

Supporting Information to

Fluorescence quantum yield and photochemistry of bacteriophytocrome constructs

K.C. Toh, Emina A. Stojković, Ivo H.M. van Stokkum, Keith Moffat, John T.M Kennis

Model based data analysis

The aim of data analysis is to obtain a model-based description of the full data set in terms of a model containing a small number of precisely estimated parameters, of which the rate constants and spectra are the most relevant. The basic ingredient of kinetic models, namely the exponential decays, will be described first, followed by use of these ingredients for global and target analysis¹⁻³ of the full data. Our main assumption is that the time and wavelength properties of the system of interest are separable, which means that spectra of species or states are constant. For details on parameter estimation techniques the reader is referred to¹⁻⁴. Software issues are discussed in⁵.

A. Modeling an exponential decay

Here an expression is derived for describing an exponentially decaying component. The instrument response function (IRF) $i(t)$ can usually adequately be modeled with a Gaussian with parameters μ and Δ for, respectively, location and full width at half maximum (FWHM):

$$i(t) = \frac{1}{\tilde{\Delta}\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-\log(2)(2(t - \mu)/\Delta)^2)$$

where $\tilde{\Delta} = \Delta/(2\sqrt{2\log(2)})$. The convolution (indicated by an *) of this IRF with an exponential decay (with rate k) yields an analytical expression which facilitates the estimation of the IRF parameters μ and Δ :

$$c'(t, k, \mu, \Delta) = \exp(-kt) * i(t) = \frac{1}{2} \exp(-kt) \exp(k(\mu + \frac{k\tilde{\Delta}^2}{2})) \{1 + \text{erf}(\frac{t - (\mu + k\tilde{\Delta}^2)}{\sqrt{2}\tilde{\Delta}})\}$$

The wavelength dependence of the IRF location μ can be modeled with a polynomial.

$$\mu(\lambda) = \mu_{\lambda_c} + \sum_{j=1}^{j_{\max}} a_j (\lambda - \lambda_c)^j$$

Typically, a parabola is adequate and the order of this polynomial (j_{\max}) is two. The reference wavelength λ_c is usually at the center of the spectrograph.

B. Global and target analysis

The basis of global analysis is the superposition principle, which states that the measured data $\psi(t, \lambda)$ result from a superposition of the spectral properties $\varepsilon_l(\lambda)$ of the components present in the system of interest weighted by their concentration $c_l(t)$.

$$\psi(t, \lambda) = \sum_{l=1}^{n_{comp}} c_l(t) \varepsilon_l(\lambda)$$

The $c_l(t)$ of all n_{comp} components are described by a compartmental model that consists of first-order differential equations, with as solution sums of exponential decays. We consider three types of compartmental models: (1) a model with components decaying monoexponentially in parallel, which yields Decay Associated Difference Spectra (DADS), (2) a sequential model with increasing lifetimes, also called an unbranched unidirectional model⁶, which yields Evolution Associated Difference Spectra (EADS), and (3) a full compartmental scheme which may include possible branchings and equilibria, which yields Species Associated Difference Spectra (SADS). The last is most often referred to as target analysis, where the target is the proposed kinetic scheme, including possible spectral assumptions. In this paper we did not attempt a target analysis. Instead, throughout the manuscript, the EADS are shown in the main text and the corresponding DADS are shown in the Supporting Information.

(1) With parallel decaying components the model reads

$$\psi(t, \lambda) = \sum_{l=1}^{n_{comp}} c^l(k_l) DADS_l(\lambda)$$

The DADS thus represent the estimated amplitudes of the above defined exponential decays $c^l(k_l)$. When the system consists of parallel decaying components the DADS are true species difference spectra. In all other cases, they are interpreted as a weighted sum (with both positive and negative contributions) of true species difference spectra.

(2) A sequential model reads

$$\psi(t, \lambda) = \sum_{l=1}^{n_{comp}} c_l^H EADS_l(\lambda)$$

where each concentration is a linear combination of the exponential decays,

$$c_l^H = \sum_{j=1}^l b_{jl} c^I(k_j), \text{ and the amplitudes}^6 b_{jl} \text{ are given by } b_{11}=1 \text{ and for } j \leq l:$$

$$b_{jl} = \prod_{m=1}^{l-1} k_m / \prod_{n=1, n \neq j}^l (k_n - k_j)$$

When the system consists of sequentially decaying components $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow n_{comp}$ the EADS are true species difference spectra. In all other cases, they are interpreted as a weighted sum (with only positive contributions) of true species difference spectra.

Equivalence of the parallel and the sequential model

It is important to note that the fit is identical when using a parallel or a sequential model. Both the estimated lifetimes and the residuals from the fit are identical. This can be demonstrated as follows. Since the concentrations of the sequential model are a linear combination of the exponential decays we can write for the matrix of concentrations (where column l corresponds to component l)

$$C^H = C^I B$$

where the upper triangular matrix B contains the elements b_{jl} defined above.

Furthermore, in matrix notation the parallel model reads

$$\Psi = C^I DADS^T$$

where $DADS^T$ is the transpose of the matrix that contains the DADS of component l in column l . Likewise, in matrix notation the sequential model reads

$$\Psi = C^H EADS^T$$

Combining these three equations we obtain the relations

$$DADS = EADS \cdot B^T$$

$$EADS = DADS \cdot B^{-T}$$

where the coefficients of the lower triangular matrix B^{-T} are given by $b_{1l}^{-1} = 1 = b_{ll}^{-T}$ and for $j \leq l$:

$$b_{jl}^{-1} = \prod_{n=1}^{j-1} \frac{(k_n - k_l)}{k_n} = b_{lj}^{-T}$$

Thus the DADS are linear combinations of the EADS, and vice versa. Thus, the l th EADS is a linear combination of the l th and following DADS. In particular, the first EADS, which corresponds to the time zero difference spectrum, is the sum of all DADS; and the final EADS is proportional to the final DADS.

In systems where photophysical and photochemical processes occur the sequential model with increasing lifetimes provides a convenient way to visualize the evolution of the (excited and intermediate) states of the system. Therefore, the EADS are shown in the main text and the corresponding DADS are shown in the Supporting Information.

References

- (1) Holzwarth, A. R. Data Analysis of Time-Resolved Measurements. In *Biophysical Techniques in Photosynthesis*; Amesz, J., Hoff, A. J., Eds.; Kluwer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1996; pp 75.
- (2) van Stokkum, I. H. M.; Larsen, D. S.; van Grondelle, R. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta-Bioenergetics* **2004**, *1657*, 82.
- (3) van Stokkum, I. H. M.; van Oort, B.; van Mourik, F.; Gobets, B.; van Amerongen, H. (Sub)-Picosecond Spectral Evolution of Fluorescence Studied with a Synchroscan Streak-Camera System and Target Analysis. In *Biophysical Techniques in Photosynthesis Vol. II*; Aartsma, T. J., Matysik, J., Eds.; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2008; pp 223.
- (4) van Stokkum, I. H. M. "Global and Target Analysis of Time-resolved Spectra," Department of Physics and Astronomy, Faculty of Sciences, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2005.
- (5) van Stokkum, I. H. M.; Bal, H. E. "A Problem Solving Environment for interactive modelling of multiway data", 2006.
- (6) Nagle, J. F.; Parodi, L. A.; Lozier, R. H. *Biophysical Journal* **1982**, *38*, 161.