Electronic Supplementary Information

Two Rare Indium-based Porous Metal-Metalloporphyrin Frameworks

Exhibiting Interesting CO₂ Uptake

Wen-Yang Gao,^{§,a} Zhuxiu Zhang,^{§,a} Lindsay Cash,^a Lukasz Wojtas,^a Yu-Sheng Chen,^b and Shengqian Ma*^a

^{*a*} Department of Chemistry, University of South Florida, 4202 E. Flower Avenue, Tampa, FL 33620, USA

^b ChemMatCARS, Center for Advanced Radiation Sources, The University of Chicago, 9700 S. Cass Avenue, Argonne, IL 60439, USA

[§]These authors contribute equally.

Synthesis of MMPF-7:

A mixture of tcpp (0.005g), $In(NO_3)_3 \cdot xH_2O$ (0.006g), 1 drop of concentrated nitric aicd (con. HNO₃), 1.0 mL dimethylformamide (DMF) and 1.0 mL acetonitrile (MeCN) was put in a 4 mL vial and heated to 85 °C for 48 hours. The resulting dark colored block crystals were obtained (yield: 55% based on tcpp). The sample was scaled up into hundreds of milligrams using multiple vials. IR (cm⁻¹):1659(w); 1604(s); 1505(m); 1384(vs); 1278(w); 1178(w); 1099(w); 1017(m); 962(m); 873(m); 799(m); 774(s); 714(vs); 491(vs). Elemental analysis: Formula based upon TGA plot: (In_{1.29}C₄₈H_{25.42}O_{8.57}N₄) (C₂H₈N)_{0.71}(CH₃CN)_{1.33}(C₃H₇NO)_{2.50}, Calculated: C, 58.97; H, 4.37; N, 9.86 %; Elemental Analysis: C, 58.73; H, 4.39; N 9.90 %.

Synthesis of MMPF-8:

A mixture of tcbpp (0.001g), $In(NO_3)_3 \cdot xH_2O$ (0.005g), 1 drop of concentrated hydrochloric acid (con. HCl), 0.1 mL water (H₂O), 0.25 mL methanol (MeOH) and 0.5 mL dimethylformamide (DMF) was sealed in a Pyrex tube under vacuum and heated to 85 °C for 48 hours. The resulting dark colored block crystals were obtained (yield: 65% based on the tcbpp). The sample was scaled up into hundreds of milligrams using multiple tubes. IR (cm⁻¹): 1650(w); 1604(s); 1520(w); 1378(vs); 1206(w); 1177(w); 1100(w); 1005(vs); 873(w); 850(w); 780(vs); 716(m); 666(m); 522(w); 427(s). Elemental analysis: Formula based upon TGA plot: $(In_2C_{72}H_{40}N_4O_{10})$ (C₃H₇NO)₁₂, Calculated: C, 58.22; H, 5.61; N, 10.06 %; Elemental Analysis: C, 58.01; H, 5.63; N 10.02 %.

General methods:

Commercially available reagents were purchased as high purity from Fisher Scientific or Frontier Scientific and used without further purification.5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4-carboxyphenyl) porphyrin (tcpp) was purchased from Frontier Scientific. 5,10,15,20-tetrakis (4-carboxybiphenyl)porphyrin (tcbpp) was synthesized as the literature reported.¹ Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed under nitrogen on a TA Instrument TGA 2950 Hi-Res from 30°C to 800 °C at the speed of 10 °C/min.

Gas Adsorption Experiments:

Gas adsorption isotherms of MMPF-7 and MMPF-8 were collected using the surface area analyzer ASAP-2020. Before the measurements, the freshly prepared samples were washed with methanol, and then activated with supercritical CO_2 in a Tousimis Samdri PVT-3D

critical point dryer. CO_2 gas adsorption isotherms were collected at 273K using a water-ice bath and at 295K with a water bath. The N₂ isotherm of MMPF-8 was measured at 77K using a liquid nitrogen bath.



Fig. S1 Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of MMPF-7.



Fig. S2 Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of MMPF-8.



Fig. S3 TGA plots of MMPF-7 and MMPF-8.

Heat of Adsorption (Qst) Calculations.

The virial equation of the form given in Equation (1) was employed to calculate the enthalpies of adsorption for CO_2 on MMPF-7 and MMPF-8.

$$\ln P = \ln N + 1/T \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i N^i + \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i N^i$$
(1)

where *P* is the pressure expressed in Torr, *N* is the amount adsorbed in mmol/g, *T* is the temperature in K, a_i and b_i are virial coefficients, and *m* and *n* represent the number of coefficients required to adequately describe the isotherms. The equation was fitted by using the the least-squares method; m and n were gradually increased until the contribution of *a* and *b* coefficients toward the overall fitting is statistically trivial, as determined by the t-test. The values of the virial coefficients $a_0...a_m$ were then used to calculate the isosteric heat of adsorption by the following expression:

$$\Box Q_{st} = -R \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i N^i \quad \tilde{\Box} \quad (2)$$



Fig. S4 Nitrogen isotherm of MMPF-8 at 77K.



Fig. S5 The plots of virial equation of MMPF-7.



Fig. S6 The plots of virial equation of MMPF-8.

Single-Crystal X-ray Diffraction for MMPF-7 and MMPF-8

The X-ray diffraction data for MMPF-8 were collected using synchrotron radiation, $\lambda = 0.41328$ Å, at Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Lab, Chicago. The X-ray diffraction data for MMPF-7 were collected using Bruker-AXS SMART-APEXII CCD diffractometer (CuK α , $\lambda = 1.54178$ Å). Indexing was performed using *APEX2*² (Difference Vectors method). Data integration and reduction were performed using SaintPlus 6.01.³ Absorption correction was performed by multi-scan method implemented in SADABS.⁴ Space groups were determined using XPREP implemented in APEX2.² Structures were solved using SHELXS-97 (direct methods) and refined using SHELXL-97 (full-matrix least-squares on F²) contained in WinGX^{5,6,7,8} and OLEX2 programs.⁹

MMPF-7

All non-H atoms were found in the difference Fourier map and all (except disordered O1) were refined anisotropically. The position in the center of porphyrin core is partially occupied by In2 atom with refined occupancy of 0.284(2). The contribution of heavily disordered solvent molecules / counterions was treated as diffuse using Squeeze procedure implemented in Platon program.^{10, 11} Hydrogen atoms were placed in geometrically calculated positions and included in the refinement process using riding model with isotropic thermal parameters: Uiso(H) = 1.2Ueq(-CH). Crystal data and refinement conditions are shown in Table S1.

MMPF-8

All non-H atoms were found in the difference Fourier map and were refined anisotropically. Despite the fact that synchrotron radiation have been used, diffraction data were observed only up to 1.05 Å resolution which could be attributed to solvent disorder. All atoms have been refined using restraints (DFIX, DANG, FLAT, DELU, SIMU, ISOR) and constraints for benzene rings (AFIX 66) in order to obtain chemically feasible model which would otherwise be distorted due to the lower resolution of diffraction data. The contribution of heavily disordered solvent molecules was treated as diffuse using Squeeze procedure implemented in Platon program.^{9,10} Hydrogen atoms were placed in geometrically calculated positions and included in the refinement process using riding model with isotropic thermal parameters: Uiso(H) = 1.2Ueq(-CH). Crystals has been racemic twin with BASF = 0.25(1). Crystal data and refinement conditions are shown in Table S2.

Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for MMPF-7	
Identification code	MMPF-7
Empirical formula	$C_{49,42}H_{31,10}In_{1,29}N_{4,71}O_{8.57}$
Formula weight	976.11
Temperature	228(2) K
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $C2/c$
Unit cell dimensions	a = 7.6333(3) Å $alpha = 90$ deg.
	b = 24.1332(11) Å $beta = 93.505(3)$ deg.
	c = 30.5291(14) Å gamma = 90 deg.
Volume	5613.4(4) Å^3
Z, Calculated density	4, 1.155 Mg/m^3
Absorption coefficient	4.698 mm^-1
F(000)	1969.0
Crystal size	0.1 x 0.1 x 0.1 mm
Theta range for data collection	2.90 to 65.07 deg.
Limiting indices	-8<=h<=8, -26<=k<=27, -35<=l<=24
Reflections collected / unique	11445 / 4517 [<i>R</i> (int) = 0.0615]
Data / restraints / parameters	4517 / 0 / 287
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	0.951
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0547, wR2 = 0.1305
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0812, wR2 = 0.1396
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.923 and -0.593 e. Å^-3

Table S2. Crystal data and structure refinement for MMPF-8	
Identification code	MMPF-8
Empirical formula	$C_{83.25}H_{66.25}In_2N_{7.75}O_{13.75}$
Formula weight	1624.83
Temperature	100(2) K
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, Cc
Unit cell dimensions	a = 41.982(3) Å $alpha = 90 deg.$
	b = 21.5588(12) Å $beta = 92.6350(10)$ deg.
	c = 43.755(2) Å gamma = 90 deg.
Volume	39560(4) Å^3
Z, Calculated density	16, 1.091 Mg/m^3
Absorption coefficient	0.608 mm^-1
F(000)	13248.0
Crystal size	0.21 x 0.16 x 0.14 mm
Theta range for data collection	1.01 to 11.35 deg.
Limiting indices	-38<=h<=39, -19<=k<=20, -41<=l<=41
Reflections collected / unique	147776 / 34753 [R(int) = 0.0941]
Data / restraints / parameters	34753 / 2063 / 2786
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.049
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0699, wR2 = 0.1770
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.1043, wR2 = 0.1891
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.421 and -0.456 e. Å^-3

References.

[1] T. Aya and A. D. Hamilton, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2003. 13, 2651.

[2] Bruker, APEX2, 2010, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

[3] Bruker, SAINT. Data Reduction Software, 2009, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

[4] Sheldrick, G. M. SADABS. Program for Empirical Absorption Correction, 2008, University of Gottingen, Germany.

[5] L. J. Farrugia, Appl. Cryst., 1999, 32, 837.

[6] G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL-97. Program for the Refinement of Crystal, 1997.

[7] G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Cryst., 1990, A46, 467.

[8] G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Cryst., 2008, A64, 112.

[9] O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard and H. Puschmann, OLEX2: A complete structure solution, refinement and analysis program, *J. Appl. Cryst.*, 2009, **42**, 339.

[10] T. L. Spek, Acta Cryst., 1990, A46, 194.

[11] T. L. Spek, Acta Cryst., 1990, A46, c34.