Supporting Information

SnO₂/α-Fe₂O₃ Nanoheterostructure with Novel Architecture: Structural Characteristics and Photocatalytic Property

Ju Xu, Feng Huang, Yunlong Yu, Anping Yang, Yuansheng Wang*

State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences; Graduate University of Chinese Academy of Sciences,

Fuzhou, China

Nitrogen-sorption analyses

Nitrogen-sorption analyses were performed to measure BET surface areas of the α -Fe₂O₃ precursors and SnO₂/ α -Fe₂O₃ nanoheterostructures, as exhibited in Figure S1. BET surface area of the α -Fe₂O₃ nanoprisms is determined to be 60 m²/g. Such large surface area benefits to the formation of the heterostructures from the precursors. After the hetero-epitaxial growth of SnO₂, the hollowed α -Fe₂O₃ nanoprisms are filled with the SnO₂ nanorods, as a result BET surface area reduces to 16 m²/g.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel: +86-591-8370-5402; fax: +86-591-8370-5402.

E-mail address: yswang@fjirsm.ac.cn (Y. S. Wang).



Figure S1. Nitrogen-sorption curves and BET surface areas of α -Fe₂O₃ nanoprism precursors and SnO₂/ α -Fe₂O₃ nanoheterostructures.

XPS analyses

High-resolution XPS measurements were performed to study the valence and electronic structure of Fe and Sn in the prepared materials. For the α -Fe₂O₃ nanoprism precursors, the Fe 2p peaks locate at 724.6 eV (2p_{1/2}) and 711.0 eV (2p_{3/2}), besides, there are two satellite peaks at 733.1 eV and 719.5 eV, as shown in Figure S2a, which is characteristic of Fe³⁺ in Fe₂O₃.¹ For the SnO₂/ α -Fe₂O₃ nanoheterostructures, two peaks at 494.5 eV (3d_{3/2}) and 486.1 eV (3d_{5/2}) are observed (Figure S2b), ascribing to Sn 3d of Sn⁴⁺. The signals of Sn 3p and Fe 2p overlap in the range of 700~740 eV. By multiplet peaks fitting, the Sn 3p_{3/2}, Fe 2p_{1/2}, Fe 2p_{3/2}, and two satellite peaks of Fe³⁺ are separated, as exhibited in Figure S2c. Evidently, the Fe valence still remains 3+. However, all the Fe 2p peaks shift slightly (0.4 eV) towards the low-energy side, which should be attributed to the subtle modification of the Fe electronic structure induced by the formation of the SnO₂/Fe₂O₃ hetero-interfaces.²⁻³





Figure S2. High-resolution XPS spectra of (a) Fe 2p of α -Fe₂O₃ precursors; (b) Sn 3d of SnO₂/ α -Fe₂O₃ nanoheterostructures; (c) Fe 2p and Sn 3p_{3/2} of SnO₂/ α -Fe₂O₃ nanoheterostructures. Black curve represents the measured result, while red, blue and green ones the results of Lorentzian-Gaussian multipeak fitting.

EDS analyses

EDS spectra taken from the nanoprism precursor and the nanorod respectively in a nanoheterostructure are presented in Figure S3. Obviously, the nanoprism is pure α -Fe₂O₃ and the nanorod pure SnO₂, without any detectable impurity elements. The C and Cu signals are attributed to the grid used to support the TEM specimens.



Figure S3. EDS spectra taken from (a) α -Fe₂O₃ nanoprism, and (b) SnO₂ nanorod of a SnO₂/ α -Fe₂O₃ nanoheterostructure; C and Cu signals are attributed to the grid used to support TEM specimens.

Reference

- (1) X. L. Hu, J. C. Yu, J. M. Gong; Q. Li, G. S. Li, Adv. Mater., 2007, 19, 2324-2329.
- (2) J. Zhang, X. H. Liu, L. W. Wang, T. L. Yang, X. Z. Guo, S. H. Wu, S. R. Wang, S. M. Zhang, *Nanotechnology*, **2011**, 22, 185501.
- (3) Y. H. Zheng, L. R. Zheng, Y. Y. Zhan, X. Y. Lin, Q. Zheng, K. M. Wei, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, 46, 6980-6986.