Efficient C-C bond splitting on Pt monolayer and sub-monolayer catalysts during ethanol electro-oxidation: Pt layer strain and morphology effects

Rameshwori Loukrakpam^a), Qiuyi Yuan^b), Valeri Petkov^c), Lin Gan^a), Stefan Rudi ^a), Ruizhi Yang^d), Yunhui Huang^e), Stanko R. Brankovic^b), Peter Strasser^a)*

a) Department of Chemistry, Technical University Berlin, Berlin 10623, Germany

^{b)} Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204, USA

c) Department of Physics, Central Michigan University, Mt. Pleasant, MI 48859, USA

^{d)} School of Energy, Soochow University, Suzhou, Jiangsu 215006, China

^{f)} College of Materials Science and Engineering, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, 430074, China.

* Corresponding author



Figure SI. 1: (a) Cyclic voltammogram of Au NPs in $0.5M H_2SO_4 + 0.05M CuSO_4$ solution at various positive potential limits (from 1.07-1.57 V); (b) Cyclic voltammograms of Au NPs (red-dash curve) in $0.5M H_2SO_4$ after 50 cleaning cycles at 100 mV/s; (black-solid curve) in $0.5M H_2SO_4$ after 50 cleaning cycles at 100 mV/s; (black-solid curve) in $0.5M H_2SO_4 + 0.05M CuSO_4$ solution at 100 mV/s showing Cu UPD deposition and stripping peaks; (c) Chronoamperometric trajectory of initial Au conditioning (E = 0.98 V, 0 < t < 10s) following by underpotential Cu deposition (E = 0.52-0.22 V, t > 10 s) on Au nanoparticles in $0.05M H_2SO_4 + 0.1 M CuSO_4$ solution; (d) Chronoamperometric curve of Cu deposition at E = 0.33 V and stripping at E = 0.98 V for 100 mg of carbon supported Au NPs.



Figure SI. 2: Cluster size distribution analysis of STM images of (a) Au/C@Pt ML and (b) Au/C@Pt sML.



Figure SI.3. SNIFTIRS spectra for (a) Au@Pt ML and (b) Au@Pt sML in CO-saturated 0.1 M HClO₄ at potential range of 0.2 to 0.8 V. Reference spectrum at 0.9 V.



Figure SI 4. HUPD comparison (a) and CO stripping (b) curves for Au@Pt ML and Au@Pt sML at 50 mV/s.