## Supplementary Information

## Halogen bonding and $\pi-\pi$ interactions in the solid-state structure of a butadiynylene-linked bis(iodoperfluoroarene)

## I. Synthesis



In a flame-dried flask equipped with a drying tube, 0.09 g of 1-ethynyl-2-iodo-3,4,5,6-tetrafluorobenzene $3(0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(70 \mathrm{~mL})$ and pyridine $(7 \mathrm{~mL}) .0 .42 \mathrm{~g}$ of CuCl ( $4.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 14 \mathrm{eq}$.) and 0.64 mL of tetramethylethylenediamine ( $4.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 14 \mathrm{eq}$.) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 45 h . The reaction mixture was washed with water $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. Removal of the solvent yielded 67.0 mg of the desired product 2 ( $0.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 75 \%$ ) as a brown solid.

The alternative synthetic route proceeds in the following fashion: in a flame-dried flask, 177 mg of 1-iodo-2-[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]-3,4,5,6-tetrafluorobenzene 1 ( $1 \mathrm{eq} ., 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 124 mg of CuCl ( 0.48 equiv, 1.25 mmol ) were dissolved in 0.5 mL of DMF and stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . After the removal of the solvent, the residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (silica gel, pentane), yielding 48 mg of product 2. ( $0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 30 \%$ ).
${ }^{19}$ F-NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): -113.5 (m, 2F), -129.0 (m, 2F), -148.6 (m, 2F), -153.4 (m, 2F). FTIR (powder): 1618 (s), 1491 (s), 1460 (s), 948 (s), $797(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (EI): m/z $=697.797$, calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{~F}_{8} \mathrm{I}_{2}$ : 697.796.

## II. Single Crystal X-Ray Structure Determination of Compound 2

## General:

Data were collected on an X-ray single crystal diffractometer equipped with a CCD detector (Bruker Kappa APEX II ULTRA), a rotating anode (Bruker AXS, FR591) with MoK ${ }_{\text {radiation }}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}=0.71073 \AA$ ), and a graphite monochromator by using the SMART software package. [1] The measurements were performed on a single crystal coated with perfluorinated ether. The crystal was fixed on the top of a glass fiber and transferred to the diffractometer. The crystal was frozen under a stream of cold nitrogen. A matrix scan was used to determine the initial lattice parameters. Reflections were merged and corrected
for Lorenz and polarization effects, scan speed, and background using SAINT. [2] Absorption corrections, including odd and even ordered spherical harmonics were performed using SADABS. [2] Space group assignments were based upon systematic absences, $E$ statistics, and successful refinement of the structures. Structures were solved by direct methods with the aid of successive difference Fourier maps, and were refined against all data using WinGX [7] based on SIR-92. [3] If not mentioned otherwise, non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. Full-matrix leastsquares refinements were carried out by minimizing $\Sigma w\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}$ with SHELXL-97 [5] weighting scheme. Neutral atom scattering factors for all atoms and anomalous dispersion corrections for the nonhydrogen atoms were taken from International Tables for Crystallography. [4] Images of the crystal structures were generated by PLATON. [6]


Figure S1 - Ortep drawing of compound 2 with $50 \%$ ellipsoids. [6]

Operator:
Molecular Formula:
Crystal Color / Shape
Crystal Size
Molecular Weight:
$\mathrm{F}_{000}$ :
Systematic Absences:
Space Group:
Cell Constants:

Temperature:
*** Herdtweck ***
$\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{~F}_{8} \mathrm{I}_{2}$
Colorless fragment
Approximate size of crystal fragment used for data collection:
$0.05 \times 0.18 \times 0.53 \mathrm{~mm}$
597.96 a.m.u.

548
h01: $1 \neq 2 \mathrm{n} ; 0 \mathrm{k} 0$ : $\mathrm{k} \neq 2 \mathrm{n}$
Monoclinic $\quad P 2 / c \quad$ (I.T.-No.: 14)
Least-squares refinement of 7288 reflections with the programs "APEX suite" and "SAINT"
[1,2]; theta range $1.88^{\circ}<\theta<25.32^{\circ} ; \operatorname{Mo}(\mathrm{K} \bar{\alpha}) ; \lambda=71.073 \mathrm{pm}$
$a=\quad 1182.60(5) \mathrm{pm}$
$b=\quad 507.79(2) \mathrm{pm} \quad \beta=\quad 113.431(2)^{\circ}$
$c=\quad 1447.17(6) \mathrm{pm}$
$V=797.38(6) \cdot 10^{6} \mathrm{pm}^{3} ; Z=2 ; D_{\text {calc }}=2.490 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3} ;$ Mos. $=0.71$
Kappa APEX II (Area Diffraction System; BRUKER AXS); rotating anode; graphite monochromator; $50 \mathrm{kV} ; 40 \mathrm{~mA} ; \lambda=71.073 \mathrm{pm} ; \operatorname{Mo}(\mathrm{K} \bar{\alpha})$
$(-150 \pm 1){ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \quad(123 \pm 1) \mathrm{K}$

Measurement Range:
Measurement Time: Measurement Mode:

LP - Correction: Intensity Correction Absorption Correction:

Reflection Data:

Solution:
Refinement Parameters:
Atomic Form Factors:
Extinction Correction:
Weighting Scheme:

Shift/Err:
Resid. Electron Density:
R1:
$\left[F_{\mathrm{o}}>4 \sigma\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}\right) ; \quad \mathrm{N}=1314\right]:$
[all reflctns; $\quad \mathrm{N}=1371$ ]:
wR2:
[ $\left.F_{\mathrm{o}}>4 \sigma\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}\right) ; \quad \mathrm{N}=1314\right]$ :
[all reflctns; $\quad \mathrm{N}=1371]$ :
Goodness of fit:
Remarks:
$1.88^{\circ}<\theta<25.32^{\circ} ;$ h: $-14 / 13$, k: $-6 / 6,1:-15 / 16$
$2 \times 5 \mathrm{~s}$ per film
measured: 5 runs; 2016 films / scaled: 5 runs; 2016 films
$\varphi-$ and $\omega$-movement; Increment: $\Delta \varphi / \Delta \omega=0.50^{\circ} ; \mathrm{dx}=50.0 \mathrm{~mm}$
Yes [2]
No/Yes; during scaling [2]
Multi-scan; during scaling; $\mu=4.027 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ [2]
Correction Factors: $\quad \mathrm{T}_{\text {min }}=0.5437 \quad \mathrm{~T}_{\text {max }}=0.7452$
$9550 \quad$ reflections were integrated and scaled
582 reflections systematic absent and rejected
8968 reflections to be merged
1371 independent reflections
$0.028 \quad \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{int}}:\left(\right.$ basis $\left.F_{o}{ }^{2}\right)$
1371 independent reflections (all) were used in refinements
1314 independent reflections with $I_{o}>2 \sigma\left(I_{o}\right)$
93.7 completeness of the data set

118 parameter full-matrix refinement
11.6 reflections per parameter

Direct Methods [3]; Difference Fourier syntheses
In the asymmetric unit:
13 Non-hydrogen atoms with anisotropic displacement parameters
For neutral atoms and anomalous dispersion [4]
no
$w^{-1}=\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(\mathrm{a} * \mathrm{P})^{2}+\mathrm{b} * \mathrm{P}$
with a: 0.0204; b: 2.2924; P: [Maximum( 0 or $F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}$ ) $\left.+2 * F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right] / 3$
Less than 0.001 in the last cycle of refinement:
$+1.07 \mathrm{e}_{0} ; / / \AA^{3} ;-0.69 \mathrm{e}_{0} ; / \AA^{3}$
$\Sigma\left(\left\|F_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{l}-\mid F_{\mathrm{c}}\right\|\right) / \Sigma\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|$

$$
=0.0223
$$

$\left[\Sigma w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2} / \Sigma w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}$

|  |  |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | $=0.0545$ |
| $\left[\Sigma w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2} /(\mathrm{NO}-\mathrm{NV})\right]^{1 / 2}$ |  |
|  | $=0.0550$ |
|  | $=1.087$ |

Refinement expression $\Sigma w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}$

## III. Single Crystal X-Ray Structure Determination of Compound 6

Data were collected on a Nonius Kappa-CCD diffractometer using monochromated Mo-K $\alpha$ radiation and were measured using a combination of $\phi$ scans and $\omega$ scans with $\kappa$ offsets, to fill the Ewald sphere. The data were processed using the Denzo-SMN package [8]. Absorption corrections were carried out using SORTAV [9]. The structure was solved and refined using SHELXTL V6.1 [10] for full-matrix leastsquares refinement that was based on $F^{2}$. All H atoms were included in calculated positions and allowed to refine in riding-motion approximation with $\mathrm{U} \sim$ iso $\sim$ tied to the carrier atom.


Figure S2 - Ortep drawing of compound 2 with $50 \%$ ellipsoids. [6]

Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature
Wavelength

C32 H36 F8 I3 N
967.32

150(2) K
0.71073 A

| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| :---: | :---: |
| Space group | C c |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=13.7911(4) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=30.7832(10) \AA$ 发 $\quad \beta=96.2920(19)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=8.3658(1) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 3530.17(16) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.820 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $2.721 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 1864 |
| Crystal size | $0.20 \times 0.14 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 2.65 to $27.49^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-17<=\mathrm{h}<=17,-34<=\mathrm{k}<=39,-10<=1<=8$ |
| Reflections collected | 12445 |
| Independent reflections | $6063[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0384]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=27.49^{\circ}$ | 94.7 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.768 and 0.677 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 6063 / 2 / 401 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.069 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0433, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0965$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0553, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1060$ |
| Absolute structure parameter | 0.51(3) |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 1.131 and -1.462 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

## IV. Computational Details.

Calculations were carried out using the Gaussian 09 software package [11] on a Linux workstation equipped with two quad-core AMD Shanghai processors. The energy dependence on the I---I ---I halogen bond angle (in the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{I}---\mathrm{I}^{-}--\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{I}$ complex) was estimated by constraining the $\mathrm{I}--\mathrm{I}^{-}---\mathrm{I}$ bond angle and optimizing the geometry at each iteration using the B3LYP functional. The $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ basis sets were used for all atoms except iodine, for which the double- $\zeta$ LANL2DZ basis set and effective core potential (ECP) were used,[12] augmented by polarization functions of $d$ symmetry and diffuse functions of p symmetry.[13] The LANL2DZdp basis set was downloaded from the EMSL Basis Set Exchange (https://bse.pnl.gov/bse/portal).[14] The I---I ${ }^{-}---I$ angle was incremented (and constrained) systematically by $10^{\circ}$ from $60-170^{\circ}$. Stationary points were obtained at some iterations on the basis of negligible forces (Maximum force $\leq 0.000009$ and RMS force $\leq 0.000002$ ).
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