Supplementary information to: Early stages of water/hydroxyl phase generation at transition metal surfaces -Synergetic adsorption and O–H bond dissociation assistance

Carine Michel,Florian Göltl, Philippe Sautet Université de Lyon, CNRS, Institut de Chimie de Lyon, Ecole normale supérieure de Lyon, 15 Parvis Descartes, BP7000, F-69342 Lyon Cedex 07, France Mail to: carine.michel@ens-lyon.fr

August 29, 2012

Table 1 The influence of the functional used on the energetic results (E_{ads} , ΔE and ΔE^{\ddagger} for the O–H scission, expressed in eV) is probed on two extreme cases (Rh and Pt) using GGA functionals (PW91, PBE), Grimme correction for dispersion (PBE+D2) and a van der Walls functional (optB86B, see J. Klimes, D.R. Bowler, A. Michaelides, *Phys. Rev. B.* **83**, 195131, (2011))

		PW91	PBE	PBE+D2	optB86B
Rh					
$\theta = 1/9$; monomer	Eads	-0.41	-0.37	-0.67	-0.62
$\theta = 2/9$; monomers	Eads	-0.77	-0.69	-1.31	-1.19
$\theta = 2/9$; dimer	Eads	-1.00	-0.93	-1.51	-1.35
$\theta = 1/9$; monomer	ΔΕ	-0.01	0.03	0.00	-0.05
	ΔE^{\ddagger}	0.90	0.91	0.88	0.89
$\theta = 2/9$; dimer donor	ΔE	0.10	0.14	0.09	-0.02
	ΔE^{\ddagger}	1.12	1.14	0.96	0.92
$\theta = 2/9$; dimer acceptor	ΔΕ	0.10	0.14	0.09	-0.02
	ΔE^{\ddagger}	0.64	0.73	0.62	0.57
Pt					
$\theta = 1/9$; monomer	Eads	-0.28	-0.25	-0.67	-0.49
$\theta = 2/9$; monomers	Eads	-0.51	-0.45	-1.31	-0.92
$\theta = 2/9$; dimer	Eads	-0.84	-0.78	-1.61	-1.20
$\theta = 1/9$; monomer	ΔΕ	0.67	0.70	0.76	0.64
	ΔE^{\ddagger}	0.96	0.96	0.98	0.92
$\theta = 2/9$; dimer donor	ΔΕ	0.78	0.80	0.89	0.73
	ΔE^{\ddagger}	1.18	1.15	1.17	1.12
$\theta = 2/9$; dimer acceptor	ΔΕ	0.45	0.48	0.46	0.38
	ΔE^{\ddagger}	0.97	0.88	0.85	0.77

Table 2 The water dimer adsorbed at a metallic surface has been split into three systems keeping the geometries fixed: the donor, the acceptor and the surface. This table provides the adsorption energy analysis (expressed in eV) in term of two bodies and three bodies decompositions. The synergy is the difference between the three-bodies term and the two bodies terms.

Metal	Acceptor-slab	Donor-slab	Acceptor-Donor	Three-body	Synergy
Ru	-0.13	-0.46	-0.26	-1.10	-0.26
Со	-0.13	-0.38	-0.28	-1.00	-0.21
Rh	-0.12	-0.46	-0.25	-1.09	-0.27
Ir	-0.09	-0.35	-0.25	-1.00	-0.31
Ni	-0.12	-0.40	-0.27	-1.02	-0.23
Pd	-0.13	-0.32	-0.25	-0.95	-0.24
Pt	-0.11	-0.28	-0.25	-0.92	-0.28

Table 3 Electronic population of the LUMO of the adsorbed entity after adsorption. For the magnetic surfaces, the α and β populations are provided successively.

Metal	H ₂ O	(H ₂ O) ₂
Ru	0.305	0.376
Со	0.306/0.266	0.433/0.373
Rh	0.283	0.311
Ir	0.322	0.339
Ni	0.289/0.271	0.341/0.364
Pd	0.241	0.289
Pt	0.272	0.293



Fig. 1 Density of state of the water dimer adsorbed at a Rh(111) surface projected on the HOMO-1, the HOMO and the LUMO orbitals of the water dimer. The corresponding orbitals are represented close to the corresponding peak density: in green, left handside, the HOMO ; in red, middle, the HOMO ; in blue, right handside, the LUMO.