Supplementary Information

Figure S-1. IRMPD of the d_4 -homodimers of 1-methylcytosine and of 1-methyl- d_3 -cytosine Figure S-2. IRMPD spectra of 1 compared with peaks predicted using Gaussian09^a Table S-1. IRMPD band positions and assignments for 1^{a,b} Figure S-3. IRMPD spectra of 2 compared with peaks predicted using Gaussian09^a Table S-2. IRMPD band positions and assignments for 2^{a,b} Figure S-4. IRMPD spectra of 3 compared with peaks predicted using Gaussian09^a Table S-3. IRMPD band positions and assignments for 3^{a,b} Figure S-5. IRMPD spectra of 6 compared with peaks predicted using Gaussian09^a Table S-4. IRMPD band positions and assignments for 6^{a,b} Figure S-6. IRMPD spectra of 4 compared with peaks predicted using Gaussian09^a Table S-5. IRMPD band positions and assignments for 4^{a,b} Figure S-7. IRMPD spectra of 5 compared with peaks predicted using Gaussian09^a Table S-6. IRMPD band positions and assignments for 5^{a,b} Figure S-8. Comparison of IRMPD spectra of heterodimers 4 and 5, 2800-3600 cm⁻¹ Figure S-9. Solid state ¹H spectrum (600 MHz) of the iodide salt of 1 Figure S-10. Solid state ¹³C spectrum (100.6 MHz) of the iodide salt of 1 compared with theory^a Figure S-11. Solid state ¹⁵N spectrum (40.5 MHz) of the iodide salt of 1 compared with theory ^a. Figure S-12. Single crystal IR of the iodide salt of 1 vs the IRMPD of gaseous 1 Figure S-13. Powder IR of two crystal habits of the iodide salt of 1 Figure S-14. Comparison of IR spectra of the iodide salt of 1 with its deuterium-exchanged isotopomer

^a Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, and D. J. Fox, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2010.

^b SBS= small basis set (B3LYP/6-31G**); LBS=large basis set (B3LYP/6-311++G**)



Figure S1: Comparison of the IRMPD spectrum of the d_4 -homodimer of 1methylcytosine (1- d_4 , panel A) with that of the d_4 -homodimer of 1-methyl- d_3 cytosine (panel B), demonstrating that the strong band near 3000 cm⁻¹ comes from methyl CH stretching vibrations.



Figure S2: Comparison of scaled and unscaled harmonic and anharmonic calculated spectra of 1-methylcytosine proton-bound dimer (1) vs experimental IRMPD spectra of 1-methylcytosine proton-bound dimer (in silhouette). [19]

Evot	SBS	SBS	L BS Scalad	IBS	
band	Harmonic	Anharmonic	Harmonic	Anharmonic	Assignment
					C=O stretch & in-plane NH
1/58	1763	1776	1739	1754	bend
1682	1697	1707	1677	1683	NH in-plane bends
	1677	1690	1653	1665	C=O stretch
1661	1662	1704	1643	1671	NH in-plane bends
	1634	1639	1618	1624	C=C &C-NH ₂ stretches
1606	1613 1610	1624 1618	1595 1585	1604 1584	NH in-plane bends & CO stretch
1567					
1531	1539	1548	1526	1536	C=N stretch & HCH bends
	1529	1538	1515	1521	C=C stretch & HCH bends
1485	1490 1477	1497 1486	1473 1466	1479 1491	HCH & NH in-plane bends
1426	1430	1459	1420	1444	methyl HCH bends
1386	1394	1410	1384	1393	CH ₃ umbrella, in-plane ring
	1383	1399	1371	1385	CH bends
1329	1330 1324	1344 1341	1321 1314	1332 1329	ring CH in-plane bends
1257	1265	1264	1245	1246	N-CO stretches
	1248	1251	1227	1229	
1194	1197	1205	1189	1199	ring CH in-plane bends
	1187	1203	1179	1188	
1152	1149 1146	1168 1164	1143 1140	1155 1156	HC=CH in-plane bends
	1119	1134	1114	1129	CH₃ umbrella, HNH
1128	1118	1132	1113	1123	in-plane rocking
	1108	1145	1104	1119	
	1042	1055	1037	1050	
1040	1038	1050	1034	1044	N-H-N out-of-plane bend
	1026	1046	999	1036	CH ₃ rocking

Table S1: Comparison of calculated bands and experimental IRMPD bands for 1-methylcytosine proton-bound homodimer (1). [19]



Figure S3: Comparison of scaled and unscaled harmonic and anharmonic calculated spectra of 5-fluoro-1-methylcytosine proton-bound homodimer (**2**) *vs* experimental IRMPD spectra of 1-methylcytosine proton-bound dimer (in silhouette). [19]

	SBS		LBS		
Expti	Scaled	SBS	Scaled	LBS	Accienment
Danu	Harmonic	Annarmonic	Harmonic	Annarmonic	C=O stretch & in_plane NH
1752	1761	1774	1738	1751	bend
1102					C-NH ₂ stretch and HNH
1694	1699	1713	1678	1688	i.p. bends
	1682	1689	1659	1674	C=C stretch, NHN ip bend
	1671	1686	1647	1661	
					C=C stretch, NHN i.p.
1630	1649	1659	1631	1639	bend, HNH i.p. bend
4000	4004	4644	4000	4000	C=C stretch, NHN I.p.
1620	1634	1641	1620	1629	Dend, HNH I.p. bend
1615	1602	1597	1580	1582	bends NHN in bend
1585	1002	1557	1300	1302	bends, Nini i.p. bend
1000					NHN ip bend HNH i p.
1550	1544	1555	1531	1539	bend, non C=C ring
	1531	1546	1517	1525	stretches
					HCH, HNH, NHN bends,
1500	1507	1515	1487	1495	N-C ring stretches
	1484	1478	1468	1476	
1428	1439	1439	1429	1435	HCH bends
	1439	1446	1429	1447	
1000	4070	1070	1050	1000	non C=C ring stretches,
1366	1372	1379	1309	1365	CH ₃ umbrella
	1299	1309	1290	1305	C=CH in plane bends
	1200	1000	12.50	1000	HNH in-plane bend N-CO-
1293	1294	1308	1283	1307	Nring
	1293	1307	1271	1282	stretches, C-F stretch
	1288	1298	1266	1271	
					N-CO-N ring stretch, HNH
1213	1225	1234	1204	1212	i.p. bend, C-F
	1212	1010	1100	1105	stretch, C=CH in-plane
11/2	1/212	1213	1190	1190	UNU NUN C-CU bende
1143	1134	1132	1127	1128	N-CH ₂ stretch
1123	1118	1132	1113	1125	HCH torsions
	1117	1127	1112	1126	
					NHN, HNH,C=CH in-plane
1108	1107	1114	1104	1106	bends
	1091	1096	1086	1094	CH ₃ rock
					CH ₃ rock, HNH i.p. bend,
1049	1051	1058	1043	1050	N-CH ring
	40.47	1050	4000	40.40	stretches NHN, HNH
	1047	1058	1038	1046	o.o.p. bends
	1030	1047	994	1041	

Table S2: Comparison of calculated bands and experimental IRMPD bands for 5-fluoro-1-methylcytosine proton-bound homodimer (**2**). [19]



Figure S4: Comparison of scaled and unscaled harmonic and anharmonic calculated spectra of 1,5-dimethylcytosine proton-bound homodimer (**3**) *vs* experimental IRMPD spectra of 1-methylcytosine proton-bound dimer (in silhouette). [19]

Exptl band	SBS Scaled Harmonic	SBS Anharmonic	LBS Scaled Harmonic	Assignment
1752	1760	1775	1735	C=O stretch & in-plane NH bend
1679	1693	1706	1675	C-NH ₂ , C=O, C=C stretches
	1674	1692	1649	NH ₂ scissoring & N-H
	1668	1730	1647	in-plane bends
1606	1606	1605		C=C stretch & NH scissoring
1563			1578	C=C stretch & NH scissoring
1533	1528	1535	1517	ring C-C & C-N stretch,
	1513	1523	1501	N-H and C-H rocking
1482	1491	1495	1472	C-NH ₂ , ring C-N stretches, &
	1477	1483	1466	NH ₂ scissoring
	1473	1471	1462	HCH, HNH, NHN bends,
	1472	1476	1461	
	1464	1490	1448	C-NH ₂ stretch
1457	1462	1482	1447	HCH, HNH, NHN bends,
	1450	1481	1440	methyl torsions
	1450	1468	1439	
	1440	1426	1430	HCH scissoring
1432	1439	1429	1430	
	1431	1447	1423	CH ₃ umbrella motions
	1429	1449	1421	
1390	1394	1425	1385	CH ₃ umbrella motions
	1392	1425	1383	
1362	1367	1374	1355	Ring C-N stretches &
	1362	1371	1351	CH ₃ umbrella motions
1330	1332	1334	1322	C=C-H bends
	1325	1331	1314	C-N ring stretches
1279	1279	1284	1262	Ring N-CO & N-CN stretches
L	1263	1273	1249	
1157	1150	1161	1143	C=C-H bends & N-CH ₃ stretch
1142	1144	1151	1136	C=C-H bends & N-CH ₃ stretch
1109	1119	1117	1113	N-CH ₃ rocking
	1118	1116	1112	
L	1109	1135	1104	H-N-H rocking
	1051	1057	1043	H-N-H rocking,
1051	1045	1053	1036	C-CH ₃ bends
	1041	1049	1036	C-CH ₃ rocking
	1038	1052	1034	_
1020	1021	1037	997	C-CH ₃ rocking & NH ₂ stretches

Table S3: Comparison of calculated bands and experimental IRMPD bands for 1,5-dimethylcytosine proton-bound homodimer (3). [19]



Figure S5: Comparison of scaled and unscaled harmonic and anharmonic calculated spectra of 1-methylcytosine/5-fluoro-1-methylcytosine protonbound heterodimer (6) *vs* experimental IRMPD spectra of 1-methylcytosine/5-fluoro-1-methylcytosine proton-bound dimer (in silhouette). [19]

	SBS		LBS		
Exptl	Scaled	SBS	Scaled	LBS	
band	Harmonic	Anharmonic	Harmonic	Anharmonic	Assignment
					C=O stretch & in-plane NH
1759	1763	1778	1739	1760	bends
1690	1697	1707	1676	1689	C-NH ₂ stretch & NH bends
1662	1682	1695	1660	1675	HNH in-plane bends,
	1675	1687	1652	1663	C=C & C=O stretches
1630	1639	1642	1623	1632	HNH & NH i.p. bends, CO & C=C stretches
1605	1610	1618	1593	1605	HNH & NH in plane bends,
	1607	1610			C=C, C=O, & C-NH ₂ stretches
1580			1583	1589	HNH & NH in-plane bends, CO stretch
1551	1541	1552			NH i.p. bends, NC-CH & NH ₂ C- CF stretches
1500	1500	1514	4540	1500	NH i.p. bends, NC-CH & NH ₂ C-
1525	1529	1014	1016	1926	CF Stretches
1505	1507	1508	1488	1494	scissoring
1000	1001	1000	1400	1434	NH & CH ₂ in-plane bends, C-
1479	1476	1486	1466	1483	NH ₂ stretches
	1473	1480	1463	1485	-
	1470	1464	1456	1469	
					methyl CH ₃ bends & umbrella
1431	1439	1450	1430	1427	motions
	1439	1448	1429	1428	
	1435	1443	1426	1437	
	1433	1404	1425	1450	
1202	1202	1970	1202	1207	HC=CH bends, CH ₃ umbrella,
1392	1393	1370	1303	1397	EC=CH bends CH, umbrella
1368	1371	1340	1358	1365	CN-CH stretch
		1010			HC=CH bends, CH ₃ rocking,
1332	1330	1307	1321	1334	CN-CH stretch
					FC=CH bends, CH ₃ rocking
1294	1293	1299	1283	1300	CN-CO stretch
4077	4000	105.1	1007	4070	FC=CH bends, NH ₂ C-N-CO
1277	1288	1254	1267	1273	Stretches
1219	1248	1234	1227	1226	NCON & EC CNH strateboo
	1224	1194	1203	1214	NH ₂ bends

Table S4: Comparison of calculated bands and experimental IRMPD bands for the 1-methylcytosine/5-fluoro-1-methylcytosine proton-bound heterodimer (6). [19]



Figure S6: Comparison of scaled and unscaled harmonic and anharmonic calculated spectra of 5-fluoro-1-methylcytosine/1,5-dimethylcytosine protonbound heterodimer (4) *vs* experimental IRMPD spectra of the 5-fluoro-1methylcytosine/1,5-dimethylcytosine proton-bound dimer (in silhouette). [19]

Expt	SBS Scaled	SBS	LBS	
	Harmoni	Anharmoni	Harmoni	
band	С	с	С	Assignment
1759	1761	1787	1735	C=O stretch & in-plane NH bend
1686	1693	1698	1674	C-NH ₂ Stretch and HNH i.p. bends
	1682	1697	1660	C=C stretch, NHN ip bend
	1672	1681		
	1000	1050	1017	C=C stretch, NHN i.p. bend, HNH i.p.
1659	1639	1650	1647	bend
1619	1623	1638	1624	C=C Stretch, NHN I.p. bend
1010	1606	1618	1607	C_NH _a stretch
1575	1000	1010	1582	
1544	1537	1551	1002	NH i p bends non C=C ring stretches
1510	1525	1526	1523	C-C-N ring stretches
	1508	1510	1513	NH in-plane bends
1456	1461	1474	1466	C-NH ₂ stretch, NH in-plane bends
	1450	1469	1463	& CH₃ bends
1435	1440	1437	1440	N-CH ₃ bending & umbrella motions
	1439	1430	1430	
	1433	1410	1429	
	1431		1425	
1367	1371	1378	1358	N-CH stretch & CH₃ umbrella
	1362	1360	1351	CH in-plane bend
1335	1332		1323	C-H in-plane bend, C-N-C stretches
1292	1293	1294	1283	C-H in-plane bend, C-N-C stretches
	1288	1290	1267	
1222	1225	1237	1248	N-C=O stretch & NH ₂ rocking
	1209	1213	1205	C-CH₃ stretch
1152	1145	1153	1136	N-CH ₃ stretch, C-H bends
	1137	1141	1124	& NH ₂ rocking
1050	1050	1059	1043	NH ₂ and CH ₃ rocking
	1046	1054	1037	

Table S5: Comparison of calculated bands and experimental IRMPD bands for 5-F-1-methylcytosine/1,5-dimethylcytosine proton-bound heterodimer (**4**). [19]



Figure S7: Comparison of scaled and unscaled harmonic and anharmonic calculated spectra of 1,5-dimethylcytosine/5-fluoro-1-methylcytosine protonbound heterodimer (5) *vs* experimental IRMPD spectra of the 1,5-dimethylcytosine/5-fluoro-1-methylcytosine proton-bound dimer (in silhouette). [19]

	SBS		LBS		
Exptl	Scaled	SBS	Scaled	LBS	
band	Harmonic	Anharmonic	Harmonic	Anharmonic	Assignment
					C=O stretch coupled with N-H in
1752	1761	1783	1736	1755	plane bends
	1693	1703	1674	1684	NH ₂ in plane bend
1070	1075			1001	with C-NH ₂ , C=C, & C=O
1679	1675	1691	1649	1661	stretches
1620	1002	1642	1643	1600	C=C stratch with NHN_NH
1030	1655	1045	1010	1029	
1625	1624	1635		1616	bends
1000	1010	1017	1000		C=O & C=C stretches, N-H in
1600	1610	1617	1608		plane bends
1507			1594	1590	C=O & C=C stretches, N-H In
1569			1004	1009	piane bends
1539	153/	15/13	1520	1526	C ₋ C stretches & NH ₂ rocking
1000	1525	1530	1512	1519	NHN in-plane bend
1488	1491	1494	1473	1479	HCH bends.
	1477	1487	1466	1485	i.p. NH bends, N=C-NH ₂ stretches
1471	1473	1487	1462	1469	Methyl CH ₃ bends, N-H ip bends,
	1471	1479	1461	1472	HC=CHbends
1430	1440	1444	1430	1429	N-Methyl H-C-H bends
	1439	1426	1430	1434	
					N-methyl CH ₃ umbrella HC=CH
1386	1383	1398	1371	1386	bends
1367	1363	1371	1352	1361	CH ₃ umbrella, HC=CH bends, OCN-CH stretch
1335	1332	1350	1322	1338	H ₃ CC=CH & HC=CH bends
	1324	1329	1314	1327	(O)C-N-C ring stretches
					ring N-CO stretches, methyl
1252	1266	1270	1248	1256	rocking
	1263	1268	1246	1250	ring CH bends,
				1000	ring C-H i.p. bends, N-C(O) & C-
1200	1210	1219	1198	1202	CH ₃ stretches
	1100	1007	1100	1100	HC=CH in-plane bends, N-CH3
1150	1196	1207	1189	1199	C CH, stratch, H-CC=CH bonds
1159	1147	1101	1141	1155	HC-CH in plane bends & methyl
1145	1144	1154	1136	1144	rocking
1133	1118	1134	1113	1127	CH ₃ methyl torisons
	1118	1118	1113	1122	
1108	1108	1124	1103	1112	CH ₃ and NH2 i.p. rock
1090	1094	1087	1088	1084	CH ₃ and NH2 i.p. rock
1056	1050	1059	1043	1050	N-CH ₃ i.p. bends
	1041	1053	1037	1049	CH ring bends, & NH ₂ rocking

Table S6: Comparison of calculated bands and experimental IRMPD bands for the 1,5-dimethylcytosine / 1-methylcytosine proton-bound heterodimer (**5**). [19]



Figure S8: Comparison of the IRMPD spectra of heterodimer **4** (lower red trace) and heterodimer **5** (upper blue trace). The upper trace shows absorptions from CH stretches (small, sharp band near 2885 cm⁻¹) not observed in the lower trace, which are therefore assigned to the methyl group at the 5-position of the 1,5-dimethylcytosine partner in the dimer.





Figure S10: Comparison of experimental solid state ¹³C spectrum (top) of the iodide salt of **1** (B form) and the predicted ¹³C spectrum for isolated **1** (bottom) computed using Gaussian09 at B3LYP/6-311++G**.



Figure S11: Comparison of experimental solid state ¹⁵N spectrum (top) of the iodide salt of **1** and the predicted ¹⁵N spectrum for isolated **1** (bottom) computed using Gaussian09 at B3LYP/6-311++G**.



Figure S12: Comparison of experimental IRMPD spectrum (red) of gaseous **1** and the experimental powder IR spectrum (blue; mixture of polymorphs) of the iodide salt of **1** in the 1000-1800 cm⁻¹ domain



Figure S13: Comparison of single crystal IR spectra of the iodide salt of **1** principally in the B crystal habit (red) and principally in the A crystal habit (blue, corresponding to previously published crystal structure of the iodide salt of the proton-bound dimer of 1-methylcytosine [6]).



Figure S-14: FT-IR spectra of the crystalline iodide salts of the proton-bound dimer of 1-methylcytosine and of its d_5 deuterium-exhanged isotopomer. Black arrows indicate the 1890 cm⁻¹ band present in both spectra. Red arrow in the top blowup indicates the band that coincides with the 1570 cm⁻¹ band observed by IRMPD for gaseous ion **1**.