## 1 Supplementary Material

## 1.1 Curve fitting results

The analysis of the DNP spectra shown in Fig. 6b-d is described in the main text. The experimental DNP spectra (circles) overlaid with the best fit spectra (solid line) for the non-degassed 40 mM the 10 mM samples at different temperatures are shown in Figs. S1-S2. The DNP spectra overlaid with the best fit spectra for the degassed 40 mM sample at 10 K and different MW intensities are shown in Fig. S3.



Figure S1: Experimental DNP spectra (circles) of the non-degassed 40 mM sample in the temperature range of 6 K- 50 K overlaid with the simulated DNP spectra (solid lines) constructed using the two spectra shown in Fig. 8. The SE (dashed line) and the CE (dash-dotted line) spectra

used to construct the simulated DNP spectra are also shown. The MW frequency scale in MHzunits  $\nu_{MW} = \omega_{MW}/2\pi - \nu_{ref}$  is calibrated with respect to  $\nu_{ref} = 95 \cdot 10^3 MHz$ .



Figure S2: Experimental DNP spectra (circles) of the degassed 10 mM sample in the temperature range of 50 K-80 K overlaid with the simulated DNP spectra (solid lines) constructed using the two spectra shown in Fig. 8. The SE (dashed line) and the CE (dash-dotted line) spectra used to construct the simulated DNP spectra are also shown. The MW frequency scale in MHz units  $\nu_{MW} = \omega_{MW}/2\pi - \nu_{ref}$  is calibrated with respect to  $\nu_{ref} = 95 \cdot 10^3 MHz$ .



Figure S3: Experimental DNP spectra (circles) of the degassed 40 mM sample in the temperature range of 6 K–50 K overlaid with the simulated DNP spectra (solid lines) constructed using the two spectra shown in Fig. 8. The SE (dashed line) and the CE (dash-dotted line) spectra used to construct the simulated DNP spectra are also shown. The MW frequency scale in MHz units  $\nu_{MW} = \omega_{MW}/2\pi - \nu_{ref}$  is calibrated with respect to  $\nu_{ref} = 95 \cdot 10^3 MHz$ .

## **1.2** $\{e_b - e_a - n\}$ and $\{e_b - e_a - n_1 - n_2 - n_3\}$ ; a comparison

To demonstrate the changes in the contour plot of  $P_n(\omega_a, \omega_b, \omega_{MW})$ , shown in Fig. 5 for a three-spin system  $\{e_b - e_a - n\}$ , by adding removed nuclei we also calculated  $P_{n_3}(\omega_a, \omega_b, \omega_{MW})$  for  $n_3$  in a five-spin system  $\{e_b - e_a - n_1 - n_2 - n_3\}$ . Here only  $n_1$  is hyperfine-coupled to electron  $e_a$ , while all nuclei are dipolar-coupled to each other. Only small areas of the  $P_{n_3}(\omega_a, \omega_b, \omega_{MW})$  contour are shown in Fig. S4(c-d). Comparing these contours with the nuclear polarization of n, shown in Figs. S4(a-b), we notice that the results look very similar. The SE and CE regions are narrower in  $P_{n_3}(\omega_a, \omega_b, \omega_{MW})$  than in  $P_n(\omega_a, \omega_b, \omega_{MW})$  due to lower effective MW irradiation on  $n_3$  than on n.



Figure S4: A comparison between two small areas of  $P_n(\nu_a, \nu_b, \nu_{MW})$  calculated for a threespin system  $\{e_b - e_a - n\}$  (a-b) and  $P_{n_3}(\nu_{ea}, \nu_{eb}, \nu_{MW})$  (c-d) calculated for  $n_3$  in a five-spin system

 $\{e_b - e_a - n_1 - n_2 - n_3\}$ . The parameters used in the simulations are as in the figure caption of Fig. 7 with the addition of the nuclear-nuclear dipolar interactions  $d_{12} = 6 Hz$ ,  $d_{23} = 7 Hz$ ,  $d_{13} = 0 Hz$  and the relaxation time  $T_{1n} = 100 s$ .