Supporting Information

Large-Scale Synthesis of Uniform Cu₂O Nanocubes with Tunable Sizes by In-Situ Nucleation

I-Chun Chang,^a Po-Chin Chen, ^a Min-Chiao Tsai, ^a Ting-Ting Chen, ^a Min-Han Yang, ^a Hsin-Tien Chiu,^b and Chi-Young Lee,^{a,*}

Experimental section

Synthesis

A method of controlling the number of Cu₂O seeds in solution is demonstrated to synthesize Cu₂O nanocubes with various sizes. A larger quantity of Cu₂O seeds yields smaller Cu₂O cubes. The amount of Cu₂O seeds was tuned by controlling the copper hydroxide $(Cu(OH)_2)$ concentrations. The formation of $Cu(OH)_2$ was reduced that a portion of Cu^{2+} ions were chelated by trisodium citrate. In this work, all chemicals were purchased from Aldrich Company and directly used without further purification. The Cu₂O nanocubes were synthesized from copper sulfate (CuSO₄, 99%, Aldrich), sodium hydroxide (NaOH, 99%, Aldrich), trisodium citrate dihydrate ($C_6H_5Na_3O_7 \cdot 2H_2O$, 99%, SHOWA), and ascorbic acid ($C_6H_8O_6$, 99.7%, J.T.Baker). In a typical procedure, a round-bottom flask was filled with 400 mL of deionized water and various amounts of trisodium citrate and placed in a water bath that was maintained at 20 °C for 20 min with vigorous stirring. Then, 1 mL of 1.2 M CuSO₄ solution was rapidly injected using a pipette. After 5 min, 1 mL of 4.8 M NaOH solution was injected into the solution. The clear blue solution immediately turned turbid blue, indicating the precipitation of $Cu(OH)_{2}$. After another 5 min, 1 mL of 1.2 M ascorbic acid (AA) was injected as a reducer and the solution was maintained in a water bath for another 30 min. The color of the solution rapidly turned from turbid blue to yellowish brown. The concentration of Cu²⁺: citrate: OH⁻: AA in the final solution was 3 mM: x mM: 12 mM: 3 mM (1: X: 4: 1, where X = 0.25, 0.50 and 0.75). The product was filtered and washed three times with DI water and alcohol. It was then dried for 2 h in an oven that was maintained at 50 °C. Finally, the sample powder was stored *in vacuo*.

Spectroscopic characterizations

The sample powders and electrodes were characterized using the following instruments; a scanning electron microscope (SEM, JEOL JSM-6500F at 15 kV) equipped with an energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS, Oxford Link Pentafet), a transmission electron microscope (TEM, JEOL JEM-2010F at 200 kV and JEOL JEM-4000EX), and an X-ray diffractometer, (XRD, Bruker AXS D8 Advance).

Electrochemical evaluation

To evaluate the efficiency of the electrochemical anode in lithium ion batteries, electrochemical cells with negative electrodes which were made using sample A, sample B, and sample C. Lithium metal was used as the counter and the reference electrodes, and the electrolyte solution was 1.0 M LiPF₆ in a 1: 1: 1 mixture (by volume) of ethylene carbonate (EC), diethyl carbonate (DEC), and dimethyl carbonate (DMC). The cell separator was a Celgard 2400 membrane. The anode comprised Cu₂O /carbon composite (i.e. sample A, sample B, and sample C) was made by the mixture of 80 wt % active material, 10 wt % carbon black conducting agent (super P) and 10 wt % poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) as binder. After these substances had been mixed in an N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) solution, the prepared slurry was coated on copper foil to a thickness of approximately 50 \Box m. After coating, the electrodes were dried at 110 °C for 2 h in a vacuum oven, to ensure that the solvent was evaporated. They were subsequently roll-pressed. The 2032 coin-type cells were assembled in an argon-filled glove box and charge/discharge tests were conducted using an AcuTech System BAT-750B

Battery Automatic Tester at a constant current density with a cutoff voltage of 0.02 V to 3.00 V.



Figure S1. SEM images of the sample control 1.

9	•			El	ement	We	eight%	At	tomic	%
					СK		3.13		11.38	}
) K	1	0.77		29.41	
				(Cu L	8	6.10		59.20)
				Т	otals	1	00.00			
Ĭ				Φ,						
μ <mark>ι</mark>			.	<mark>\</mark>	.			-, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -		
O	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20

Figure S2. Energy dispersive spectrum of nanocubes sample C.



Figure S3. X-ray diffraction patterns (XRD) of various sized Cu₂O nanocubes.



Figure S4. Cyclic voltammograms of Sample A, B and C obtained at a scan rate of 0.2 mV s⁻¹ between 0.02 and 3.00 V (vs. Li/Li⁺); Galvanostatic charge-discharge curves of Sample A, B and C at potential 0.02 - 3.00 V versus Li⁺/Li at a rate of 1 C. Solid and dashed lines represent discharging and charging process and cycle number is indicated by color.



Figure S5. (a) Cycling performance of Cu_2O nanocube electrodes at a rate of 1 C. (b) Specific capability at various discharging and charging rates.