Supporting information for Multimode vibronic spectra of the Holstein molecular crystal model

Qingmei Liu, Jun Ye and Yang Zhao^{*} School of Materials Science and Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 639798 (Dated: February 12, 2010)

^{*} Electronic address: YZhao@ntu.edu.sg

I. THE BROWNIAN OSCILLATOR MODEL

The MBO Hamiltonian is given by [1-5]

$$H = |g\rangle H_g \langle g| + |e\rangle H_e \langle e| + H', \qquad (1)$$

where

$$H_g = \sum_j \left[\frac{p_j^2}{2m_j} + \frac{1}{2} m_j \omega_j^2 q_j^2 \right],$$
 (2)

$$H_e = \hbar \omega_{eg}^0 + \sum_j \left[\frac{p_j^2}{2m_j} + \frac{1}{2} m_j \omega_j^2 \left(q_j + d_j \right)^2 \right],$$
(3)

and

$$H' = \sum_{n} \left[\frac{P_n^2}{2m_n} + \frac{1}{2} m_n \omega_n^2 \left(Q_n - \sum_j \frac{c_{nj} q_j}{m_n \omega_n^2} \right)^2 \right].$$
 (4)

Here p_j (P_n) , q_j (Q_n) , m_j (m_n) , and ω_j (ω_n) are the momentum, the coordinate, the mass, and the angular frequency of the *j*th (*n*th) nuclear mode of the primary (bath) oscillators, respectively. d_j represents the displacement for the *j*th nuclear mode in the excited electronic state. $\hbar \omega_{eg}^0$ is the energy separation of the purely electronic levels. H' describes the bath modes and their coupling to the primary oscillators with a coupling strength c_{nj} . The cross terms in q_jQ_n are responsible for damping. By defining the energy gap coordinate operator U as:

$$U = H_e - H_g - \hbar \omega_{eg}^0.$$
⁽⁵⁾

We can write the correlation function for the jth mode from the parameters of the Hamiltonian:

$$C_j(t) = -\frac{1}{2\hbar^2} \left[\langle U(t)U(0)\rho_g \rangle - \langle U(0)U(t)\rho_g \rangle \right], \tag{6}$$

where U(t) is the operator U in the interaction representation and ρ_g is the equilibrium ground-state vibrational density matrix:

$$\rho_g = \frac{|g\rangle\langle g|\exp(-\beta\hat{H}_g)}{Tr\left[\exp(-\beta\hat{H}_g)\right]} \tag{7}$$

with $\beta = 1/k_B T$.

In the present study, we adopt a simple form of the BO model with the bath modes is assumed to be a constant (i.e., $\gamma_j(\omega) = \text{constant}$, called Markovian or Ohmic limit) to compute PL spectra. For this simple case, the spectral density function reads [3]

$$\tilde{C}_{j}^{\prime\prime}(\omega) = \frac{2\lambda_{j}\omega_{j}^{2}\omega\gamma_{j}}{\omega^{2}\gamma_{j}^{2} + (\omega_{j}^{2} - \omega^{2})^{2}},\tag{8}$$

where the real part of the self-energy, $\Sigma_l(\omega)$, is set to zero.

The spectral response function g(t) can be expressed in terms of the frequency-domain correlation function $C''_{j}(\omega)$

$$g(t) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\omega \frac{\sum_{j} \tilde{C}_{j}''(\omega)}{\omega^{2}} \left[1 + \coth(\beta \hbar \omega/2)\right] (e^{-i\omega t} + i\omega t - 1).$$
(9)

Finally, the linear absorption and the relaxed fluorescence line shape can be then obtained from the spectral response function g(t):

$$\sigma_{abs}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Re} \int_0^\infty \exp\left[i(\omega - \omega_{eg}^0 - \lambda)t - g(t)\right] \mathrm{d}t.$$
(10)

$$I_{PL}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Re} \int_0^\infty \exp\left[i(\omega - \omega_{eg}^0 + \lambda)t - g^*(t)\right] \mathrm{d}t.$$
(11)

Without the coupling to the bath phonons, the Huang-Rhys theory [6] gives a Poisson distribution for phonon side peaks in the absorption spectrum at zero temperature:

$$\sigma_{\rm abs}(\omega) = \exp(-S_j) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{S_j^{\ n}}{n!} \delta(\omega - \omega_{eg} - n\omega_j) \tag{12}$$

S here is the intensity ratio between the first and zeroth phonon lines, and can also be identified with the average number of phonons emitted. For larger S, the Poisson distribution morphs into a Gaussian centered at S. Upon broadening due to bath dissipation and thermal effects, the well-resolved phonon peaks will acquire widths that increase with n. The width of the individual phonon peaks also increase with the temperature, linearly if the bath is Markovian [7].

II. LIMITING CASES

If there is only one Einstein phonon mode that is coupled to the exciton, no quadratic term included, and no transfer integral J considered, the Hamiltonian of the system at zero temperature recovers the form from the independent boson model:

$$\hat{H} = E_0 a^{\dagger} a + g a^{\dagger} a (b^{\dagger} + b) + \omega_0 b^{\dagger} b \tag{13}$$

As the bath phonons are assumed to be dispersionless, for the 0K case, the phonon occupation factors are zero, thus the Green's function has the form

$$G(t) = -i\theta(t)\exp[-itE_0 - g(1 - i\omega_0 t - e^{-i\omega_0 t})]$$

$$(14)$$

The spectral function is the imaginary part of the retarded Green's function of frequency:

$$A(\omega) = -2\operatorname{Im}(-i)\int_0^\infty dt e^{i\omega t} \exp[-itE_0 - g(1 - i\omega_0 t - e^{-i\omega_0 t})]$$
(15)

or

$$A(\omega) = 2\operatorname{Re} \int_0^\infty dt \exp[it(\omega - E_0 + g\omega_0) - g + ge^{-i\omega_0 t}]$$
(16)

By expanding the $ge^{-i\omega_0 t}$ part of the exponent in a power series:

$$\exp(ge^{-i\omega_0 t}) = \sum_l \frac{g^l}{l!} e^{-i\omega_0 lt}$$
(17)

it is possible to evaluate the time integral, so that it contains terms such as:

$$\int_0^\infty dt \exp[it(\omega - E_0 + g\omega_0 - \omega_0 l)] = \frac{i}{\omega - E_0 + g\omega_0 - \omega_0 l + i\delta}$$
(18)

If the limit $\delta \to 0$ is taken,

$$\frac{i}{\omega - E_0 + g\omega_0 - \omega_0 l + i\delta} = P \frac{i}{\omega - E_0 + g\omega_0 - \omega_0 l} + \pi \delta(\omega - E_0 + g\omega_0 - \omega_0 l)$$
(19)

The spectral functional can then be expressed as the real part of this time integral, which is a series of delta functions

$$A(\omega) = 2\pi e^{-g} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\infty} \frac{g^l}{l!} \delta(\omega - E_0 + g\omega_0 - \omega_0 l)$$
(20)

This spectral function provides a Poisson distribution of delta peaks with equal spacing of ω_0 , which is equivalent to the well-known Huang-Rhys theory[6].

- [1] J. Ye, Y. Zhao, N. Ng, and J. S. Cao, J. Phys. Chem. B 113, 5897, (2009).
- [2] S. Mukamel, Principles of Nonlinear Optical spectroscopy, Oxford University Press: Oxford, 1995.
- [3] Y. Zhao and R. S. Knox, J. Phys. Chem. A 104, 7751, (2000); J. Ye, A. Grimsdale, and Y. Zhao,
 J. Phys.Chem. A 113 (in press); F. Gao, W.Z. Liang, and Y. Zhao, J. Phys. Chem. A 113, 12847, (2009); L.T. Su, A. Tok, Y. Zhao, N. Ng, and F.Y.C. Boey, J. Phys. Chem. B 113, 5974, (2009).

- [4] S. L. Shi, G. Q. Li, S.J. Xu, Y. Zhao and G. H. Chen, J. Phys. Chem. B 110, 10475, (2006).
- [5] S. J. Xu, G. Q. Li, Y. J. Wang, Y. Zhao, G. H. Chen, D. G. Zhao, J. J. Zhu, and H. Yang, Appl. Phys. Lett. 88, 083123, (2006).
- [6] K. Huang, and A. Rhys, Proc. R. Soc. (London) A 204, 406, (1950).
- [7] R. S. Knox, G. J. Small, and S. Mukamel, Chem. Phys. 281, 1, (2002).