Electronic Supplementary Information for

Graphene–molybdenum oxynitride porous material with improved cyclic stability and rate capability for rechargeable lithium ion battery

Ding Zhou,^{ab} Haiping Wu,^a Zhixiang Wei^{*a} and Bao-Hang Han^{*a}

^a National Center for Nanoscience and Technology, Beijing 100190, China ^b College of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871,

China

Tel: +86 10 8254 5576; E-mail: <u>hanbh@nanoctr.cn</u>. Tel: +86 10 8254 5565; E-mail: <u>weizx@nanoctr.cn</u>.



Fig. S1 XRD patterns of the raw materials and GMON materials.



Fig. S2 XPS spectra: O_{1s} and Mo_{3d} regions analysis of MON and GMON.



Fig. S3 Rate performances of GMoO and TRG/MON electrodes.



Fig. S4 Cycling performances of TRG, GMON, and MON electrodes at a current density of 200 mA g^{-1} .



Fig. S5 TEM images and SAED of GMON (a–c) and MON (d–f) in the anode after rate performance test.

Current Density (mA g ⁻¹)	Averaged Specific Capacity (mA h g^{-1})			
	GMoO		TRG/MON	
	Charge	Discharge	Charge	Discharge
100-I	759.04	925.92	494.77	661.76
200	639.07	658.55	403.00	424.90
400	567.92	581.24	328.18	340.87
800	457.77	461.12	239.45	247.91
1600	180.14	189.94	145.64	150.83
100-II	817.57	829.54	462.40	480.76

 Table S1. Averaged specific charge and discharge capacity of the GMoO and TRG/MON

 anodes at different current densities