Analysis of parahydrogen polarized spin systems in low magnetic fields

Supplementary Information

Pierre Türschmann^{1,2}, Johannes Colell¹, Thomas Theis³, Bernhard Blümich¹ and Stephan Appelt^{1,4}

 ¹Institut für Technische Chemie und Makromolekulare Chemie, RWTH Aachen University, D-52056 Aachen, Germany.
 ²Max Planck Institute for the Science of Light, D-91058 Erlangen, Germany.
 ³Department of Chemistry, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708 USA.
 ⁴Zentralinstitut für Engineering, Elektronik und Analytik, Systeme der Elektronik (ZEA-2), Forschungszentrum Jülich, D-52425 Jülich, Germany.

Appendix A: Derivation of the three-spin density matrix

The Liouville-von-Neumann equation describes the temporal evolution of the spin state characterized by the time dependent density matrix $\rho_{I_1I_2S}(t)$ under the influence of the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^{I_1I_2S}$. The time dependent density matrix $\rho_{I_1I_2S}(t)$ contains all spin operators which can be generated by $\mathcal{H}^{I_1I_2S}$ acting on the initial singlet state ρ_p . If non-detectable spin states are neglected the resulting system consists of six coupled linear differential equations characterized by the coefficients a(t), b(t), ... f(t). The general time dependent form is

$$\rho_{I_1I_2S}(t) = I_{1z}I_{2z} + a(t) \cdot ZQ_x + 2 \cdot b(t) \cdot ZQ_yS_z + c(t) \cdot (I_{1z} - I_{2z})S_z + 2 \cdot d(t) \cdot ZQ_xS_z + e(t) \cdot ZQ_y + \frac{1}{2}f(t)(I_{1z} - I_{2z}).$$

Introducing $\Delta J = J_{1S} - J_{2S}$ and $\Delta \omega = \omega_1 - \omega_2$ the system of six linear, coupled differentials becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial a(t)}{\partial t} &= \pi \Delta J b(t) + \Delta \omega \ e(t) \\ \frac{\partial b(t)}{\partial t} &= 2\pi J_{12} c(t) - \pi \Delta J a(t) - \Delta \omega d(t) \\ \frac{\partial c(t)}{\partial t} &= -2\pi J_{12} b(t) \\ \frac{\partial d(t)}{\partial t} &= \pi \Delta J e(t) + \Delta \omega b(t) \\ \frac{\partial e(t)}{\partial t} &= 2\pi J_{12} f(t) - \pi \Delta J d(t) - \Delta \omega a(t) \\ \frac{\partial f(t)}{\partial t} &= -2\pi J_{12} e(t). \end{aligned}$$

The boundary condition is that that the initial state of the system $\rho_{I_1I_2S}(t=0)$ has to correspond to the singlet state ρ_p of parahydrogen. Solving these equations one obtains the general form of $\rho_{I_1I_2S}(t)$. The symmetry breaking process of the initial singlet state occurs continuously over a time period τ . The coefficients are oscillating with frequencies $2\pi J_{12}\sqrt{1+x_+^2}$ and $2\pi J_{12}\sqrt{1+x_-^2}$ where $x_- = y - x$ and $x_+ = y + x$.

The steady state solution of the density matrix $\overline{\rho_{I_1I_2S}}$ is obtained by the time averaged coefficients $\overline{a} \dots \overline{f}$. If we assume that that $\tau^{-1} \ll 2\pi J_{12}\sqrt{1+{x_+}^2}$, \overline{b} and \overline{e} will be equal to zero. The limiting cases

$$\lim_{\Delta \omega_{12} \to 0} \overline{\rho_{I_1 I_2 S}} = I_{1z} I_{2z} + \frac{1}{1 + y^2} Z Q_x + \frac{y}{1 + y^2} (I_{1z} - I_{2z}) S_z$$
$$\lim_{\Delta J \to 0} \overline{\rho_{I_1 I_2 S}} = I_{1z} I_{2z} + \frac{1}{1 + x^2} Z Q_x + \frac{x}{2(1 + x^2)} (I_{1z} - I_{2z})$$

agree with the known forms in case there is either no chemical shift difference between nuclei $I_1 I_2$ or no heteronuclear *J*-coupling term.

Appendix B: Energy Eigenvalues, transition frequencies and bandwidth

Introducing $v_0^* = v_0(1 + (\delta_1 + \delta_2)/2)$ the energy eigenvalues of the two-spin system Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{I_1I_2}$ are given by

$$E_{1} = h\left(-v_{0}^{*} + \frac{J}{4}\right)$$

$$E_{2} = h\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{J^{2} + (\delta v)^{2}} - \frac{J}{4}\right)$$

$$E_{3} = h\left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{J^{2} + (\delta v)^{2}} - \frac{J}{4}\right)$$

$$E_{4} = h\left(v_{0}^{*} + \frac{J}{4}\right).$$

Note that the frequency difference $E_4 - E_1 = h \Delta v_D$ defines the spectral width of the spectrum and therefore the minimum bandwidth of the amplifier. This is used for the analytical description of the *SNR*.

The four corresponding eigenstates can be expressed in the Zeeman basis set ($|\alpha\alpha\rangle$, $|\alpha\beta\rangle$, $|\beta\alpha\rangle$, $|\beta\beta\rangle$) using the mixing angle $\phi = \frac{1}{2} \arctan(x^{-1})$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_1 &= (0,0,0,1) \\
\varphi_2 &= (0,\cos\phi, -\sin\phi, 0) \\
\varphi_3 &= (0,\sin\phi,\cos\phi, 0) \\
\varphi_4 &= (1,0,0,0)
\end{aligned}$$

The transition frequencies between the eigenstates can be expressed in a Taylor series as a function of *x* assuming the inverse weak coupling limit ($\delta v < J$). Truncation after the 4th order yields

$$\begin{split} v_1 &= \frac{E_2 - E_1}{h} \approx v_0^* - J(1 + \frac{1}{4}x^2 - \frac{1}{16}x^4) \\ v_2 &= \frac{E_4 - E_3}{h} \approx v_0^* - \frac{1}{4}x^2 + \frac{1}{16}x^4 \\ v_3 &= \frac{E_3 - E_1}{h} \approx v_0^* + \frac{1}{4}x^2 - \frac{1}{16}x^4 \\ v_4 &= \frac{E_4 - E_2}{h} \approx v_0^* + J(1 + \frac{1}{4}x^2 - \frac{1}{16}x^4) \,. \end{split}$$

These equations define the non-linear splitting.

Appendix C: Line Amplitudes for thermal and parahydrogen induced polarization

If a two-spin system is subjected to a $\pi/2$ x-pulse spin components will be aligned to the y-axis of a coordinate frame rotating at the Larmor frequency. The observable magnetization is given by

$$\langle I_{1y} + I_{2y} \rangle = Tr \left[(I_{1y} + I_{2y}) \cdot e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathcal{H}^{I_1 I_2 t}} \cdot \rho_{init} \cdot e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathcal{H}^{I_1 I_2 t}} \right].$$

 ρ_{init} defines the type of polarization which is either a thermally or a parahydrogen polarized spin state. For convenience a unitary transformation can be performed to change into the eigenbasis of the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^{I_1I_2}$. The unitary matrix U is composed of the four orthonormal eigenvectors in the Zeeman basis

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\phi & -\sin\phi & 0 \\ 0 & \sin\phi & \cos\phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The corresponding expression for the Hamiltonian describing the time evolution consists of the diagonal matrix $\lambda = diag\{E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4\}$ including the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{H}^{I_1I_2}$.

$$e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\lambda t} = U^{-1} \cdot e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\mathcal{H}^{I_1 I_2} t} \cdot U$$

If the sample is thermally prepolarized the initial density matrix ρ_{init}^{Boltz} in the high temperature approximation after a $\pi/2$ x-pulse is

$$\rho_{init}^{Boltz} = \beta (I_{1y} + I_{2y}).$$

With β as defined by Eq. (4). Using these expressions and assuming $\beta = 1$ the characteristic amplitudes A_i^{Boltz} of transitions for thermally polarized systems become

$$\begin{aligned} A_1^{Boltz} &= A_4^{Boltz} = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{1+x^2}} \\ A_2^{Boltz} &= A_3^{Boltz} = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{1+x^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

For PHIP with $\pi/2$ and $\pi/4$ excitation pulses the amplitudes are given by

$$A_{1}^{\frac{\pi}{2}PHIP} = A_{2}^{\frac{\pi}{2}PHIP} = -A_{3}^{\frac{\pi}{2}PHIP} = -A_{4}^{\frac{\pi}{2}PHIP} = \frac{x^{2}}{4(1+x^{2})^{3/2}}$$
$$A_{1}^{\frac{\pi}{4}PHIP} = -A_{4}^{\frac{\pi}{4}PHIP} = \frac{x^{2}(-1-\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{1+x^{2}})}{8(1+x^{2})^{3/2}}$$
$$A_{2}^{\frac{\pi}{4}PHIP} = -A_{3}^{\frac{\pi}{4}PHIP} = \frac{x^{2}(1+\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{1+x^{2}})}{8(1+x^{2})^{3/2}}.$$

These expressions define the sum $\sum_i |A_i|$ in Eq. (20). The amplitudes are the analytic description of line amplitudes expected in PHIP spectra which are shown in Fig. 2b. Irrespective of the applied excitation pulse the transition lines v_2 and v_3 have an opposite sign of and the same magnitude of amplitudes. This explains the boundaries of the integral S_{v23} in Eq. (18), as for reasons of symmetry only the part of a Lorentz shaped peak which overlaps with the other peak of opposite sign leads to area cancelation.

Appendix D: Signal to Noise model

For a coil detected NMR signal with a constant polarization P_n the *SNR* limited by the Johnson noise $(\sqrt{4kTR_s\Delta v_D})$ using the detection bandwidth $\Delta v_D = J_{12} (1 + \sqrt{1 + x^2})$ (see appendix A) can be defined as

$$SNR = \alpha \frac{B_0 \cdot P_n}{\sqrt{4kTR_s \, \Delta v_D}} \sum_i |A_i| \, .$$

For the two-spin system investigated in this article we require at least $\Delta v_D \ge v_4 - v_1$. From appendix C we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{4} \left| A_i^{\frac{\pi}{2}PHIP} \right| = \frac{x^2}{(1+x^2)^{3/2}}$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{4} \left| A_i^{\frac{\pi}{4}PHIP} \right| = \frac{x^2}{4(1+x^2)} \text{ for } x > \sqrt{2(1+\sqrt{2})}$$
$$= \frac{(1+\sqrt{2})x^2}{4(1+x^2)^{3/2}} \text{ for } x < \sqrt{2(1+\sqrt{2})}.$$