## Solvated electrons at the water/air interface: Surface versus bulk signal in low kinetic energy photoelectron spectroscopy

Franziska Buchner, Thomas Schultz, and Andrea Lübcke\*

Max Born Institute, Max-Born-Str. 2A, 12489 Berlin, Germany

E-mail: luebcke@mbi-berlin.de

## **Supporting material**

## Gaussian Fit of individual one-color spectra

Here, we present the individual one-color spectra together with Gaussian fits for solution A and B and compare them with corresponding spectra of a 0.2 mM NaI solution (Figures S1 to S3. The fitparameters are summarized in Table S1 and Table S2. In Figure S1 we show the one-color photoelectron spectra of a 0.2 mM NaI solution for 4.65 eV photon energy and 5.2 eV photon energy.

The 4.65 eV spectrum is well reproduced by contributions from 2-photon photodetachment of iodide and by 3-photon ionization of the 1b<sup>1</sup> band of water. The spin-orbit splitting of the two iodide ionization potentials was kept fix to 0.95 eV. The binding energy of the water 1b<sup>1</sup> band was set to the value given in Ref.<sup>1</sup> The photoelectron bands for iodide appear at kinetic energies that compare well with expected values based on the published binding energies.<sup>2</sup> We also used

<sup>\*</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed



Figure S1: One-color spectra of a 0.2 mM NaI solution. Left: 4.65 eV photon energy, right: 5.2 eV photon energy. Contributions are from 2-photon photodetachment of iodide (brown), multiphoton ionization of water (blue), chloride photodetachment (blue),  $e_{solv}^-$  (green, orange) and a species with binding energy of 1.6 eV (magenta).

a photoelectron band centered at 3.05 eV which corresponds to a transient species with binding energy of 1.6 eV.



Figure S2: One-color spectra of a 0.2 mM TBAI solution. Left: 4.65 eV photon energy, right: 5.2 eV photon energy. Contributions are from 2-photon photodetachment of iodide (brown), multiphoton ionization of water (blue), chloride photodetachment (blue),  $e_{solv}^-$  (green, orange) and a species with binding energy of 1.6 eV (magenta).

Comparable fit results were obtained for the other 2 solutions that contain TBAI. There is one important difference: The iodide photoelectron bands are systematically shifted towards smaller kinetic energies, i. e. higher binding energies. Within errorbars, the results for solution A and B are comparable and differ from the value for the 0.2 mM NaI solution by about 200 meV. The photoelectron spectra for the 5.2 eV pulse are fit with 5 Gaussians to account for signal due



Figure S3: One-color spectra of a 0.2 mM NaI+1.8 mM TBAI solution. Left: 4.65 eV photon energy, right: 5.2 eV photon energy. Contributions are from 2-photon photodetachment of iodide (brown), multiphoton ionization of water (blue), chloride photodetachment (blue),  $e_{solv}^-$  (green, orange) and a species with binding energy of 1.6 eV (magenta).

to water 2-photon ionization,  $Cl^-$  2-photon photodetachment, "hot" and "cold"  $e_{solv}^-$  as well as a feature with binding energy of 1.6 eV. The agreement between fit and data is very good. Positions of the individual contributions for the 5.2 eV pulses compare well for the different solutions. Slight changes occur for the photoelectron contributions of water 2-photon ionization and chloride 2-photon photodetachment between the 0.2 mM NaI solution and solution A and B. This might be due to different  $Cl^-$  distributions close to the surface in the presence of TBAI.<sup>3</sup>

Table S1: Fit parameters of the 4.65 eV one-color spectra. Spin orbit splitting for the I5p level of 0.95 eV was used.

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	assignment	I5p	I5p	$H_2O \ 1b^1$	
4.65 eV, 0.2 mM	NaI	-	_		
	A	$5000 \pm 200$	$5800\pm200$	$900\pm100$	$900\pm100$
	FWHM / eV	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.1
	$E_0$ / eV	$0.79\pm0.02$	1.74	$2.79^{1}$	3.05
4.65 eV, 0.2 mM	TBAI				
	A	$4000\pm400$	$4700\pm400$	$1300\pm300$	$60\pm200$
	FWHM / eV	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.1
	$E_0$ / eV	$0.65\pm0.07$	1.60	$2.79^{1}$	3.05
4.65 eV, 0.2 mM	TBAI+1.8 mM NaI				
	A	$6600\pm600$	$9900\pm500$	$1400\pm300$	$100\pm200$
	<i>w</i> / eV	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.1
	$E_0$ / eV	$0.55\pm0.03$	1.50	$2.79^{1}$	3.05

Table S2: Fitparamters for the 5.2 eV one-color spectra. Spin orbit splitting for the I5p level of 0.95 eV was used.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
assignment	$e_{solv}^{-}$ (cold)	$e_{solv}^{-}$ (hot)	H <sub>2</sub> O 1b <sup>1</sup> / Cl3p		
5.2 eV, 0.2 mM NaI					
A	$2500\pm300$	$1700\pm400$	$4600\pm700$	$4600\pm600$	$400\pm100$
<i>w</i> / eV	$1.0^{4}$	$1.0\pm0.2$	0.80	0.61	1.55
$E_0$ / eV	$1.9\pm0.1$	$2.9\pm0.1$	1.15	0.71	3.6
5.2 eV, 0.2 mM TBA	Ι				
A	$3800\pm400$	$2700\pm500$	$5300\pm500$	$12300\pm1400$	$600\pm100$
<i>w</i> / eV	$1.0^{4}$	$0.87 \pm 0.09$	0.80	0.61	1.55
$E_0$ / eV	$2.01\pm0.09$	$2.86\pm0.07$	1.15	$0.57\pm0.04$	3.6
5.2 eV, 0.2 mM TBA	I+1.8 mM NaI				
A	$25200\pm800$	$20000\pm1000$	$17000\pm1000$	$17000\pm2000$	$500\pm100$
<i>w</i> / eV	$1.0^{4}$	$0.85\pm0.02$	0.80	0.61	1.55
$E_0$ / eV	$1.98\pm0.03$	$2.85\pm0.02$	1.15	$0.56\pm0.04$	3.6

## References

- Winter, B.; Weber, R.; Widdra, W.; Dittmar, M.; Faubel, M.; Hertel, I. V. J. Phys. Chem. A 2004, 108, 2625.
- (2) Weber, R.; Winter, B.; Schmidet, P. M.; Widdra, W.; Hertel, I. V.; Dittmar, M.; Faubel, M. J. *Phys. Chem.* 2004, *108*, 4729.
- (3) Ottosson, N.; Heyda, J.; Wernersson, E.; Pokapanich, W.; Svensson, S.; Winter, B.;Öhrwall, G.; Jungwirth, P.; Björneholm, O. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2010, *12*, 10693.
- (4) Lübcke, A.; Buchner, F.; Heine, N.; Hertel, I. V.; Schultz, T. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2010, 12, 14629.