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## 1 EXAFS Experimental Details and Data Evaluation

## Procedure

XAS measurements were performed at beamline E4 at the Hamburger Synchrotron Strahlungslabor (HASYLAB) under ambient conditions at 293 K . A Si(111) double crystal monochromator was used for measurements at the Cu K-edge ( 8.979 keV ). The second monochromator crystal was tilted for optimal harmonic rejection. The energy resolution for the Cu K-edge energy is estimated to be 3.0 eV . The spectra were recorded in transmission mode with ionisation chambers filled with nitrogen. The individual pressures were adjusted to optimize the signal to noise ratio. Energy calibration was performed with a copper metal foil, which was measured simultaneously with the samples between the second and third ionisation chamber. To avoid errors in the XANES region due to small changes in the energy calibration between two measurements, all spectra were calibrated to the edge position of the copper foil. The solid samples were embedded in an oxygen-free cellulose matrix and pressed into pellets. Since the copper loading of the liquid ion exchanged samples was an order of magnitude lower than that of the solid state ion exchanged samples, only solid state ion exchanged Cu/HZSM5 samples were analyzed (ZSM500 and ZSM700).

Data evaluation started with background absorption removal from the experimental absorption spectrum by subtracting a Victoreen-type polynomial. Due to several inflection points in the absorption edge, the threshold energy $\mathrm{E}_{0}$ was determined consistently by taking the energy at half the edge jump ${ }^{1,2}$. For copper metal, this procedure causes a shift of the energy scale in comparison with most published data by around 5 eV . Since all spectra were corrected in a consistent way, this shift does not lead to misinterpretations. To determine the smooth part of the spectrum, corrected for pre-edge absorption, a piecewise polynomial was used. It was adjusted in such a way that the low-R components of the resulting Fourier transform were minimal. After division of

[^0]the background-subtracted spectrum by its smooth part, the photon energy was converted to photoelectron wave numbers k . The resulting $\chi(\mathrm{k})$-function was weighted with $\mathrm{k}^{3}$ and Fourier transformed using a Hanning window function. Data analysis was performed in k -space with Fourier filtered data. The filtered range was chosen according to the range of significant data and is given in table 1 together with the results of the fitting procedure. Adjustment of the common theoretical EXAFS expression
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(k)=\sum_{j} \frac{N_{j}}{k r_{j}^{2}} S_{0}^{2}(k) F_{j}(k) e^{-2 k^{2} \sigma_{j}^{2}} e^{-2 r_{j} / \lambda} \sin \left[2 k r_{j}+\delta_{j}(k)\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

( $N_{j}$ : one type of neighbor atoms j in a shell, $r_{j}$ : distance of atoms j from the X ray absorbing atom, $S_{0}^{2}$ : amplitude reduction factor, $F_{j}$ : backscattering amplitude, $\sigma^{2}$ : Debye-Waller like factor, $\delta_{j}$ : overall phaseshift) according to the curved wave formalism of the EXCURV98 program with XALPHA phase and amplitude functions ${ }^{3}$. The mean free path of the scattered electrons was calculated from the imaginary part of the potential (VPI set to -4.00 eV ). Since XALPHA phases and amplitudes were used, an amplitude reduction factor $S_{0}^{2}$ was necessary to account for inelastic processes ${ }^{4}$. It was determined experimentally from the reference compounds $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CuO}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ to be $0.8 \pm 0.1$ by setting the coordination numbers to the crystallographic values ${ }^{5-7}$. $S_{0}^{2}=0.8$ was used for all samples, but the transfer of the reference $S_{0}^{2}$-value to unknown samples can result in rather large uncertainties of the coordination numbers and Debye-Waller-like factors $\sigma$. An inner potential correction $\mathrm{E}_{f}$ was introduced that accounts for an overall phase shift between the experimental and calculated spectra. In the fitting procedure, it was taken into account that the number of fitted parameters $\left(\mathrm{N}_{\text {pars }}\right)$ did not exceed the degrees of freedom $\left(\mathrm{N}_{\text {ind }}\right)$ which are calculated according to $N_{\text {ind }}=(2 \Delta k \Delta R / \pi)^{8}$. The quality of fit is given in terms of the R-factor according to ${ }^{9}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\sum \frac{k^{3}\left|\chi^{\exp }\left(k_{i}\right)-\chi^{\text {theo }}\left(k_{i}\right)\right|}{k^{3}\left|\chi^{\exp }\left(k_{i}\right)\right|} \cdot 100 \% \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Deconvolution of the XANES region was carried out by a least square fit of the spectra using the WINXAS program package ${ }^{10}$. To obtain a good fit, an arctan step function representing the transition of the ejected photoelectron to the continuum in combination with Gaussian functions to model defined transitions were used. All XANES spectra were analyzed in the range of $8.96-9.01 \mathrm{keV}$. No constraints were used, and the fits were repeated several times in order to check the reproducibility of the results.

Table S1: Details of the XANES deconvolution.

| Parameter | $\mathrm{Cu}_{\text {metal }} \mathrm{Cu}(0)$ | $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | CuO | ZSM500 | ZSM700 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Function | Gaussian | Gaussian | Gaussian | Gauss | Gauss |
| Height (a.u.) | 0.38 | 0.48 | 0.44 | 0.634 | 0.558 |
| Position (keV) | 8.976 | 8.976 | 8.980 | 8.978 | 8.978 |
| FWHM (keV) | 0.00596 | 0.00458 | 0.00612 | 0.0054 | 0.0053 |
| Area (a.u.) | 0.00243 | 0.00234 | 0.00286 | 0.00368 | 0.00314 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Function | Gaussian | Gaussian | Gaussian | Gauss | Gauss |
| Height (a.u.) | 0.21 | 0.29 | 0.03 | 0.077 | 0.08 |
| Position (keV) | 8.987 | 8.989 | 8.987 | 8.988 | 8.988 |
| FWHM (keV) | 0.00494 | 0.00706 | 0.00224 | 0.0097 | 0.0071 |
| Area (a.u.) | 0.00107 | 0.00214 | 0.00007 | 0.00079 | 0.00061 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Function | Gaussian | Gaussian | Gaussian | Gauss | Gauss |
| Height (a.u.) | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.26 | 0.116 | 0.159 |
| Position (keV) | 8.997 | 9.006 | 8.991 | 8.995 | 8.994 |
| FWHM (keV) | 0.00853 | 0.02059 | 0.00979 | 0.0092 | 0.0093 |
| Area (a.u.) | 0.00156 | 0.00345 | 0.00266 | 0.001133 | 0.00157 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Function | Arctan | Arctan | Arctan | Arctan | Arctan |
| Height (a.u.) | 0.90 | 0.90 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.96 |
| Position (keV) | 8.980 | 8.979 | 8.983 | 8.980 | 8.980 |
| FWHM (keV) | 0.00573 | 0.00505 | 0.00478 | 0.0048 | 0.0046 |
| Area (a.u.) | 0.02300 | 0.04109 | 0.02281 | 0.02868 | 0.02841 |



Figure S1: Deconvoluted XANES spectra of the refences $\mathrm{Cu} 0, \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and CuO , and the samples ZSM500, ZSM700


Figure S2: Fitting curves in oscillation and FT for CuO


Figure S3: Fitting curves in oscillation and FT for $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}$


Figure S4: Fitting curves in oscillation and FT for ZSM700


Figure S5: Fitting curves in oscillation and FT for ZSM500


Figure S6: EPR lines of $10^{-8} \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$ of gas phase molecular oxygen in $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{HZSM} 5$.


Figure S7: Amount of ion exchanged copper in weight percent and percentage of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ of the total amount of exchanged copper vs. the ion exchange temperature of the samples
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