## **Supporting Information**

## Determination of Relative Tensor Orientations by 7-encoded Chemical Shift Anisotropy/Heteronuclear Dipolar Coupling 3D NMR Spectroscopy in Biological Solids

Guangjin Hou,<sup>*a,b*</sup> Sivakumar Paramasivam,<sup>*a*</sup> In-Ja L. Byeon,<sup>*b,c*</sup> Angela M. Gronenborn,<sup>*b,c*</sup> Tatyana Polenova\*<sup>*a,b*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware 19716, United States;

<sup>b</sup>Pittsburgh Center for HIV Protein Interactions, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, 1051 Biomedical Science Tower 3, 3501 Fifth Ave., Pittsburgh, PA 15261, United States;

<sup>c</sup>Department of Structural Biology, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, 1051 Biomedical Science Tower 3, 3501 Fifth Ave., Pittsburgh, PA 15261, United

States



*Figure S1.* The recoupled <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>15</sup>N dipolar and <sup>15</sup>N CSA lineshapes for different crystallite orientations in the laboratory frame produced by  $\gamma$ -encoded R18<sub>1</sub><sup>7</sup> and R14<sub>2</sub><sup>3/5</sup>. Two-spin system (<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>15</sup>N) is used in all simulations. The recoupled powder patterns are illstrated in the bottom figure; the powder averaged were generated using 986 ZCW crystallite orientations ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ). As shown in the Figure, there is only one splitting corresponding to each crystallite and it is independent of the number of gamma angles. This demonstrates that R18<sub>1</sub><sup>7</sup> and R14<sub>2</sub><sup>3/5</sup> recoupling techniques are  $\gamma$ -encoded.



**Figure S2.** The simulated <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>15</sup>N dipolar and <sup>15</sup>N CSA correlation spectra by the combined R18<sub>1</sub><sup>7</sup>/R14<sub>2</sub><sup>3</sup> symmetry scheme for different crystallite orientations. The same DIP/CSA relative orientation was used for all simulations. The crystallite orientations expressed in terms of the Euler angles are (a)  $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 0^{\circ}$ ; (b)  $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 30^{\circ}$ ; (c)  $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 60^{\circ}$ ; (d)  $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 90^{\circ}$ ; (e)  $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 0^{\circ}$ ; (f)  $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 30^{\circ}$ ; (g)  $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 60^{\circ}$ ; (h)  $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 90^{\circ}$ . It can be seen that the combined R-type symmetry scheme shows high sensitivity to the molecular orientation. Only one splitting can be found along DIP and CSA dimension for each crystallite orientation.



**Figure S3.** The simulated <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>15</sup>N dipolar and <sup>15</sup>N CSA correlation spectra by the combined R18<sub>1</sub><sup>7</sup>/R14<sub>2</sub><sup>5</sup> symmetry scheme for different crystallite orientations. The same DIP/CSA relative orientation was used for all simulations. The crystallite orientations expressed in terms of the Euler angles are (a)  $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 0^{\circ}$ ; (b)  $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 30^{\circ}$ ; (c)  $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 60^{\circ}$ ; (d)  $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 90^{\circ}$ ; (e)  $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 0^{\circ}$ ; (f)  $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 30^{\circ}$ ; (g)  $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 60^{\circ}$ ; (h)  $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 90^{\circ}$ . As with the R18<sub>1</sub><sup>7</sup>/R14<sub>2</sub><sup>3</sup> symmetry scheme in Figure S2, the combined R18<sub>1</sub><sup>7</sup>/R14<sub>2</sub><sup>5</sup> symmetry scheme also shows high sensitivity to the molecular orientation.



*Figure S4.* The simulated <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>15</sup>N dipolar and <sup>15</sup>N CSA correlation spectra by the combined  $\gamma$  encoded R18<sub>1</sub><sup>7</sup> / non  $\gamma$ encoded ROCSA<sup>1</sup> scheme for different crystallite orientations. The same DIP/CSA relative orientation was used for all the simulations. The crystallite orientations expressed in terms of the Euler angles are (a)  $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 0^{\circ}$ ; (b)  $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 30^{\circ}$ ; (c)  $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 60^{\circ}$ ; (d)  $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 90^{\circ}$ ; (e)  $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 0^{\circ}$ ; (f)  $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 30^{\circ}$ ; (g)  $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 60^{\circ}$ ; (h)  $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 90^{\circ}$ . Compared with the R-symmetry based schemes, the non  $\gamma$  encoded ROCSA sequence results in broad powder pattern along the CSA dimension for each orientation, but the sensitivity is much lower than in the R-symmetry schemes illustrated in figures S2 and S3. It is therefore necessary to employ  $\gamma$ -encoded recoupling scheme for determination of the CSA tensor orientation in the molecular frame.



*Figure S5.* The simulated <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>15</sup>N dipolar and <sup>15</sup>N CSA correlation spectra by the combined  $\gamma$  encoded R18<sub>1</sub><sup>7</sup>/R14<sub>2</sub><sup>5</sup> scheme for different relative tensor orientations. In a)-d), the Euler angle  $\beta_{\rm NH}$  (the angle between the N-H vector and the CSA  $\delta_{zz}$  principal value) was 20°, and the Euler angle  $\alpha_{\rm NH}$  (the angle between C $\alpha$ -N-H peptide plane and the CSA  $\delta_{xx}$  principal value) was 0°, 30°, 60°, and 90°, respectively. In e)-h), the Euler angle  $\alpha_{\rm NH}$  was 20°, and  $\beta_{\rm NH}$  was 0°, 30°, 60°, and 90°, respectively. This demonstrates that both Euler angles  $\alpha_{\rm NH}$  and  $\beta_{\rm NH}$  affect the 2D correlation spectrum patterns, but the effect of  $\alpha_{\rm NH}$  on the lineshape is much less pronounced.



**Figure S6.** Simulated R18<sub>1</sub><sup>7</sup>/R14<sub>2</sub><sup>5</sup> CSA/DIP spectra for different relative tensor orientations. In these simulations, the  $\delta_{xx}$  principal value CSA is considered to lie in the C $\alpha$ -N-H peptide plane ( $\alpha_{NH} = 0^{\circ}$ ), and the angle  $\beta_{NH}$  between the H-N vector and the CSA  $\delta_{zz}$  principal value was changed from 0 to 70 degrees with 10-degree increments from (a) to (h), respectively. 64  $t_1$  increments and 128  $t_2$  increments were used for each simulation. A powder average was generated with 986 ZCW angles and 3  $\gamma$  angles.

## **References:**

1. Chan, J. C. C.; Tycko, R., J. Chem. Phys., 2003, 118, 8378-8389.