Supporting Information

Polyoxometalate-Organic Supermolecular Nanotube with High

Chemical Stability and Proton-Conducting Properties

Gao-Juan Cao,^{a,b} Jing-Dong Liu,^a Ting-Ting Zhuang,^a Xiu-Hong Cai,^a and Shou-Tian Zheng*^a

^aCollege of Chemistry, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou, Fujian 350108, China.

^bDepartment of Applied Chemistry, College of Life Science, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, China

This file includes:	
Section S1 Synthesis and Methods	S2-S4
Section S2 Additional Table	S5
Section S3 Additional Figures	S6-S10

Section S1 Synthesis and Methods

Synthesis of 1: Na₉[A- α -PW₉O₃₄]·nH₂O (PW₉) were prepared by literature method (*Inorganic Syntheses*, John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1990, vol.27, *p*85). A mixture of PW₉ (0.300 g), Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (0.350 g) and 1H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiol (0.050 g) was added into 4 mL of a 0.5 M sodium acetate buffer (pH 4.8). Further, 0.20 mL en was added to this solution and stirred for half an hour, transferred into a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave (25 mL) and kept at 170 °C for 3 days, and then cooled to room-temperature. After washed by water and ethanol, green crystals were obtained. Anal. Calcd (Found %) for C₁₆H₇₁N₁₉Ni₅O₄₄PS₃W₉: C, 6.08 (5.81); H, 2.46 (2.16); N, 7.86 (8.03).

Single-crystal structure analysis: Crystals were collected on a Bruker APEX II diffractometer at room temperature equipped with a fine focus, 2.0 kW sealed tube X-ray source (MoK radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) operating at 50 kV and 30 mA. The empirical absorption correction was based on equivalent reflections. Structures were solved by direct methods followed by successive difference Fourier methods. Computations were performed using SHELXTL and final full-matrix refinements were against F². All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Due to highly porous structure of 1, the guests (including about four diprotonated H₂en molecules and six water molecules per formula evaluated by the results of CHN analysis and TGA) within structure are highly disordered and thus couldn't be exactly determined. The contribution of these disordered solvent molecules to the overall intensity data of all structures were treated using the SQUEEZE method in PLATON. CCDC-1030121 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via <u>www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif</u>.

Gas adsorption measurements: We tried to active the as-synthesized **1** by different strategies. One way is that, considering compound **1** is stable up over 200 °C and TGA shows the loss of neutral guests is completed at about 150 °C, the as-synthesized **1** (about 130 mg, denoted as sample **1**) was directly degassed at 180 °C for 48 h under vacuum prior to the measurement. Another way is that, considering compound **1** is also stable in low-boiling solvent of methanol, a copy of new sample (about 130 mg, denoted as sample **E1**) was refluxed in a saturated methanol solution of NH₄Cl for 48 h to exchange relatively high-boiling guests of organic amines and water with attempt to move away guests at relatively lower temperature. However, the TGA of the guest-exchanged sample E1 shows the loss of neutral guests is still completed at about 150 °C (Figure S3), which indicates the incorporated organic amines and water are hard to be exchanged completely. Nevertheless, in order to make a comparison with sample 1, sample E1 was still degassed at relatively lower temperature (80 °C) for 48 h under vacuum prior to the gas adsorption measurement. Additionally, we also tried to detect the porosity of both samples by utilizing different kinds of gases including H₂, CO₂, and N₂. All gas adsorption measurements were performed on a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 surface-area and pore-size analyzer from 1.0 Torr to atmospheric pressure. N₂ and H₂ adsorptions were measured at 77 K, while CO₂ adsorption was measured at 273k. Though different activation methods were tried, both samples exhibit similar but poor gas adsorption properties for all testing gases (Figure S8-S10). The N₂ sorption measurements indicate both samples have a low uptake over the entire pressure range (16.4 cm³/g for sample 1 and 14.2 cm³/g for sample E1 at 1atm). The BET surface areas evaluated by N_2 adsorptions are 30.6 m²/g and 29.7 m²/g for samples 1 and E1, respectively. It is noteworthy that the BET surface areas are low, especially much lower than those porous metalorganic frameworks (MOFs), but they are analogous to many reported porous structures based on polyoxometalates as building blocks (e.g. compounds in CrystEngComm, 2010, 12, 1880, 1765, Inorg. Chem, 2013, 52, 2662, Inorg. Chem, 2014, 53, 7309, and Angew. Chem. Int. Ed, 2014, 53, 3608). Both samples also have a low uptake of CO_2 at 1 atm (8.6 cm³/g for sample 1 and 5.3 cm^{3}/g for sample E1), which almost can be negligible. Further H₂ sorption measurements indicate samples 1 and E1 can also adsorb a small amount of H₂ at 1 atm (20.5 cm³/g for sample 1 and 18.0 cm³/g for sample E1).

Others: Powder XRD patterns were obtained using a RIGAKU-Miniflex II diffractometer with Cu $K\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 1.54056$ Å).. Elemental analyses (C, H and N) were performed by using a Vario EL III elemental analyzer. IR spectra were recorded on PerkinElmer Spectrum One FT-IR infrared spectrophotometer with pressed KBr pellets in the range of 4000-400 cm⁻¹. Thermal analyses were performed in a dynamic air atmosphere with a heating rate of 10 °C/min, using a NETZSCH STA 449C thermal analyzer. Variable temperature susceptibility measurements were carried out in the temperature range 2-300 K at a magnetic field of 0.1T on polycrystalline samples with a Quantum Design PPMS-9T magnetometer. The experimental susceptibilities were corrected for the Pascal's constants. Ac impedance measurements were carried out with a applied voltage of 50mV. The relative humidity was controlled by a STIK Corp. CIHI-150B

incubator. The samples were pressed to form a cylindrical pellet of crystalline powder sample (~3 mm thickness $\times 5$ mm ϕ) coated with C-pressed electrodes. Two silver electrodes were attached to both sides of pellet to form four end terminals (quasi-four-probe method). The bulk conductivity was estimated by semicircle fittings of Nyquist plots.

BVS calculations (I. D. Brown, D. Altermatt, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect.* **1985**, *B41*, 244) for **1** reveal that the oxidation states of all W and Ni are +6 and +2, respectively. For oxygen atoms, the bond valences of the three μ_3 -O atoms (-1.089 – -1.094) from the Ni₄O₄ core and the terminal oxygen atom (0.242) on the capping Ni²⁺ ion suggest they are OH and H₂O, respectively. Except the above oxygen atoms, the oxidation states of all the other oxygen atoms (-1.760 – -2.150) are identified as -2 by BVS calculations. Thus the overall charge of **1a** polyoxoanion is -8. In order to balance the charge of **1a**, four isolated en molecules included into structure through EA and TG analysis were considered as diprotonated guests, which are exactly consistent with the overall charge balance of **1**.

Section S2 Additional tables

 Table S1. Hydrogen bonds for 1 [Å and °].

D-HA	d(D-H)	d(HA)	d(DA)	<(DHA)
N(1)-H(1B)O(20A)	0.90	2.28	3.126(11)	156.4
O(1W)-H(1WA)O(19A)	0.85	2.02	2.714(11)	138.0
O(1W)-H(1WB)O(19B)	0.85	2.02	2.714(11)	138.0

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: A: y, -x+y, -z+1; B: y, -x+y, z-1/2

Section S3 Additional Structural Figures



Figure S1. View of hydrogen bonds among the Ni₅-subsitiuted polyoxotungstates, showing each Ni₅-subsitiuted polyoxotungstate connected to four neighboring ones via OH \cdots O and NH \cdots O interactions.



Figure S2. TG curve of compound 1.



Figure S4. The temperature dependences of reciprocal magnetic susceptibility $\chi_M^{-1}(\Box)$ and the product $\chi_M T$ (o) for 1.



Figure S5. Temperature dependence of χ_m^{-1} for 1. The solid line is the best-fit according to the Curve-Weiss law.



Figure S6. IR spectrum of 1.



Figure S7. PXRD patterns of 1, showing as-synthesized sample 1 is pure phase.



Figure S8. N_2 adsorption isotherms of 1 and E1.



Figure S10. H_2 adsorption isotherms of 1 and E1.