

Fig. 1S b)

**Fig. 1S** Absorbance evolution as a function of concentration in the 3550-3100 cm<sup>-1</sup> frequency range for a) N-butylurea (**NBU**); b) N-butylthiourea (**NBTU**) in dichloromethane solutions within the concentration (mol/dm<sup>3</sup>).









**Fig. 2S** Absorbance evolution as a function of concentration in the 3550-3100 cm<sup>-1</sup> frequency range for a) N-propylurea (**NPU**); c) N-propylthiourea (**NPTU**) in chloroform and b) N-propylurea [**NPU**]; d) N-propylthiourea [**NPTU**] in dichloromethane solutions within the concentration (mol/dm<sup>3</sup>).



Fig. 3S b)

**Fig. 3S** Absorbance evolution as a function of concentration in the 3550-3100 cm<sup>-1</sup> frequency range for N-hexylthiourea (**NHTU**) in a) chloroform and b) dichloromethane solutions within the concentration (mol/dm<sup>3</sup>).



**Fig. 4S** Plots of average molecular weight versus c [mol/dm3] in chloroform for N-butylurea: experimental data (blue diamonds) and theoretical results (dark blue circles); N-propylthiourea experimental data (red diamonds) and theoretical results (dark red circles).



Fig. 5S Comparison of experimental and theoretical spectra of N-butylurea monomers. The difference in the position in the range of v (NH) stretching bands is about 200 cm<sup>-1</sup>.



**Fig. 6S** Comparison of experimental and theoretical spectra of N-butylurea. Theoretical spectra are the sum of the spectra of monomers, dimers and trimers, multiplied by appropriate contribution coefficients of different forms, which are determined from association constant.



**Fig. 7S** Comparison of experimental and theoretical spectra of N-butylthiourea. Theoretical spectra are the sum of the spectra of monomers, dimers and trimers, multiplied by appropriate contribution coefficients of different forms, which are determined from association constant.