# Single-crystal to single-crystal guest exchange and phase transformations in a porous metallocycle

Marike du Plessis, Vincent J. Smith and Leonard J. Barbour\*

## **Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)**

## Contents

Thermogravimetric analysis	1
Structure parameters	6
Crystal data tables	7



# Thermogravimetric analysis

Figure 1. TGA plot for  $1_{apohost}$ . The second derivative curve (blue line) indicates no significant mass change up to decomposition of the compound at ~270°C, indicating that no guest is present in the channels formed by the host metallocycles.



**Figure 2.** TGA plot for Solvate **1**. The observed mass loss of 7.9% correlates with the predicted value of 8.9% for two acetonitrile molecules per metallocycle host, taken into account that some solvent loss occurs at room temperature during sample preparation.



**Figure 3.** TGA plot for Solvate **2**. The observed mass loss of 8.5% correlates with the predicted value of 11.2% for two acetone molecules per metallocycle host, taken into account that some solvent loss occurs at room temperature during sample preparation.



**Figure 4.** TGA plot for Solvate **3**. The observed mass loss of 17.0% correlates with the predicted value of 20.6% for two chloroform molecules per metallocycle host, taken into account that some solvent loss occurs at room temperature during sample preparation.



**Figure 5.** TGA plot for Solvate **4**. The observed mass loss of 8.1% correlates with the predicted value of 7.8% for one benzene molecule taken into account that some solvent is lost from the surface of the crystals.



Figure 6. TGA plot for Solvate 5. The observed mass loss of 11.9% correlates with the predicted value of 11.9% for one difluorobenzene molecule per metallocycle host.



**Figure 7.** TGA plot for Solvate **6**. The observed mass loss of 9.4% correlates with the predicted value of 9.1% for one toluene molecule per metallocycle host.



Figure 8. Combined TGA plots for  $\mathbf{1}_{\text{apohost}}$  and solvates 1-6.

Table 1.	Summary of observed and predicted TGA results	. The host:guest ratio from TGA results support the ratio
observed	from the single-crystal X-ray diffraction (SCD) da	ta.

Structure	Guest	% Mass loss (TGA observed)	% Mass loss (TGA predicted)	Host:Guest (TGA)	Host:Guest (SCD)
1 <sub>apohost</sub>	-	0	0	-	-
1	Acetonitrile	7.9	8.9	1:2	1:2
2	Acetone	8.5	11.2	1:2	1:2
3	Chloroform	17.0	20.6	1:2	1:2
4	Benzene	8.1	7.8	1:1	1:1
5	Difluorobenzene	11.9	11.9	1:1	1:1
6	Toluene	9.4	9.1	1:1	1:1

# **Structure parameters**

**Table 2.** Additional structure parameters for **1**<sub>apohost</sub> and solvates **1-6** that was not included in the main text. <sup>a</sup>The angle formed by the N-Ag-N atoms of the host metallocycle. <sup>b</sup>The N-C-C angle that is formed by the corners of the metallocycle. <sup>c</sup>The distance between phenylene moieties of adjacent metallocycles in the crystal packing arrangement as shown in Figure **12** of the main text. <sup>d</sup>The distance between imidazolyl moieties of adjacent metallocycles in the crystal packing arrangement as shown in Figure **12** of the main text.

Structure	Guest	N-Ag-Nª	N-C-C⁵	Ar <sub>bz</sub> …Ar <sub>bz</sub> c	Ar <sub>im</sub> …Ar <sub>im</sub> d
1 <sub>apohost</sub>	-	175.51(8)	111.26(1)	3.749	3.608
1	Acetonitrile	178.59(8)	110.43(1)	3.666	3.688
2	Acetone	176.93(8)	110.1(2)/111.5(2)	3.634(4)	3.770(4)/3.681(3)
3	Chloroform	177.35(2)	111.5(4)	3.704(8)	3.590(8)
4	Benzene	179.03(9)	110.2(2)/110.7(2)	3.667(4)	3.608(3)/3.781(3)
5	Difluorobenzene	176.66(1)	109.9(3)/111.6(2)	3.689(4)	3.793(4)/3.656(4)
6	Toluene	175.94(11)	109.9(3)/111.7(3)	3.781(5)	3.626(5)/3.544(5)

# Crystal data tables

Table 5. Crystal data and structure refinement	. of 2.		
Empirical formula	$C_{38}H_{48}Ag_2B_2F_8N_8O_2$		
Formula weight	1038.20		
Temperature (K)	100(2)		
Wavelength (Å)	0.71073		
Crystal system	triclinic		
Space group	$P\square$		
Unit cell dimensions (Å, °)	a = 7.1272(12)	$\alpha = 71.973(2)$	
	<i>b</i> = 12.249(2)	$\beta = 89.308(2)$	
	c = 12.943(2)	$\gamma = 89.483(2)$	
Volume (Å <sup>3</sup> )	1074.4(3)		
Ζ	1		
Calculated density (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	1.605		
Absorption coefficient (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	0.990		
$F_{000}$	524		
Crystal size (mm <sup>3</sup> )	$0.17 \times 0.11 \times 0.10$		
$\theta$ range for data collection (°)	1.65 to 27.53		
Miller index ranges	$-9 \le h \le 9, -15 \le k \le 15, -15$	$16 \le l \le 16$	
Reflections collected	13534		
Independent reflections	4907 [ $R_{\rm int} = 0.0276$ ]		
Completeness to $\theta_{max}$ (%)	98.9		
Max. and min. transmission	0.9092 and 0.8521		
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares	on $F^2$	
Data / restraints / parameters	4907 / 0 / 275		
Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$	1.174		
Final <i>R</i> indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	R1 = 0.0310, wR2 = 0.075	53	
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0322, wR2 = 0.075	59	
Largest diff. peak and hole (e Å-3)	1.490 and -0.856		

 Table 3 Crystal data and structure refinement for 2.

5			
Empirical formula	$C_{34}H_{38}Ag_{2}B_{2}Cl_{6}F_{8}N_{8} \\$		
Formula weight	1160.78		
Temperature (K)	100(2)		
Wavelength (Å)	0.71073		
Crystal system	monoclinic		
Space group	$P2_{1}/c$		
Unit cell dimensions (Å, °)	<i>a</i> = 7.0910(19)	$\alpha = 90.00$	
	<i>b</i> = 20.479(6)	$\beta = 91.038(4)$	
	c = 14.855(5)	$\gamma = 90.00$	
Volume (Å <sup>3</sup> )	2156.9(11)		
Ζ	2		
Calculated density (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	1.787		
Absorption coefficient (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	1.352		
$F_{000}$	1152		
Crystal size (mm <sup>3</sup> )	$0.21 \times 0.21 \times 0.19$		
$\theta$ range for data collection (°)	1.69 to 28.33		
Miller index ranges	$-9 \le h \le 9, -26 \le k \le 27, -8 \le l$	≤ 19	
Reflections collected	13383		
Independent reflections	5366 $[R_{int} = 0.0483]$		
Completeness to $\theta_{max}$ (%)	99.7		
Max. and min. transmission	0.7841 and 0.7634		
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on $F^2$	2	
Data / restraints / parameters	5366 / 0 / 273		
Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$	1.061		
Final <i>R</i> indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	R1 = 0.0573, wR2 = 0.1403		
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0807, wR2 = 0.1515		
Largest diff. peak and hole (e Å-3)	0.902 and -1.778		

### Table 4. Crystal data and structure refinement for 3.

5			
Empirical formula	$C_{38}H_{42}Ag_{2}B_{2}F_{8}N_{8} \\$		
Formula weight	1000.16		
Temperature (K)	100(2)		
Wavelength (Å)	0.71073		
Crystal system	triclinic		
Space group	$P\square$		
Unit cell dimensions (Å, °)	<i>a</i> = 7.1020(18)	$\alpha = 72.237(4)$	
	<i>b</i> = 12.388(3)	$\beta = 89.284(4)$	
	c = 12.794(3)	$\gamma = 89.239(4)$	
Volume (Å <sup>3</sup> )	1071.8(5)		
Ζ	1		
Calculated density (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	1.550		
Absorption coefficient (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	0.986		
$F_{000}$	502		
Crystal size (mm <sup>3</sup> )	$0.20\times0.19\times0.18$		
$\theta$ range for data collection (°)	1.73 to 30.86		
Miller index ranges	$-9 \le h \le 10, -17 \le k \le 17, -18 \le l \le 18$		
Reflections collected	15794		
Independent reflections	$6181 [R_{int} = 0.0438]$		
Completeness to $\theta_{max}$ (%)	91.4		
Max. and min. transmission	0.8449 and 0.8257		
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on $F^2$		
Data / restraints / parameters	6181 / 249 / 288		
Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$	1.005		
Final <i>R</i> indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	R1 = 0.0372, wR2 = 0.0868		
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0510, wR2 = 0.0937		
Largest diff. peak and hole (e Å-3)	0.887 and -0.545		

### Table 5. Crystal data and structure refinement for 4.

Tuble 0. Crystal data and structure refinement for e			
Empirical formula	$C_{38}H_{40}Ag_2B_2F_{10}N_8$		
Formula weight	1036.14		
Temperature (K)	100(2)		
Wavelength (Å)	0.71073		
Crystal system	triclinic		
Space group	$P\square$		
Unit cell dimensions (Å, °)	a = 7.0814(11)	$\alpha = 71.505(2)$	
	<i>b</i> = 12.445(2)	$\beta = 89.185(2)$	
	c = 12.837(2)	$\gamma = 89.430(2)$	
Volume (Å <sup>3</sup> )	1072.7(3)		
Ζ	1		
Calculated density (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	1.604		
Absorption coefficient (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	0.994		
$F_{000}$	518		
Crystal size (mm <sup>3</sup> )	$0.13 \times 0.13 \times 0.10$		
$\theta$ range for data collection (°)	1.67 to 30.74		
Miller index ranges	$-10 \le h \le 9, -17 \le k \le 17, -18 \le l \le 18$		
Reflections collected	15820		
Independent reflections	6139 [ $R_{\rm int} = 0.0331$ ]		
Completeness to $\theta_{max}$ (%)	91.7		
Max. and min. transmission	0.9080 and 0.8799		
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on $F^2$		
Data / restraints / parameters	6139 / 14 / 310		
Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$	1.146		
Final <i>R</i> indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	R1 = 0.0463, wR2 = 0.1134		
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0514, wR2 = 0.1159		
Largest diff. peak and hole (e Å-3)	1.753 and -0.969		

### Table 6. Crystal data and structure refinement for 5.

Empirical formula	$C_{39}H_{43}Ag_{2}B_{2}F_{8}N_{8} \\$	
Formula weight	1013.17	
Temperature (K)	100(2)	
Wavelength (Å)	0.71073	
Crystal system	triclinic	
Space group	$P\square$	
Unit cell dimensions (Å, °)	a = 6.9746(10)	$\alpha = 72.422(2)$
	b = 12.0647(17)	$\beta = 87.762(2)$
	c = 13.1454(18)	$\gamma = 86.363(2)$
Volume (Å <sup>3</sup> )	1052.1(3)	
Ζ	1	
Calculated density (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	1.599	
Absorption coefficient (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	1.005	
$F_{000}$	509	
Crystal size (mm <sup>3</sup> )	$0.17 \times 0.13 \times 0.11$	
$\theta$ range for data collection (°)	1.63 to 28.15	
Miller index ranges	$-9 \le h \le 9, -14 \le k \le 16,$	$0 \le l \le 17$
Reflections collected	4849	
Independent reflections	4849 [ $R_{\rm int} = 0.0354$ ]	
Completeness to $\theta_{max}$ (%)	94.2	
Max. and min. transmission	0.8975 and 0.8493	
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares	s on $F^2$
Data / restraints / parameters	4849 / 0 / 274	
Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$	1.026	
Final <i>R</i> indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	R1 = 0.0412, wR2 = 0.09	911
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0552, wR2 = 0.09	962
Largest diff. peak and hole (e Å-3)	0.803 and -0.913	

### Table 7. Crystal data and structure refinement for 6.