. Absorption spectra of 4a in a range of different solvents.



Fig. S4. Absorption spectra of 4b in a range of different solvents.



Fig. S5. Absorption spectra of 4c in a range of different solvents.



Fig. S6. Absorption spectra of 4d in a range of different solvents.



Fig. S7. Emission spectra of 4a in a range of different solvents.



Fig. S8. Emission spectra of 4b in a range of different solvents.



Fig. S9. Emission spectra of 4c in a range of different solvents.



Fig. S10. Emission spectra of 4d in a range of different solvents.



Fig. S11 The experimental spectra and TD-DFT spectra of **4a–4d** calculated using the B3LYP functional with 6-31G(d) basis sets.

	State [a]	Energy [eV]	λ [nm]	<i>f</i> ^[b]	Orbitals (coefficient) [c]
4a	S_1	3.24	382	0.5351	H >L (+98%)
	S_3	3.69	336	0.0199	H-1>L(+92%)
	S_3	3.97	312	0.0487	H-3>L(+79%)
4b	S_1	3.01	412	0.5223	H >L (+99%)
	S_3	3.58	346	0.0100	H-2->L (+93%)
	S_4	3.61	344	0.0844	H-1>L (+89%)
4c	S_1	2.93	424	0.6264	H >L (+99%)
	S_3	3.41	364	0.0292	H-1>L (+80%) H-2->L(18%)
	S_4	3.52	352	0.0747	H-2>L(+78%)
4d	S_1	2.74	452	0.4695	H>L(+100%)
	S_3	3.38	367	0.1990	H-1>L(+91%)
	S_4	3.56	348	0.0397	H-2>L(+89%)

Table S2. Calculated electronic excitation energies, oscillator strengths, and eigenvectors for the TD-DFT spectra of **4a–4d** carried out using the B3LYP functional with 6-31G(d) basis sets.

[a] Excited state. [b] Oscillator strength. [c] MOs involved in the transitions with H and L denoting the HOMO and LUMO, respectively. [d] Type of excited state.



Fig. S12 Cyclic voltammograms of 4a–4d in ortho-dichlorobezene. Scan rates: 100 mv/s.

Table S3. Redox potentials and electronics states of compounds **4a–4d** (in *ortho*-dichlorobezene, 0.1 M TBAP, scan rate 100 mV s⁻¹).^a

		E UL	E' l'eu	FIEU		
	E_g^{b}	^L 1/2	$L_{1/2}$ 1 st	$E_{1/2}$ 2 nd	LUMO ^c	НОМО
4 a	2.92	n.d	-1140	n.d	-3.26	-6.18
4b	2.71	n.d	-1100	n.d	-3.30	-6.01
4c	2.62	1160	-870	-1530	-3.53	-6.15
4d	2.48	1110	-950 d	-1590	-	-

[a] Referenced against Fc⁺/Fc. [b] Energy band gap, determined from wavelength of intersection point of UV-vis and PL; [c] $E_{LUMO} = -e(\frac{E_{1/2}^{r} + 4.4}{1.2}), E_{HOMO} = E_{LUMO} - E_g;$ [d] The value of E_p

III. References

- S1 C. L. Picou, E. D. Stevens, M. Shah and J. H. Boyer, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C 1990, 46, 1148.
- S2 SMART, SAINT, SADABS and SHELXTL, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, 2000.
- S3 S. Hamai and F. Hirayama, J. Phys. Chem., 1983; 87, 83-89.
- S4 Gaussian 09, Revision C.01, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, Ö. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, and D. J. Fox, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2009.









V HR-MS







Mass Spectrum SmartFormula Report

