1	SUPPORTING INFORMATION
2	
3	Electrodynamics of soft multilayered particles dispersions:
4	Dielectric permittivity and dynamic mobility.
5	
6	Jenny Merlin, <sup>1,2*</sup> Jérôme F.L. Duval <sup>1,2</sup>
7	
8	<sup>1</sup> Université de Lorraine, Laboratoire Interdisciplinaire des Environnements Continentaux (LIEC),
9	UMR 7360, 15 avenue du Charmois, Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy, F-54501, France.
10	<sup>2</sup> CNRS, Laboratoire Interdisciplinaire des Environnements Continentaux (LIEC), UMR 7360, 15
11	avenue du Charmois, Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy, F-54501, France.
12	
13	*Corresponding author. Email: <u>merlin@sdu.dk</u>
14	jennymerlin@hotmail.fr
15	Current address: Institute for Physics, Chemistry and Pharmacy, University of Southern Denmark,
16	Campusvej 55, 5230 Odense, Denmark.
17	
18	Impact of the particle volume fraction on the dielectric permittivity and dynamic mobility
19	The dependence of the dielectric increment $\Delta \varepsilon'(\omega)$ on frequency $\omega/2\pi$ is displayed in Figure
20	S1A for various values of particle volume fractions $\phi$ while keeping the hard core radius <i>a</i> constant.
21	The variation of $\phi$ is performed under the condition $a + d_1 + d_2 + \kappa^1 < b$ in order to avoid the
22	overlapping of polymeric segments and electric double layers of two neighboring particles. All the
23	other parameters are fixed and are reported in the caption of Figure S1. Figure S1B represents the
24	corresponding variations of the dielectric loss $\varepsilon''(\omega)$ . Let us first consider the low frequency regime,
25	<i>i.e.</i> $\omega/2\pi < 10^3$ s <sup>-1</sup> . $\Delta \varepsilon'(\omega)$ increases with increasing $\phi$ from 0.01 to 0.2 and then decreases upon
26	further increase of $\phi$ from 0.2 to 0.5. In order to understand the mechanism responsible for this
27	apparent non-monotonous variation of $\Delta \varepsilon'(\omega)$ with $\phi$ , we report in Figure S1C the quantity
28	$\Delta \varepsilon'(\omega \to 0)$ as a function of $\phi$ . For very diluted dispersions of particles ( $\phi \ll 1$ ), a permittivity
29	closed to 0 is obtained, as expected. In this situation, the dispersion contains a large amount of
30	medium compared to that of solids. As a result, the $\alpha$ -relaxation process taking place around the few
31	particles in the solution does not significantly impacts the overall dielectric response of the
32	suspension. $\Delta \varepsilon'(\omega \to 0)$ then increases with increasing $\phi$ up to a critical value ( $\phi = 0.2$ ) and
33	drastically drops upon further increase of $\phi$ . The initial increase in the magnitude of $\Delta \varepsilon'(\omega \to 0)$ is
34	simply due to the increasing amount of fixed charges in the dispersion. The drop in the magnitude of 1

 $\Delta \varepsilon'(\omega \to 0)$  observed for large  $\phi$  has been discussed in detail in previous work.<sup>1, 2</sup> As explained in 35 36 the main text, the  $\alpha$ -relaxation results from the establishment of an accumulation of neutral electrolyte on one side of the particle and a depletion of neutral electrolyte on the other side. For sufficiently large 37  $\phi$  (in our case  $\phi > 0.2$ ), the  $\alpha$ -relaxation is reduced by the overlap of polarization regions of adjacent 38 particles. Now consider frequencies  $\omega/2\pi > \omega_{MW}/2\pi \approx 3.10^7 \text{ s}^{-1}$ .  $\Delta \varepsilon'(\omega)$  decreases upon increase of  $\phi$ . 39 At such high frequencies, ions do not have time to migrate/diffuse in response to the applied electric 40 field. The polarization is then mainly governed by the mismatch between permittivity of the dispersing 41 medium ( $\varepsilon_r = 78.4$ ) and that of the hard core of the particle ( $\varepsilon_p = 2$ ). Because  $\varepsilon_p$  is much smaller than 42

43  $\mathcal{E}_r$ , the dielectric permittivity decreases with increasing  $\phi$ .

From Figure S1A and B, it is shown that  $\omega_{\alpha}$  increases upon increase of  $\phi$ . Let us comment on this. In the situation of diluted dispersions, the establishment of a concentration gradient leads to the diffusion of counterions from one side of the particle to the other with *a* the characteristic diffusion length. With increasing  $\phi$ , the distance between two neighboring particles is reduced and becomes lower than *a*. The counterions then diffuse from one particle to the other and the characteristic diffusion length of that process decreases with increasing  $\phi$ . The smaller this characteristic length, the larger is  $\omega_{\alpha}$ .







**Figure S1.** Variations of dielectric increment  $\Delta \varepsilon'$  (panel A) and dielectric loss  $\varepsilon''$  (panel B) as a function of field frequency  $\omega/2\pi$  for various particle volume fractions  $\phi$  (indicated). (Panel C) Variations of the magnitude of  $\Delta \varepsilon'(\omega \to 0)$  as a function of  $\phi$ . (Panel D) Variations of dimensionless dynamic mobility  $\overline{\mu}'$  as a function of  $\omega/2\pi$  for various  $\phi$  (indicated). Other parameters if not indicated in Table 1 (main text):  $c^* = 1\text{mM}$ ;  $\lambda_2^0 d_2 = 1$ ;  $\beta_1 = \beta_1^{\text{H}} = 5$ ;  $\rho_2^0 / F = -10\text{mM}$ ;  $d_1 = 20\text{nm}$ ;  $d_2 = 5\text{nm}$ ; a = 300nm;  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 \to 0$ ; z = 1.

Note that  $\omega_{MWO}$  does not depend on  $\phi$ . Indeed, the Debye length  $\kappa^{-1}$ , *i.e.* the characteristic length scale defining the MWO relaxation frequency, remains constant upon increase of  $\phi$  (we excluded the overlapping of the electric double layers of neighboring particles).

The counterparts of Figure S1A for the dynamic mobility are given in Figure S1D. Figure S1D shows a decrease in magnitude of  $\overline{\mu}'(\omega)$  and a slight increase in the characteristic frequency of inertial relaxation with increasing  $\phi$ . This negative contribution results from increasing particle – particle hydrodynamic interactions that tend to hinder the motion of the particles.

66

## 67 Verification of the outcome of the numerical code presented in this work

In the limiting case where there is only one homogeneous polymeric layer surrounding the particle core surface, we tested our numerical results with those obtained by Ahualli *et al.*<sup>1</sup> For the sake of illustration, Figure S2 displays the dependence of the dielectric permittivity  $\varepsilon'$  and dielectric loss  $\varepsilon''$  on frequency  $\omega/2\pi$  as obtained from our numerical procedure under the conditions adopted in Figure 11 in reference [1]. Our results quantitatively agree with those obtained by Ahualli *et al.* 





Figure S2. Variations of dielectric permittivity  $\varepsilon'$  and dielectric loss  $\varepsilon''$  as a function of field frequency  $\omega/2\pi$ for various particle volume fractions  $\phi = 0.01$  (black),  $\phi = 0.1$  (red),  $\phi = 0.2$  (blue) and various friction parameters  $\lambda_1^0 a = 1$  (squares),  $\lambda_1^0 a = 10$  (circles) and  $\lambda_1^0 a = 1$  (triangles). The particles consist of a hard core covered by a unique homogeneous polymer layer. Other parameters:  $c^* = 1$ mM;  $d_1 = 50$ nm; a = 100nm;  $\alpha_1 \rightarrow 0$ ; z = 1, total charge of the hard core = 1.67 10<sup>-15</sup>C; total charge of the polymer layer = 1.4 10<sup>-15</sup>C;  $\varepsilon_p =$ 80 4.5, density  $\rho_p = 2200$  kg m<sup>-3</sup>.

- 81
- 82
- 83
- 84

85

86





90 Figure S3. Dimensionless potential distribution from the core surface of the particle to the bulk 91 solution under conditions of Figure 2 (main text) with various  $\beta_1$  (indicated) and  $c^* = 1$  mM (panel 92 A),  $c^* = 10$  mM (panel B) and  $c^* = 20$  mM (panel C). The outer layer is marked by the bump 93 observed in the situation  $\beta_1 = 0$ .

- 94
- 95





97 Figure S4. Dimensionless potential distribution from the core surface of the particle to the bulk 98 solution under conditions of Figure 5 (main text) with various  $d_2/d_1$  (indicated) and  $c^* = 1$  mM 99 (panel A),  $c^* = 10$  mM (panel B) and  $c^* = 20$  mM (panel C).

- 100
- 101 References
- 102

```
103 1. S. Ahualli, M. L. Jiménez, F. Carrique and A. V. Delgado, Langmuir, 2009, 25, 1986-1997.
```

- 104 2. F. Carrique, Journal of Chemical Physics, 2003, 118, 1945-1956.
- 105
- 4