# A Novel Stabilisation Model for Ruthenium Nanoparticles in Imidazolium Ionic Liquids: *In situ* Spectroscopic and Labelling Evidence

Paul S. Campbell, Catherine C. Santini, Denis Bouchu, Bernard Fenet, Karine Philippot, Bruno Chaudret, Agílio A. H. Pádua, and Yves Chauvin

### **Electronic supplementary information**

1)  $\text{ESI}^+$  mass spectrum for IL<sub>2</sub> after deuterium incorporation.



## Relative peak intensities from above spectrum

m/z	Intensity	Relative
137.1	7750906.9	100.00
138.1	1824751.6	23.54
139.1	445068.6	5.74
140.1	732534.6	9.45
141.1	998252.5	12.88
142.1	401417.5	5.18
143.1	188360.9	2.43
144.2	93604.7	1.21
145.2	85572.5	1.10
146.2	85245.4	1.10
147.2	7639.2	0.10
148.2	315.9	0.00
149.2	7.7	0.00
150.2	0.1	0.00

## 2) $\mathrm{ESI}^{+}$ mass spectrum for $\mathrm{IL}_{3}$ after deuterium incorporation



IL<sub>3</sub>:



#### Relative intensities of the above spectrum

m/z	Intensity	Relative
173.1	7504755.5	100.00
174.1	2460646.9	32.79
175.1	473357.1	6.31
176.1	117093.6	1.56
177.1	11710.2	0.16
178.1	631.7	0.01
179.1	21.6	0.00
180.1	0.5	0.00
181.1	0.0	0.00

### ESI<sup>+</sup> spectrum – deuterated methylenecyclohexyl region



3) Ethylene Hydrogenation Calculations pV = nRT  $V_{reactor} = 125.8 \text{ cm}^3 = 1.258 \times 10^4 \text{ m}^3$   $p_r = 129 \text{ mbar} = 1.29 \times 10^4 \text{ Pa}$   $R = 8.314 \text{ m}^3 \text{ Pa K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$  T = 298 K $n = (1.29 \times 10^4 \times 1.258 \times 10^4) / (8.314 \times 298) \text{ m}^3 \text{ Pa m}^{-3} \text{ Pa}^{-1} \text{ K mol K}^{-1} = 6.54 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol ethylene introduced}$  GC calibration results:  $2.3 \times 10^{-12} \times A = no.$  moles of C 300µL injections =  $3 \times 10^{-7}$  m<sup>3</sup>

Table 1.	GC re	esults f	follow	ing	treatment	under	ethvlen	ne
1 4010 1.	0010	courto i	0110 11	1115	u cuuliiciit	anaor	Ctil y 1011	÷

Species	Ret time/ mins Peak Area		No. Moles of C	No. of Moles	No. of Moles
				(in 300 µL)	(in reactor)
ethane	4.816	$2.31 \times 10^4$	5.3×10 <sup>-8</sup>	2.7×10 <sup>-8</sup>	1.1×10 <sup>-5</sup>
ethylene	5.094	$6.57 \times 10^4$	1.5×10-7	7.6×10 <sup>-8</sup>	3.2×10 <sup>-5</sup>
isobutane	8.322	367	$8.4 \times 10^{-10}$	$2.1 \times 10^{-10}$	8.8×10 <sup>-8</sup>
butenes	10.5	805	1.9×10 <sup>-9</sup>	4.6×10 <sup>-10</sup>	1.9×10 <sup>-7</sup>

 $C_2H_4 + H_2 \rightarrow C_2H_6$ :

1 mole ethane produced = 1 mole H<sub>2</sub> consumed, i.e. 2 moles of surface hydrides  $2C_2H_4 + H_2 \rightarrow C_4H_{10}$ :

1 mole isobutane produced = 1 mole H<sub>2</sub> consumed, i.e. 2 moles of surface hydrides  $2C_2H_4 \rightarrow C_2H_8$ 

1 mole butene produced = No  $H_2$  consumed

		0			
Species	<i>Ret time/</i>	Peak Area	No. Moles of C	No. of Moles	No. of Moles
	mins			(in 300 µL)	(in reactor)
methane	4.501	$4.79 \times 10^{3}$	1.1 ×10 <sup>-8</sup>	1.1 ×10 <sup>-8</sup>	4.6 ×10 <sup>-6</sup>
ethane	4.826	$1.69 \times 10^4$	3.9 ×10 <sup>-8</sup>	1.9 ×10 <sup>-8</sup>	8.1 ×10 <sup>-6</sup>
n-propane	5.871	$8.47 \times 10^{3}$	1.9 ×10 <sup>-8</sup>	6.5 ×10 <sup>-9</sup>	2.7 ×10 <sup>-6</sup>
n-butane	8.753	$4.04 \times 10^{3}$	9.2×10 <sup>-9</sup>	2.3 ×10 <sup>-9</sup>	9.7 ×10 <sup>-7</sup>
n-pentane	12.434	463	1.1×10 <sup>-9</sup>	2.1 ×10 <sup>-10</sup>	8.9 ×10 <sup>-8</sup>

Table 2: GC results following treatment under H<sub>2</sub> liberating surface alkyls

 $\frac{1}{2}$  C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> +  $\frac{1}{2}$  H<sub>2</sub>  $\rightarrow$  -CH<sub>3</sub>

1 mole methyl produced =  $\frac{1}{2}$  mole H<sub>2</sub> consumed, i.e. 1 mole of surface hydrides C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> +  $\frac{1}{2}$  H<sub>2</sub>  $\rightarrow$  -C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>

1 mole ethyl produced =  $\frac{1}{2}$  mole H<sub>2</sub> consumed, i.e. 1 mole of surface hydrides 1 $\frac{1}{2}$  C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> +  $\frac{1}{2}$  H<sub>2</sub>  $\rightarrow$  -C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>

1 mole propyl produced =  $\frac{1}{2}$  mole H<sub>2</sub> consumed, i.e. 1 mole of surface hydrides  $2C_2H_4 + \frac{1}{2}H_2 \rightarrow -C_4H_9$ 

1 mole butyl produced =  $\frac{1}{2}$  mole H<sub>2</sub> consumed, i.e. 1 mole of surface hydrides  $2\frac{1}{2}C_2H_4 + \frac{1}{2}H_2 \rightarrow -C_5H_{11}$ 

1 mole pentyl produced =  $\frac{1}{2}$  mole H<sub>2</sub> consumed, i.e. 1 mole of surface hydrides

Total surface hydrides counted =  $38 \mu mol$ 

### Ethylene Mass balance

 $6.54 \times 10^{-5}$  mol (introduced) -  $6.02 \times 10^{-5}$  mol (detected by GC) =  $5.2 \mu$ mol ~ 8% error

4) TON calculations

Butenyl IL  $\rightarrow$  butyl IL

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{Ru}(\text{COT})(\text{COD}) - 2.2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ moles} \\ & \text{RuNPs}, \ 1.1 \pm 0.2 \text{ nm}, \ \text{dispersion} \sim 75\% \\ & \text{Ru}_{\text{s}} - 1.7 \times 10^{-4} \text{ moles} \\ & \text{Butenyl IL} \quad 7.5\text{g}/\ 417\text{g mol}^{-1} = 1.8 \times 10^{-2} \text{ moles} \\ & 15 \% \text{ converted} \Rightarrow 2.7 \times 10^{-3} \text{ moles} \\ & \text{TON} \Rightarrow 2.7 \times 10^{-3} \text{ moles} / 1.7 \times 10^{-4} \text{ moles} = \underline{16} \end{aligned}$ 

Benzyl IL  $\rightarrow$  methylenecyclohexyl IL

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{Ru}(\text{COT})(\text{COD}) - 2.2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ moles} \\ & \text{RuNPs}, \ 3.2 \pm 0.7 \text{ nm}, \ \text{dispersion} \sim 35\% \\ & \text{Ru}_{\text{s}} - 7.7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ moles} \\ & \text{Benzyl IL} \quad 7.5g/\ 453g\ \text{mol}^{-1} = 1.7 \times 10^{-2} \text{ moles} \\ & 30\% \text{ converted} \rightarrow 4.9 \times 10^{-3} \text{ moles} \\ & \text{TON} \rightarrow 4.9 \times 10^{-3} \text{ moles} = \underline{64} \end{aligned}$