EPR Parameters of Amino Acid Radicals in

P. eryngii Versatile Peroxidase and its W164Y variant computed at the QM/MM level

Caterina Bernini,^a Rebecca Pogni,^a Francisco J. Ruiz-Dueñas,^b Angel T. Martínez,^b

Riccardo Basosi^{*a*} and Adalgisa Sinicropi^{*a*,*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Siena, 53100 Siena, Italy ^bCentro de Investigaciones Biológicas, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, 28040 Madrid, Spain

Supporting Information

Contents

- 1. Computational Details
 - 1.1. Setup of the System
 - 1.2. Force Field
 - 1.3. QM/MM Method
- 2. Results
 - 2.1. EPR magnetic parameters

2.2. Optimized Structures

- 2.3. QM/MM Energies
- 3. References

1. Computational Details

1.1 Setup of the System

Initial structures for VP (pdb code: 2BOQ) and its W164Y variant (pdb code: 2W23) were obtained from the Protein Data Bank (1.33 Å and 1.94 Å resolution, respectively). The results of PROPKA2.0¹ runs were used, in combination with visual inspection, to assign the protonation states of all titratable residues (aspartic acid, glutamic acid and histidine) at the experimental pH (pH = 4.5). We assigned D30, D318, E26, E36, E40, E83, E140, E191, E217, E225 to be protonated, H39, H47, H232 to be protonated at the ε nitrogen and H169, which is coordinated to iron, to be protonated at the δ nitrogen. Missing hydrogen atoms were added by the psfgen module of VMD, version 1.8.6.² The protonated systems, as described above, with crystallographic water molecules, were neutralized with sodium and chlorine ions (0.15 M ionic strength) and fully solvated in a rectangular box (69 x 80 x 76 Å³ for VP and 75 x 65 x 73 Å³ for W164Y) of TIP3P water molecules using the autoionize and solvate modules of VMD.² Solvent boxes were created with a layer of at least 10 Å of water molecules around each protein atom and included 33975 and 31575 water molecules for VP and W164Y, respectively.

1.2 Force Field

All heme parameters were taken from the existing CHARMM27 library,³ originally determined for a Fe^{2+} containing heme group,⁴ with the exception that the atomic charges were modified to account for the different charge distribution in the Fe^{3+} -porphyrine complex.

Force Field atom types and charges for the heme used in the present work:

Atom				
name				
		type	charg	je
GROUP				
ATOM	FE	FE	1.68	! 02A 01A 02D 01D
ATOM	NA	NPH	-0.76	! \\// \\//
ATOM	NB	NPH	-0.76	! CGA CGD
ATOM	NC	NPH	-0.76	!
ATOM	ND	NPH	-0.76	! HBA1CBAHBA2 HA HBD1CBDHBD2
ATOM	C1A	CPA	0.32	!
ATOM	C2A	CPB	-0.03	! HAA1CAA-HAA2 CHA HAD1CADHAD2
ATOM	C3A	CPB	-0.02	! / _ \
ATOM	C4A	CPA	0.32	! C2AC1A C4DC3D
ATOM	C1B	CPA	0.32	!
ATOM	C2B	CPB	-0.02	!HMA1\ /HMD1
ATOM	СЗВ	CPB	-0.03	!HMA2-CMAC3A NA ND C2DCMD-HMD2
ATOM	C4B	CPA	0.32	!HMA3/ \ / \ / \ \HMD3
ATOM	C1C	CPA	0.32	! C4A \ / C1D
ATOM	C2C	CPB	-0.03	! / \ / \
ATOM	C3C	CPB	-0.02	! HBCHB FE CHDHD
ATOM	C4C	CPA	0.32	! \ / \ /
ATOM	C1D	CPA	0.32	! C1B / \setminus C4C HAC

Supplementary Material (ESI) for PCCP This journal is © the Owner Societies 2011

ATOM C2D	CPB	-0.02	!HMB1\ / \/ \/ \/ /
ATOM C3D	CPB	-0.03	!HMB2-CMBC2B NB NC C3CCAC
ATOM C4D	CPA	0.32	!HMB3/ \\/HBC1
CROUP			
	ODM	0 1 0	
ATOM CHA	СРМ	-0.10	! C3BC4B CICC2C (HBC2
АТОМ НА	HA	0.10	! _CHC_/
GROUP			! CAB CMCHMC3
ATOM CHB	CPM	-0.10	! // \ HC /
ATOM HR	НЪ	0 10	L CBB HAB HMC1 HMC2
CDOUD	117.1	0.10	
GROUP			
ATOM CHC	CPM	-0.10	! HBB1 HBB2
ATOM HC	HA	0.10	!
GROUP			
ATOM CHD	CPM	-0 10	
	U7	0 10	
AIOM HD	ПА	0.10	
GROUP			
ATOM CMA	CT3	-0.27	
ATOM HMA1	HA	0.09	
атом нма2	НА	0.09	
	117	0.00	
ATOM IIMAS	IIA	0.09	
GROUP			
ATOM CAA	CT2	-0.18	
ATOM HAA1	HA	0.09	
атом наа2	НА	0 09	
CDOUD		0.05	
GROUP	~ ~ ^	0 00	
ATOM CBA	CT2	-0.28	
ATOM HBA1	HA	0.09	
ATOM HBA2	HA	0.09	
ATOM CGA	CC	0.62	
	00	-0.76	
ATOM OIA	00	0.70	
ATOM 02A	00	-0.76	
GROUP			
ATOM CMB	CT3	-0.27	
ATOM HMB1	НА	0.09	
ATOM HMB2	ЧЛ	0 09	
ATOM HMD2		0.00	
ATOM HMB3	HA	0.09	
GROUP			
ATOM CAB	С	-0.20	
ATOM HAB	HA	0.20	
GROUP			
ATTOM CDD	C	_0 20	
AIOM CBB		-0.20	
ATOM HBBI	HA	0.10	
ATOM HBB2	HA	0.10	
GROUP			
ATOM CMC	CT3	-0.27	
ATOM HMC1	HA	0 09	
	117 1	0.00	
ATOM HMCZ	НА	0.09	
ATOM HMC3	HA	0.09	
GROUP			
ATOM CAC	С	-0.20	
АТОМ НАС	НА	0.20	
CROUP		J. 2 J	
	a	0 00	
ATOM CBC	C	-0.20	
ATOM HBC1	HA	0.10	
ATOM HBC2	HA	0.10	
GROUP			
	ርሞጓ	-0 27	
		0.27	
ATOM HMDI	пΑ	0.09	
ATOM HMD2	НА	0.09	
ATOM HMD3	HA	0.09	
GROUP			
ATOM CAD	CT2	-0 18	
	UN	0.10	
ATOM HADI	ΠА	0.09	

Supplementary Material (ESI) for PCCP This journal is © the Owner Societies 2011

ATOM	HAD2	HA	0.09
GROUE	2		
ATOM	CBD	CT2	-0.28
ATOM	HBD1	HA	0.09
ATOM	HBD2	HA	0.09
ATOM	CGD	CC	0.62
ATOM	01D	OC	-0.76
ATOM	02D	OC	-0.76

1.3 QM/MM Method

All QM/MM calculations were performed with the MOLCAS 7.4 package,⁵ coupled with a modified version of the MM package Tinker 4.2.⁶

An electronic embedding scheme was applied, using hydrogen link atoms (HLA) with a scaledcharge model to treat the QM/MM boundary region.^{7, 8}

The frontier is placed at the CO-C α H and NH–C α H bonds of V163 and L165, respectively, and at the C α -C β bond of E243. The QM models **M1** and **M2** (see Scheme 1 of the main text) were employed in QM/MM calculations of VP and W164Y enzymes, respectively. Model **M1** (40 atoms) includes the tryptophan residue (W164) with the CO and NH bonds of V163 and L165, respectively, and the negatively charged glutamate residue (E243). Model **M2** (37 atoms) is comprised of the tyrosyl residue (Y164) with the CO and NH bonds of V163 and L165, respectively, and the glutamate residue (E243). In both cases the overall charge for the QM part is -1 for the non-radical species and 0 for the radical species.

To correctly describe the frontier the HLA may interact with all the MM point charges, but cannot be involved in other MM potentials. The charges of the frontier MM carbon atoms were set to 0 to ensure that the QM wavefunction is not overpolarized by the proximity of HLA atoms. This procedure is allowed by the small values of the original CHARMM27 point charges.

Residue		Charge												
	Ca	Ηα	Ν	Н	С	0								
V163	0.0000	0.0000	-0.3740	0.3740	-	-								
L165	0.0000	0.0000	-	-	0.5100	-0.5100								
E243	0.0000	0.0000	-0.4284	0.3388	0.5548	-0.4652								

Table S1. Partial charges used for V163, L165 and E243 at the frontier region.

Both subsystems (QM and MM) interact in the following way: (a) the QM wave function is polarized by all the MM point charges; (b) stretching, bending and torsion potentials involving at least one MM atom are described at the MM level; (c) standard van der Waals potentials are used to represent the interaction between atom pairs (QM/MM) separated by more than two bonds. Therefore, the Hamiltonian used in the computations takes the following form:

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_{QM} + \hat{H}_{MM} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{Q} -\frac{q_{j}}{r_{ij}} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{Q} \frac{Z_{i}q_{j}}{r_{ij}} + E_{vdW} + E_{bonded}$$

where \hat{H}_{QM} is the Hamiltonian of the QM subsystem *in vacuo*. \hat{H}_{MM} is the Hamiltonian of the MM subsystem computed using the CHARMM27 forcefield, and the remaining four terms are the interacting QM/MM Hamiltonian. The first two terms comprise the electrostatic interactions (polarization of the wavefunction by the MM charges and Coulomb term between QM and MM nuclei) that were approximated using the ElectroStatic Potential Fitted method (ESPF) implemented in MOLCAS. The third term corresponds to the van der Waals interaction term computed using the definition of the CHARMM27 forcefield, and the last one contains the terms needed for a proper description of the frontier within the hydrogen link atom scheme. The active MM region has been defined to include all residues and solvent molecules that have atoms within a distance of 5 Å around any QM atoms and R257, K253 and S246 residues.

Here we explicitly specify the protein residues belonging to the chosen active region: P159, V160, E161, V162, V163, W164/Y164, L165, L166, A167, S168, F193, F198, Q239, T240, A241, E243, Q245, S246, M247, V248, N250, Q251, P252, K253, I254, Q255, N256, R257, F258, A259, A260, T261, M262.

2. Results

2.1 EPR magnetic parameters

The theoretical framework for present day EPR calculations is provided by hybrid DFT methods,⁹⁻¹⁷ that give better results than those delivered by the "pure" functionals or by competing theories based on correlated *ab initio* methods.¹⁸ Among hybrid functionals, B3LYP¹⁹⁻²¹ gives good theoretical results for EPR spectroscopy. Viable alternatives are PBE0⁹ and TPSSh.²² For the calculations of EPR properties a predefined special basis set can be used, such as the polarized triple- ζ valence basis set TZVP or the Barone's^{23, 24} EPR-II basis set. The spectroscopic data were obtained from additional single-point calculations at all sets of optimized structures using several hybrid density functionals (B3LYP, PBE0, TPSSh) in combination with the TZVP and EPR-II spectroscopic basis set for all atoms (see Table S2-16). These calculations were performed with the program package ORCA.²⁵

For B3LYP/TZVP computed values and abbreviations see the main paper.

		B3LYP/EPR-II	PBE0/EPR-II	PBE0/TZVP	TPSSh/EPR-II	TPSSh/TZVP
g-tensors						
gi	iso	2.00280	2.00279	2.00285	2.00277	2.00283
0	х	2.00341	2.00341	2.00350	2.00333	2.00343
	v	2.00268	2.00266	2.00274	2.00266	2.00274
	Z	2.00231	2.00230	2.00232	2.00232	2.00233
hfcc						
A _i (H- β 1)	iso	2.77	2.72	2.58	2.85	2.71
•	x	2.65	2.60	2.45	2.74	2.60
	v	2.95	2.90	2.76	3.03	2.90
	Z	2.71	2.66	2.51	2.79	2.65
A _i (H- β 2)	iso	1.07	1.06	1.01	1.09	1.05
	x	1.02	1.00	0.95	1.03	0.93
	v	0.96	0.94	0.90	0.97	0.98
	Z	1.24	1.23	1.19	1.26	1.22
A;(H1)	iso	-0.08	-0.06	-0.06	-0.08	-0.06
• • •	x	-0.23	-0.22	-0.22	-0.23	-0.21
	у	0.19	0.20	0.20	0.18	0.20
	z	-0.19	-0.17	-0.17	-0.18	-0.16
A _i (H2)	iso	-0.20	-0.19	-0.19	-0.24	-0.23
	х	-0.35	-0.34	-0.33	-0.38	-0.37
	у	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.02	0.03
	Z	-0.32	-0.31	-0.30	-0.36	-0.34
A _i (H-5)	iso	-0.53	-0.51	-0.58	-0.58	-0.59
	x	-0.78	-0.75	-0.82	-0.83	-0.83
	у	-0.23	-0.22	-0.28	-0.27	-0.29
	Z	-0.59	-0.57	-0.62	-0.64	-0.64
A _i (H-7)	iso	-0.44	-0.42	-0.47	-0.47	-0.47
	X	-0.15	-0.14	-0.19	-0.18	-0.19
	У	-0.69	-0.66	-0.73	-0.73	-0.72
	Z	-0.47	-0.45	-0.50	-0.51	-0.51
		0.51		0.55		
$A_i(N1)$	iso	0.26	0.23	0.23	0.20	0.17
	X	-0.04	-0.08	-0.08	-0.10	-0.14
	у	-0.06	-0.09	-0.10	-0.12	-0.16
	Z	0.89	0.88	0.87	0.83	0.80
spin densities		0.10	0.10	0.00	0.10	0.10
N1		0.19	0.19	0.20	0.19	0.19
<u>C2</u>		0.03	0.01	-0.01	0.02	-0.02
<u>C3</u>		0.49	0.56	0.61	0.52	0.60
		0.22	0.26	0.28	0.23	0.27
<u> </u>		-0.07	-0.09	-0.12	-0.08	-0.11
C?		0.1/	0.19	0.20	0.18	0.19
68		0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03

Table S2. g-tensors, hfcc (mT) and Mulliken spin densities computed for VP_10ns-neu structure.

		B3LYP/EPR-II	PBE0/EPR-II	PBE0/TZVP	TPSSh/EPR-II	TPSSh/TZVP
g-tensors						
gi	iso	2.00280	2.00279	2.00285	2.00277	2.00283
	х	2.00342	2.00342	2.00351	2.00333	2.00344
	v	2.00268	2.00265	2.00273	2.00266	2.00273
	z	2.00231	2.00230	2.00231	2.00232	2.00233
hfcc						
A _i (H- β 1)	iso	2.77	2.72	2.58	2.85	2.71
•	х	2.65	2.66	2.45	2.74	2.60
	v	2.95	2.90	2.77	3.03	2.90
	Z	2.71	2.60	2.52	2.79	2.65
A;(H- B 2)	iso	1.01	1.00	0.96	1.02	0.98
	x	0.96	0.94	0.89	0.97	0.92
	v	0.90	0.88	0.84	0.91	0.87
	z	1.18	1.17	1.13	1.19	1.16
A;(H1)	iso	-0.06	-0.06	-0.05	-0.06	-0.04
	x	-0.21	-0.20	-0.19	-0.20	-0.18
	v	0.19	0.19	0.20	0.17	0.19
	z	-0.17	-0.16	-0.15	-0.17	-0.14
A;(H2)	iso	-0.21	-0.21	-0.20	-0.25	-0.23
	х	-0.35	-0.36	-0.34	-0.39	-0.38
	v	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.01	0.03
	z	-0.32	-0.32	-0.31	-0.36	-0.35
A;(H-5)	iso	-0.53	-0.58	-0.57	-0.57	-0.58
	x	-0.76	-0.82	-0.81	-0.82	-0.82
	v	-0.23	-0.28	-0.28	-0.27	-0.29
	Z	-0.58	-0.63	-0.61	-0.63	-0.63
A _i (H-7)	iso	-0.44	-0.49	-0.48	-0.48	-0.48
	х	-0.15	-0.19	-0.19	-0.18	-0.19
	у	-0.70	-0.76	-0.74	-0.74	-0.74
	Z	-0.48	-0.52	-0.50	-0.52	-0.51
$A_i(N1)$	iso	0.27	0.26	0.23	0.21	0.17
	x	-0.04	-0.05	-0.08	-0.10	-0.14
	у	-0.05	-0.06	-0.10	-0.11	-0.15
	Z	0.90	0.89	0.87	0.83	0.81
spin densities						
N1		0.19	0.19	0.20	0.19	0.19
C2		0.03	0.02	-0.01	0.02	-0.01
C3		0.49	0.53	0.61	0.52	0.60
C5		0.21	0.23	0.28	0.23	0.27
C6		-0.07	-0.09	-0.12	-0.08	-0.11
C7		0.17	0.19	0.21	0.18	0.20
C8		0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02

Table S3. g-tensors, hfcc (mT) and Mulliken spin densities computed for VP_9.8ns-neu structure.

		B3LYP/EPR-II	PBE0/EPR-II	PBE0/TZVP	TPSSh/EPR-II	TPSSh/TZVP
g-tensors						
g;	iso 2.00279		2.00278	2.00285	2.00277	2.00284
	x	2.00341	2.00340	2.00352	2.00333	2.00346
-	v	2.00266	2.00263	2.00272	2.00265	2.00273
	z	2.00231	2.00230	2.00231	2.00233	2.00233
hfcc						
A _i (H- β 1)	iso	2.79	2.73	2.59	2.86	2.73
• • •	x	2.67	2.61	2.47	2.75	2.61
	v	2.97	2.91	2.78	3.04	2.91
	Z	2.73	2.67	2.53	2.80	2.66
A _i (H- β 2)	β2) iso 0.96		0.95	0.91	0.97	0.93
	х	0.91	0.90	0.85	0.92	0.87
	v	0.85	0.84	0.80	0.86	0.82
	z 1.13		1.12	1.08	1.14	1.10
A _i (H1)	(H1) iso -0.09		-0.09	-0.07	-0.09	-0.07
	х	-0.25	-0.24	-0.23	-0.24	-0.22
	у	0.17	0.17	0.18	0.15	0.18
	Z	-0.20	-0.19	-0.17	-0.19	-0.17
$A_i(H2)$	iso	-0.23	-0.24	-0.23	-0.27	-0.26
	х	-0.40	-0.40	-0.39	-0.43	-0.42
	у	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.01	0.01
	Z	-0.35	-0.35	-0.34	-0.39	-0.38
A _i (H-5)	iso	-0.53	-0.58	-0.57	-0.58	-0.59
	X	-0.77	-0.83	-0.82	-0.83	-0.83
	у	-0.23	-0.28	-0.28	-0.27	-0.29
	Z	-0.59	-0.63	-0.62	-0.64	-0.64
A _i (H-7)	iso	-0.43	-0.48	-0.46	-0.46	-0.46
	X	-0.15	-0.19	-0.18	-0.17	-0.18
	у	-0.68	-0.74	-0.72	-0.72	-0.71
	Z	-0.46	-0.51	-0.49	-0.50	-0.50
		0.51	0.5-	0.55		
$A_i(N1)$	iso	0.26	0.25	0.23	0.20	0.17
	X	-0.04	-0.05	-0.08	-0.10	-0.13
	у	-0.05	-0.06	-0.09	-0.11	-0.15
	Z	0.88	0.87	0.85	0.81	0.79
spin densities		0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
		0.19	0.19	0.19	0.18	0.19
<u>C2</u>		0.04	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.00
<u>C3</u>		0.49	0.52	0.60	0.51	0.59
		0.21	0.23	0.28	0.23	0.27
		-0.0/	-0.09	-0.12	-0.08	-0.10
		0.17	0.18	0.20	0.18	0.19
Cð		0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03

Table S4. g-tensors, hfcc (mT) and Mulliken spin densities computed for VP_9.6ns-neu structure.

		B3LYP/EPR-II	PBE0/EPR-II	PBE0/TZVP	TPSSh/EPR-II	TPSSh/TZVP
g-tensors						
<u>g</u> i	iso	2.00280	2.00279	2.00285	2.00276	2.00282
0.	х	2.00342	2.00342	2.00350	2.00332	2.00342
	y	2.00268	2.00266	2.00274	2.00266	2.00273
	z	2.00231	2.00230	2.00232	2.00231	2.00233
hfcc						
A _i (H- β 1)	iso	2.78	2.73	2.59	2.87	2.73
•	х	2.66	2.61	2.46	2.76	2.61
	y	2.96	2.91	2.78	3.05	2.92
	z	2.72	2.67	2.53	2.81	2.67
A _i (H- β 2)	iso	1.03	1.01	0.97	1.04	1.00
• • •	х	0.97	0.96	0.91	0.99	0.94
	y	0.92	0.90	0.86	0.93	0.89
	z	1.20	1.18	1.15	1.21	1.17
A _i (H1)	iso	-0.06	-0.06	-0.05	-0.06	-0.04
	X	-0.20	-0.20	-0.19	-0.20	-0.18
	у	0.18	0.19	0.20	0.17	0.19
	Z	-0.16	-0.16	-0.15	-0.16	-0.14
A _i (H2)	iso	-0.18	-0.18	-0.17	-0.22	-0.21
	X	-0.32	-0.32	-0.31	-0.36	-0.34
	у	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.03	0.04
	Z	-0.30	-0.30	-0.29	-0.34	-0.33
		0.50	0.70		0.50	0.50
A _i (H-5)	180	-0.53	-0.58	-0.57	-0.58	-0.59
	X	-0.78	-0.84	-0.82	-0.84	-0.84
	У	-0.23	-0.28	-0.28	-0.27	-0.29
	Z	-0.59	-0.04	-0.62	-0.04	-0.64
A (H-7)	iso	-0.44	-0.48	-0.47	-0.47	-0.47
	x	-0.15	-0.19	-0.19	-0.18	-0.19
	v	-0.68	-0.74	-0.73	-0.73	-0.73
	Z	-0.47	-0.51	-0.50	-0.51	-0.51
A;(N1)	iso	0.28	0.27	0.24	0.21	0.18
	х	-0.04	-0.05	-0.10	-0.10	-0.14
	y	-0.05	-0.06	-0.08	-0.11	-0.16
	z	0.92	0.92	0.90	0.86	0.83
spin densities						
N1		0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
C2		0.02	0.01	-0.02	0.01	-0.03
C3		0.50	0.53	0.61	0.52	0.60
C5		0.22	0.23	0.28	0.23	0.27
C6		-0.07	-0.09	-0.12	-0.08	-0.10
C7		0.17	0.18	0.20	0.18	0.19
C8		0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03

Table S5. g-tensors, hfcc (mT) and Mulliken spin densities computed for VP_9.4ns-neu structure.

		B3LYP/EPR-II	PBE0/EPR-II	PBE0/TZVP	TPSSh/EPR-II	TPSSh/TZVP
g-tensors						
gi	iso	2.00280	2.00279	2.00285	2.00277	2.00283
01	x	2.00342	2.00341	2.00349	2.00334	2.00344
	v	2.00267	2.00264	2.00272	2.00265	2.00272
	z	2.00231	2.00230	2.00232	2.00233	2.00234
hfcc						
A _i (H- β 1)	iso	2.84	2.78	2.64	2.92	2.78
	x	2.72	2.66	2.51	2.81	2.66
	у	3.02	2.97	2.83	3.10	2.96
	Z	2.78	2.73	2.58	2.86	2.71
A _i (H- β 2)	iso	0.99	0.98	0.94	1.00	0.96
	x	0.94	0.92	0.88	0.95	0.90
	у	0.88	0.87	0.83	0.89	0.85
	Z	1.16	1.15	1.12	1.17	1.14
		0.07	0.07	0.01	0.07	0.07
$A_i(H1)$	iso	-0.07	-0.07	-0.06	-0.07	-0.05
	X	-0.22	-0.22	-0.21	-0.22	-0.20
	У	0.18	0.18	0.20	0.17	0.19
	Z	-0.18	-0.17	-0.16	-0.18	-0.15
A (H2)	iso	0.22	0.22	0.21	0.26	0.25
$A_i(112)$	150	-0.22	-0.22	-0.21	-0.20	-0.23
	A V	-0.37	-0.57	-0.50	0.01	-0.40
	у 7	-0.33	-0.33	-0.32	-0.38	-0.36
	L	0.55	0.55	0.52	0.50	0.50
A;(H-5)	iso	-0.53	-0.58	-0.57	-0.57	-0.58
	x	-0.76	-0.83	-0.81	-0.82	-0.82
	у	-0.23	-0.28	-0.28	-0.27	-0.28
	Z	-0.58	-0.63	-0.61	-0.63	-0.63
A _i (H-7)	iso	-0.45	-0.50	-0.48	-0.48	-0.49
	X	-0.15	-0.19	-0.19	-0.18	-0.19
	у	-0.70	-0.77	-0.75	-0.75	-0.74
	Z	-0.48	-0.52	-0.51	-0.52	-0.52
		0.26	0.25	0.22	0.20	0.16
$A_i(N1)$	150	0.26	0.25	0.22	0.20	0.16
	X	-0.04	-0.05	-0.08	-0.10	-0.14
	y	-0.06	-0.07	-0.10	-0.12	0.16
snin densities		0.07	0.07	0.05	0.01	0.79
N1		0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19
C2		0.03	0.02	0.01	0.02	-0.01
C3	1	0.49	0.53	0.60	0.52	0.59
C5	l	0.21	0.23	0.28	0.23	0.27
C6	<u> </u>	-0.07	-0.09	-0.12	-0.08	-0.11
C7	l	0.18	0.19	0.21	0.18	0.20
C8	1	0.01	-0.01	-0.01	0.01	0.02

Table S6. g-tensors, hfcc (mT) and Mulliken spin densities computed for VP_9.2ns-neu structure.

		B3LYP/EPR-II	PBE0/EPR-II	PBE0/TZVP	TPSSh/EPR-II	TPSSh/TZVP
g-tensors						
gi	iso	2.00278	2.00275	2.00283	2.00283	2.00290
	х	2.00339	2.00337	2.00346	2.00338	2.00350
	y	2.00261	2.00256	2.00269	2.00271	2.00279
	z	2.00235	2.00233	2.00235	2.00239	2.00241
hfcc						
A _i (H- β 1)	iso	2.49	2.45	2.32	2.54	2.42
•••••	x	2.38	2.34	2.21	2.44	2.32
	v	2.65	2.62	2.50	2.70	2.59
	Z	2.43	2.39	2.27	2.48	2.36
A _i (H- β 2)	iso	0.94	0.93	0.89	0.94	0.91
•	x	0.89	0.99	0.84	0.90	0.86
	v	0.83	0.82	0.79	0.83	0.80
	z	1.09	1.08	1.05	1.09	1.06
		1				
A _i (H1)	iso	-0.38	-0.37	-0.36	-0.36	-0.34
	х	-0.67	-0.67	-0.66	-0.65	-0.63
	у	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.07
	z	-0.51	-0.50	-0.49	-0.49	-0.47
A _i (H2)	iso	-0.35	-0.38	-0.36	-0.39	-0.38
	х	-0.46	-0.48	-0.46	-0.50	-0.48
	у	-0.01	-0.03	-0.02	-0.06	-0.05
	Z	-0.58	-0.61	-0.59	-0.61	-0.59
A _i (H-5)	iso	-0.57	-0.62	-0.62	-0.62	-0.63
	X	-0.84	-0.91	-0.89	-0.90	-0.90
	у	-0.24	-0.29	-0.29	-0.28	-0.30
	Z	-0.63	-0.68	-0.66	-0.68	-0.68
A _i (H-7)	iso	-0.46	-0.51	-0.49	-0.49	-0.49
	X	-0.15	-0.20	-0.19	-0.18	-0.19
	У	-0.72	-0.78	-0.76	-0.76	-0.75
	Z	-0.50	-0.54	-0.52	-0.53	-0.53
$A_i(N1)$	iso	0.21	0.20	0.18	0.17	0.14
	X	-0.05	-0.06	-0.08	-0.09	-0.13
	у	-0.07	-0.07	-0.10	-0.11	-0.14
	Z	0.75	0.74	0.73	0.70	0.68
spin densities		0.1.5	0.1-	0.1-		0.1-
N1		0.16	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15
<u>C2</u>		0.10	0.10	0.08	0.09	0.06
<u>C3</u>		0.42	0.46	0.53	0.45	0.52
<u> </u>		0.23	0.25	0.29	0.24	0.29
<u> </u>		-0.08	-0.10	-0.12	-0.09	-0.11
		0.18	0.19	0.21	0.19	0.20
Uð	1	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06

Table S7. g-tensors, hfcc (mT) and Mulliken spin densities computed for VP_10ns-cat structure.

Computed EPR parameters of tryptophan radicals were found almost independent of the choice of hybrid functional (B3LYP, PBE0 or TPSSh) and basis set (TZVP or EPR-II). Indeed, g-tensors and hfcc values computed for the same structure, using the six different combinations of functional and basis set displayed, vary within a maximum of 200 ppm and 0.2 mT, respectively.

Table S8. B3LYP/TZVP g-tensors, hfcc (mT) and Mulliken spin densities computed for VP_10ns-TS.

		g-tensors			spin densities											
		\mathbf{g}_i	A _i (H- β 1)	A _i (H- β 2)	$A_i(H1)$	$A_i(H2)$	A _{<i>i</i>} (H5)	$A_i(H7)$	$A_i(N1)$	N1	C2	C3	C5	C6	C7	C8
VP_10ns-TS	iso	2.00285	2.47	0.93	-0.25	-0.30	-0.54	-0.44	0.20	0.16	0.06	0.51	0.27	-0.10	0.20	0.04
	х	2.00348	2.35	0.87	-0.48	-0.41	-0.80	-0.15	-0.07							
	у	2.00273	2.65	0.82	0.12	0.01	-0.23	-0.71	-0.09							
	Z	2.00234	2.41	1.09	-0.38	-0.50	-0.59	-0.48	0.77							

Table S9. B3LYP/TZVP g-tensors, hfcc (mT) and Mulliken spin densities computed for the QM/MM optimized geometry of a neutral tryptophan radical not H-bonded (**VP_10ns-neu-B**), obtained after breaking the N1-H1 hydrogen bond with E243 and allowing E243 residue to form a hydrogen bond with a nearby water molecule after rotation around the C-O2 bond.

		g-tensors		hfcc									spin densities							
		\mathbf{g}_i	A _i (H- β 1)	A _i (H- β 2)	A _i (H1)	A _{<i>i</i>} (H2)	A _i (H5)	A _i (H7)	$A_i(N1)$	N1	C2	C3	C5	C6	C7	C8				
VP_10ns-neu-	3 iso	2.00296	2.85	1.02	0.00	-0.03	-0.47	-0.38	0.28	0.25	-0.08	0.61	0.24	-0.08	0.17	0.01				
	Х	2.00376	2.71	0.95	-0.02	-0.09	-0.67	-0.12	-0.11											
	у	2.00284	3.05	0.91	0.04	0.16	-0.21	-0.61	-0.12											
	Z	2.00227	2.78	1.21	-0.01	-0.15	-0.52	-0.41	1.06											

		B3LYP/EPR-II	PBE0/EPR-II	PBE0/TZVP	TPSSh/EPR-II	TPSSh/TZVP
g-tensors						
\mathbf{g}_i	iso	2.0052	2.0053	2.0053	2.0048	2.0049
	X	2.0087	2.0087	2.0090	2.0078	2.0079
	у	2.0047	2.0048	2.0047	2.0045	2.0045
	Z	2.0023	2.0023	2.0023	2.0023	2.0023
hfcc						
A _i (H- β 1)	iso	2.50	2.43	2.29	2.58	2.45
	X	2.63	2.57	2.43	2.72	2.59
	у	2.39	2.32	2.17	2.49	2.34
	Z	2.46	2.40	2.26	2.55	2.41
A _i (H- β 2)	iso	0.64	0.63	0.60	0.66	0.63
	X	0.58	0.57	0.54	0.60	0.57
	у	0.78	0.77	0.75	0.80	0.77
	Z	0.56	0.55	0.53	0.57	0.55
$A_i(H2)$	iso	0.21	0.29	0.29	0.21	0.23
	X	0.19	0.24	0.25	0.18	0.20
	у	0.33	0.43	0.44	0.35	0.36
	Z	0.11	0.18	0.18	0.11	0.13
A _i (H6)	iso	0.21	0.29	0.29	0.22	0.23
	X	0.20	0.25	0.26	0.19	0.21
	у	0.34	0.44	0.45	0.35	0.37
	Z	0.11	0.18	0.18	0.12	0.12
A _i (H3)	iso	-0.63	-0.71	-0.69	-0.68	-0.68
	х	-0.94	-1.04	-1.02	-1.00	-0.99
	у	-0.24	-0.30	-0.30	-0.28	-0.29
	Z	-0.71	-0.78	-0.76	-0.77	-0.76
$A_i(H5)$	iso	-0.65	-0.73	-0.71	-0.71	-0.72
	X	-0.96	-1.06	-1.04	-1.04	-1.03
	у	-0.25	-0.31	-0.31	-0.30	-0.32
	Z	-0.74	-0.81	-0.79	-0.80	-0.80
spin densities						
C1		0.38	0.46	0.46	0.41	0.45
C2		-0.12	-0.15	-0.18	-0.14	-0.17
C3		0.25	0.31	0.31	0.27	0.30
C4		-0.01	-0.03	-0.05	-0.01	-0.03
C5		0.26	0.31	0.31	0.27	0.30
C6		-0.12	-0.15	-0.18	-0.14	-0.17
01		0.35	0.36	0.36	0.35	0.35

Table S10. g-tensors, hfcc (mT) and Mulliken spin densities computed for W164Y_10ns-B structure.

		B3LYP/EPR-II	PBE0/EPR-II	PBE0/TZVP	TPSSh/EPR-II	TPSSh/TZVP
g-tensors						
gi	iso	2.0051	2.0051	2.0052	2.0047	2.0048
	X	2.0083	2.0083	2.0086	2.0074	2.0076
	у	2.0047	2.0048	2.0047	2.0045	2.0045
	Z	2.0023	2.0023	2.0023	2.0023	2.0023
hfcc						
A _i (H- β 1)	iso	2.46	2.40	2.26	2.55	2.41
	X	2.60	2.54	2.40	2.68	2.55
	у	2.35	2.29	2.14	2.45	2.31
	Z	2.43	2.37	2.23	2.52	2.38
A _i (H- β 2)	iso	0.67	0.66	0.63	0.69	0.66
	X	0.61	0.60	0.57	0.63	0.60
	у	0.82	0.80	0.78	0.83	0.80
	Z	0.59	0.58	0.55	0.60	0.58
A _i (H2)	iso	0.20	0.27	0.28	0.20	0.22
	X	0.18	0.23	0.24	0.18	0.19
	у	0.32	0.42	0.42	0.33	0.34
	Z	0.10	0.17	0.17	0.10	0.11
A _i (H6)	iso	0.22	0.30	0.30	0.22	0.24
	X	0.20	0.25	0.26	0.19	0.21
	у	0.35	0.45	0.46	0.36	0.38
	Z	0.12	0.19	0.19	0.12	0.13
$A_i(H3)$	iso	-0.61	-0.69	-0.67	-0.66	-0.66
	X	-0.91	-1.01	-0.99	-0.97	-0.96
	у	-0.23	-0.29	-0.28	-0.26	-0.27
	Z	-0.69	-0.76	-0.74	-0.74	-0.74
		0.66	0.74	0.70		
A _i (H5)	iso	-0.66	-0.74	-0.72	-0.72	-0.72
	X	-0.97	-1.07	-1.05	-1.05	-1.04
	у	-0.25	-0.32	-0.31	-0.30	-0.32
	Z	-0.74	-0.82	-0.80	-0.81	-0.81
spin densities		0.20	0.42	0.46	0.41	0.45
		0.38	0.42	0.46	0.41	0.45
<u>C2</u>		-0.11	-0.14	-0.18	-0.13	-0.16
		0.25	0.27	0.30	0.26	0.29
<u>C4</u>		-0.01	-0.02	-0.05	-0.01	-0.03
		0.20	0.28	0.32	0.27	0.30
01		-0.12	-0.16	-0.19	-0.14	-0.18
01		0.35	0.36	0.36	0.34	0.35

Table S11. g-tensors, hfcc (mT) and Mulliken spin densities computed for W164Y_9.8ns-B structure.

		B3LYP/EPR-II	PBE0/EPR-II	PBE0/TZVP	TPSSh/EPR-II	TPSSh/TZVP
g-tensors						
gi	iso	2.0051	2.0051	2.0052	2.0048	2.0048
	X	2.0085	2.0083	2.0086	2.0076	2.0078
	у	2.0047	2.0046	2.0047	2.0045	2.0044
	Z	2.0023	2.0023	2.0023	2.0023	2.0023
hfcc						
A _i (H- β 1)	iso	2.44	2.49	2.34	2.53	2.39
	X	2.58	2.63	2.49	2.66	2.53
	у	2.33	2.38	2.23	2.43	2.29
	Z	2.41	2.47	2.32	2.49	2.36
A _i (H- β 2)	iso	0.65	0.60	0.57	0.66	0.64
	X	0.59	0.53	0.50	0.60	0.57
	у	0.79	0.74	0.71	0.80	0.78
	Z	0.57	0.52	0.49	0.58	0.56
A _i (H2)	iso	0.20	0.27	0.27	0.21	0.22
	x	0.18	0.23	0.24	0.18	0.20
	у	0.32	0.41	0.41	0.33	0.35
	Z	0.10	0.17	0.16	0.11	0.11
A _i (H6)	iso	0.22	0.29	0.29	0.22	0.24
	X	0.20	0.25	0.25	0.19	0.21
	у	0.35	0.44	0.44	0.36	0.37
	Z	0.12	0.17	0.17	0.12	0.13
$A_i(H3)$	iso	-0.62	-0.70	-0.68	-0.67	-0.67
	X	-0.92	-1.03	-1.00	-0.98	-0.98
	у	-0.23	-0.29	-0.28	-0.27	-0.28
	Z	-0.70	-0.77	-0.75	-0.75	-0.74
A _i (H5)	iso	-0.66	-0.71	-0.69	-0.72	-0.73
	X	-0.98	-1.04	-1.01	-1.06	-1.05
	у	-0.25	-0.31	-0.30	-0.30	-0.32
	Z	-0.74	-0.79	-0.77	-0.81	-0.81
spin densities						
Cl		0.38	0.42	0.46	0.41	0.45
<u>C2</u>		-0.11	-0.15	-0.18	-0.13	-0.17
<u>C3</u>		0.25	0.28	0.30	0.26	0.29
<u>C4</u>		-0.01	-0.02	-0.05	-0.01	-0.03
<u>C5</u>		0.26	0.27	0.30	0.27	0.30
<u> </u>		-0.12	-0.16	-0.19	-0.14	-0.18
01		0.34	0.35	0.36	0.34	0.35

Table S12. g-tensors, hfcc (mT) and Mulliken spin densities computed for W164Y_9.6ns-B structure.

		B3LYP/EPR-II	PBE0/EPR-II	PBE0/TZVP	TPSSh/EPR-II	TPSSh/TZVP
g-tensors						
\mathbf{g}_i	iso	2.0053	2.0053	2.0054	2.0049	2.0049
	х	2.0088	2.0089	2.0091	2.0079	2.0080
	у	2.0047	2.0048	2.0047	2.0045	2.0044
	Z	2.0023	2.0023	2.0023	2.0023	2.0023
hfcc						
A _i (H- β 1)	iso	2.46	2.40	2.26	2.55	2.42
	х	2.60	2.53	2.40	2.68	2.55
	у	2.35	2.29	2.14	2.45	2.31
	Z	2.43	2.37	2.23	2.52	2.38
A _i (H- β 2)	iso	0.65	0.64	0.61	0.67	0.64
	х	0.59	0.58	0.55	0.61	0.58
	у	0.80	0.78	0.76	0.81	0.78
	Z	0.57	0.56	0.54	0.58	0.56
A _i (H2)	iso	0.21	0.29	0.29	0.22	0.23
	x	0.19	0.24	0.25	0.19	0.21
	у	0.34	0.44	0.44	0.35	0.36
	Z	0.11	0.19	0.18	0.12	0.13
A _i (H6)	iso	0.22	0.30	0.30	0.22	0.24
	X	0.20	0.25	0.26	0.19	0.21
	у	0.35	0.45	0.45	0.36	0.37
	Z	0.11	0.19	0.18	0.12	0.13
$A_i(H3)$	iso	-0.64	-0.71	-0.69	-0.69	-0.69
	X	-0.95	-1.05	-1.02	-1.01	-1.00
	у	-0.24	-0.30	-0.30	-0.28	-0.29
	Z	-0.72	-0.79	-0.76	-0.77	-0.76
				0.71	. =•	^
A _i (H5)	iso	-0.65	-0.73	-0.71	-0.72	-0.72
	X	-0.96	-1.06	-1.04	-1.04	-1.03
	у	-0.26	-0.32	-0.31	-0.30	-0.32
	Z	-0./4	-0.81	-0.78	-0.80	-0.80
spin densities		0.20	0.42	0.46	0.41	0.45
		0.38	0.42	0.46	0.41	0.45
<u>C2</u>		-0.12	-0.15	-0.18	-0.14	-0.17
<u> </u>		0.26	0.28	0.31	0.27	0.30
<u>C4</u>		-0.01	-0.03	-0.06	-0.02	-0.04
		0.20	0.28	0.51	0.27	0.30
		-0.12	-0.16	-0.19	-0.14	-0.1/
UI UI		0.35	0.36	0.37	0.35	0.35

Table S13. g-tensors, hfcc (mT) and Mulliken spin densities computed for W164Y_9.4ns-B structure.

		B3LYP/EPR-II	PBE0/EPR-II	PBE0/TZVP	TPSSh/EPR-II	TPSSh/TZVP
g-tensors						
gi	iso	2.0050	2.0051	2.0052	2.0047	2.0048
	х	2.0083	2.0083	2.0086	2.0075	2.0076
	у	2.0046	2.0046	2.0047	2.0044	2.0044
	Z	2.0023	2.0023	2.0023	2.0023	2.0023
hfcc						
A _i (H- β 1)	iso	2.56	2.49	2.34	2.64	2.51
	х	2.70	2.63	2.49	2.78	2.65
	у	2.45	2.38	2.23	2.54	2.40
	Z	2.53	2.47	2.32	2.61	2.47
A _i (H- β 2)	iso	0.61	0.60	0.57	0.62	0.59
_	X	0.55	0.53	0.50	0.56	0.53
	у	0.75	0.74	0.71	0.76	0.74
	Z	0.53	0.52	0.49	0.53	0.51
$A_i(H2)$	iso	0.20	0.27	0.27	0.20	0.22
	х	0.18	0.23	0.24	0.18	0.20
	у	0.32	0.41	0.41	0.33	0.35
	Z	0.09	0.17	0.16	0.10	0.11
A _i (H6)	iso	0.21	0.29	0.29	0.21	0.23
	X	0.19	0.25	0.25	0.19	0.21
	у	0.34	0.44	0.44	0.35	0.37
	Z	0.10	0.17	0.17	0.11	0.12
A _i (H3)	iso	-0.62	-0.70	-0.68	-0.67	-0.67
	X	-0.93	-1.03	-1.00	-0.99	-0.99
	у	-0.23	-0.29	-0.28	-0.27	-0.28
	Z	-0.70	-0.77	-0.75	-0.76	-0.75
$A_i(H5)$	iso	-0.63	-0.71	-0.69	-0.70	-0.70
	X	-0.94	-1.04	-1.01	-1.01	-1.01
	у	-0.24	-0.31	-0.30	-0.29	-0.31
	Z	-0.72	-0.79	-0.91	-0.79	-0.78
spin densities						
C1		0.38	0.42	0.46	0.41	0.45
C2		-0.11	-0.15	-0.18	-0.13	-0.17
C3		0.25	0.28	0.30	0.27	0.29
C4		-0.01	-0.02	-0.04	-0.01	-0.03
C5		0.25	0.27	0.30	0.26	0.29
C6		-0.12	-0.15	-0.19	-0.14	-0.17
01		0.34	0.35	0.36	0.34	0.35

Table S14. g-tensors, hfcc (mT) and Mulliken spin densities computed for W164Y_9.2ns-B structure.

		B3LYP/EPR-II	PBE0/EPR-II	PBE0/TZVP	TPSSh/EPR-II	TPSSh/TZVP
g-tensors						
gi	iso	2.0044	2.0044	2.0045	2.0042	2.0043
U	х	2.0064	2.0064	2.0067	2.0060	2.0062
	у	2.0045	2.0045	2.0046	2.0045	2.0045
	z	2.0023	2.0023	2.0023	2.0023	2.0023
hfcc						
A _i (H- β 1)	iso	2.73	2.65	2.50	2.78	2.64
	х	2.87	2.80	2.65	2.92	2.79
	у	2.61	2.54	2.37	2.68	2.53
	Z	2.69	2.62	2.46	2.75	2.60
A _i (H- β 2)	iso	0.63	0.62	0.59	0.62	0.60
	Х	0.57	0.55	0.52	0.56	0.54
	у	0.77	0.76	0.74	0.77	0.75
	Z	0.54	0.53	0.51	0.54	0.52
A _i (H2)	iso	0.16	0.23	0.23	0.16	0.18
	X	0.14	0.20	0.20	0.14	0.15
	у	0.28	0.36	0.37	0.29	0.30
	Z	0.06	0.12	0.12	0.06	0.07
A _i (H6)	iso	0.17	0.24	0.25	0.17	0.19
	X	0.16	0.21	0.22	0.15	0.17
	у	0.29	0.38	0.39	0.30	0.32
	Z	0.07	0.14	0.14	0.07	0.08
A _i (H3)	iso	-0.54	-0.61	-0.59	-0.58	-0.58
	х	-0.81	-0.90	-0.88	-0.86	-0.85
	у	-0.18	-0.23	-0.23	-0.22	-0.23
	Z	-0.63	-0.69	-0.67	-0.67	-0.66
A _i (H5)	iso	-0.61	-0.69	-0.67	-0.68	-0.68
	X	-0.92	-1.01	-0.99	-1.00	-0.99
	у	-0.22	-0.28	-0.27	-0.27	-0.29
	Z	-0.70	-0.77	-0.75	-0.77	-0.77
spin densities						
C1		0.39	0.43	0.46	0.42	0.45
C2		-0.09	-0.13	-0.15	-0.11	-0.14
C3		0.21	0.23	0.25	0.22	0.24
C4		0.04	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.03
C5		0.24	0.26	0.29	0.25	0.28
C6		-0.10	-0.14	-0.17	-0.12	-0.16
01		0.31	0.32	0.32	0.31	0.31

Table S15. g-tensors, hfcc (mT) and Mulliken spin densities computed for W164Y_10ns-A structure.

The B3LYP and PBE0 EPR parameters, computed for the same structures of tyrosyl radicals, resulted to vary more than in the case of tryptophan radicals (e.g., g-tensors range within 300 ppm). The TPSSh functional provided computed g_x values about 1000 ppm lower than those computed using the other functionals. In any case, hfcc values computed for tyrosyl radicals vary within 0.3 mT.

Table S16. B3LYP/TZVP g-tensors, hfcc (mT) and Mulliken spin densities computed for a QM/MM optimized structure of tyrosyl radical (W164Y_10ns-B2) obtained from the optimized W164Y_10ns-B model, following a subsequent QM/MM optimization in which R257 side chain were included in the QM region (see also Table S18).

	g-tensors			hfcc		spin densities								
	\mathbf{g}_i	A _i (H- β 1)	A _i (H- β 2)	$A_i(H2)$	A _i (H6)	A _i (H3)	$A_i(H5)$	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	01
iso	2.0053	2.29	0.62	0.21	0.21	-0.61	-0.62	0.42	-0.15	0.28	-0.03	0.28	-0.15	0.36
х	2.0089	2.44	0.55	0.20	0.20	-0.92	-0.92							
у	2.0047	2.18	0.76	0.33	0.33	-0.23	-0.23							
Z	2.0023	2.26	0.54	0.10	0.10	-0.69	-0.70							

Computed EPR parameters for W164Y_10ns-B and W164Y_10ns-B2 models are very similar (see Table 4 in the main paper). Accordingly, the comparison of geometrical parameters shown in the following Table S18 with data in Table 6 of the main paper for the W164Y_10ns-B model indicates that the inclusion of R257 side chain in the QM region, which has a high computational cost, does not affect the QM/MM optimized geometry. In particular, the distance between the O1 tyrosyl oxygen and the hydrogen of the closest -NH₂ group of R257 is almost invariant between the two structures.

2.2 **Optimized Structures**

Table S17. Selected geometrical parameters^a for B3LYP/CHARMM optimized geometries of VP neutral tryptophan radical.

System	¢	N1-H1	N1-C2	N1-C9	C2-C3	C3-C4	C4-C5	C4-C9	C5-C6	C6-C7	C7-C8	C8-C9	H1-O2	0 1 ^b	$\boldsymbol{\theta}_2^{\mathrm{b}}$	ρ _{C3} ^b
VP_9.8ns-neu	-22.960	1.531	1.322	1.420	1.456	1.427	1.406	1.422	1.398	1.397	1.414	1.382	1.071	-7.1	-52.9	0.53
VP_9.6ns-neu	-23.831	1.501	1.323	1.419	1.454	1.426	1.405	1.419	1.399	1.395	1.413	1.380	1.084	-6.0	-54.0	0.53
VP_9.4ns-neu	-23.035	1.547	1.322	1.420	1.455	1.429	1.407	1.423	1.400	1.397	1.415	1.382	1.066	-7.3	-52.7	0.53
VP_9.2ns-neu	-23.740	1.514	1.318	1.419	1.452	1.426	1.406	1.424	1.400	1.397	1.415	1.382	1.066	-6.2	-53.8	0.54
VP 10ns-neu-B	-25.950	4.049	1.317	1.419	1.448	1.432	1.399	1.425	1.402	1.396	1.414	1.383	0.994	-6.5	-53.3	0.58

^aDistances in Å; angles in degrees. ^bDihedral angles θ_1 and θ_2 and spin density ρ_{C3} are obtained by solving the McConnell relationships, using the program developed by Svistunenko (freely available at the URL http://privatewww.essex.ac.uk/~svist/) and providing the B3LYP/TZVP computed $A_{iso}(H-\beta 1)$ and $A_{iso}(H-\beta 2)$ values (B"=5.0 mT).

Table S18. Selected geometrical parameters^a for the B3LYP/CHARMM optimized geometry of tyrosyl radical in W164Y_10ns-B2 model.

System			¢	01-C4	01-H1	H1-O2	C1-C2	C1-C6	C2-C3	C3-C4	C4-C5	C5-C6	O1H(NH) ^b	01H(OH) ^c	$\boldsymbol{\theta}_1^{d}$	$\boldsymbol{\theta}_2^{\mathrm{d}}$	$\mathbf{\rho}_{C1}^{d}$
W164Y_10ns	B2	-29	9.522	1.259	3.094	0.971	1.419	1.419	1.373	1.456	1.450	1.368	2.008	4.542	-1.3	-58.7	0.40
35		1		h	D	1	01	1		1.1	1 1	0.1	1			CYY 1	1 1

^aDistances in Å; angles in degrees. ^bDistance between O1 tyrosyl oxygen and the hydrogen of the closest -NH₂ group of R257. ^cH-bond distance between tyrosine O1 and the nearest water molecule. ^dDihedral angles θ_1 and θ_2 and spin density ρ_{C1} are obtained by solving the McConnell relationships, using the program developed by Svistunenko (freely available at the URL http://privatewww.essex.ac.uk/~svist/) and providing the B3LYP/TZVP computed A_{iso}(H- β 1) and A_{iso}(H- β 2) values (B"=5.8 mT).

2.3 QM/MM Energies

Table S19. Absolute energies (Hartree) for DFT/CHARMM optimized structures of VP tryptophan radical (see Fig. 1).

System	B3LYP/6-31G** [#]	B3LYP/cc-pVTZ//B3LYP/6-31G** [#]	CASPT2/6-31G*//B3LYP/6-31G** [#]
VP_10ns-cat	-1051.30788	-1051.64834	-1048.05816
VP_10ns-TS	-1051.30425	-1051.64282	-1048.05306
VP_10ns-neu	-1051.31282	-1051.64958	-1048.06198



Figure S1. QM/MM energy profiles for the proton transfer in **VP_10ns** model. The relative energies (kcal/mol) of tryptophan radical cation (**VP_10ns-cat**), transition state (**VP_10ns-TS**) and neutral tryptophan radical (**VP_10ns-neu**) were computed at the B3LYP/6-31G**[#]:CHARMM optimized structures using different levels of theory (see inset). The N1-H1 and H1-O2 bond lengths are given in Å.

3. References

- 1 H. Li, A. D. Robertson, J. H. Jensen, Proteins 2005, 61, 704.
- 2 W. Humphrey, A. Dalke, K. Schulten, J. Molec. Graphics 1996, 14.1, 33.
- 3 A. D. MacKerell Jr., D. Bashford, M. Bellott, R. L. Dunbrack Jr., J. D. Evanseck, M. J. Field, S. Fischer, J. Gao, H. Guo, S. Ha, D. Joseph-McCarthy, L. Kuchnir, K. Kuczera, F. T. K. Lau, C. Mattos, S. Michnick, T. Ngo, D. T. Nguyen, B. Prodhom, W. E. Reiher III, B. Roux, M. Schlenkrich, J. C. Smith, R. Stote, J. Straub, M. Watanabe, J. Wiórkiewicz-Kuczera, D. Yin, M. Karplus, J. Phys. Chem. B 1998, 102, 3586.
- 4 K. Kuczera, J. Kuriyan, M. Karplus, J. Mol. Biol. 1990, 213, 351.
- 5 G. Karlström, R. Lindh, P.-A. Malmqvist, B. O. Roos, U. Ryde, V. Veryazov, P.-O. Widmark, M. Cossi, B. Schimmelpfennig, P. Neogrady, L. Seijo, *Comput. Mat. Sci.* 2003, **28**, 222.
- 6 J. W. Ponder 2004, Tinker4.2 software tools for molecular design, Available at: http://dasher.wustl.edu/tinker
- 7 T. Andruniow, N. Ferré, M. Olivucci, Proceed. Nat. Acad. Sci. 2004, 101, 17908–17913.
- 8 N. Ferré, M. Olivucci, *THEOCHEM* 2003, **632**, 71–82.
- 9 V. Barone, P. Cimino, J. Chem. Theor. Comput. 2009, 5, 192.
- 10 D. A. Svistunenko, G. A. Jones, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2009, 11, 6600.
- 11 B. Brogioni, D. Biglino, A. Sinicropi, E. Reijerse, P. Giardina, G. Sannia, W. Lubitz, R. Basosi, P. R., *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2008, **10**, 7284.
- 12 M. Pavone, P. Cimino, O. Crescenzi, A. Sillanpää, V. Barone, J. Phys. Chem. B 2007, 111, 8928.
- 13 M. van Gastel, W. Lubitz, G. Lassmann, F. Neese, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 2237.
- 14 S. Sinnecker, M. Flores, W. Lubitz, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2006, 8, 5659.
- 15 D. Baute, D. Arieli, F. Neese, H. Zimmermann, B. M. Weckhuysen, D. Goldfarb, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 11733.
- 16 S. Un, Magn. Res. Chem. 2005, 43, S229.
- 17 F. Neese, J. Biol. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 11, 702–711.
- 18 F. Neese, T. Petrenko, D. Ganyushin, G. Olbrich, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2007, 251, 288.
- 19 A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648.
- 20 C. Lee, W. Yang, R. G. Parr, Phys. Rev. B 1988, 37, 785-789.
- 21 P. J. Stephens, F. J. Devlin, C. F. Chabalowski, M. J. Frisch, J. Phys. Chem. 1994, 98, 11623.
- 22 F. Neese, EPR Newsletter 2009, 18, 4.
- 23 V. Barone, Recent Advances in Density Functional Methods (PartI), ed. D.P. Chong ed., World Scientific Publishing Co., 1995.
- 24 V. Barone, A. Polimeno, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2006, 8, 4609-4629.
- 25 F. Neese, ORCA, an ab initio, Density Functional and Semi-empirical Program Package, University of Bonn, Germany, Version 2.7, June 2009
- 26 B. O. Roos, in Adv. Chem. Phys. (Ab initio Methods in Quantum Chemistry-II), Vol. 69 (Ed.: K. P. Lawley), Wiley, New York, 1987, p. 399.
- 27 K. Andersson, P.-Å. Malmqvist, B. O. Roos, J. Chem. Phys. 1992, 96, 1218–1226.