

1 **Characterization and Preparation of 3D Tubular Scaffolds for**
2 **Fabricating Artificial Vascular by Combining Electrospinning**
3 **and Rapid Prototyping**
4

5 Sang Jin Lee,^{ab} Dong Nyoung Heo,^b Ji Sun Park,^a Seong Keun Kwon,^{cde} Jin Ho Lee,^f Jun Hee
6 Lee,^a Wan Doo Kim,^a Il Keun Kwon,^{‡*b} and Su A Park,^{‡*a}

7
8 *^aDepartment of Nature-Inspired Nanoconvergence Systems, Korea Institute of Machinery
9 and Materials, 156 Gajeongbuk-ro, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 304-343, Republic of Korea*

10 *^bDepartment of Maxillofacial Biomedical Engineering and Institute of Oral Biology, School
11 of Dentistry, Kyung Hee University, 26 Kyunghee-daero, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 130-701,
12 Republic of Korea*

13 *^cDepartment of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, 101 Daehak-ro, Jongno-gu,
14 Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul 110-744, Republic of Korea*

15 *^dCancer Research Institute, Seoul, Republic of Korea*

16 *^eSeoul National University Medical Research Center, Seoul, Republic of Korea*

17 *^fDepartment of Advanced Materials, Hannam University, 461-6 Jeonmin Dong, Yuseong-gu,
18 Daejeon 305-811, Republic of Korea*

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 † Correspondence to Il Keun Kwon, Ph. D.

32 Department of Maxillofacial Biomedical Engineering and Institute of Oral Biology, School of
33 Dentistry, Kyung Hee University, 26 Kyunghee-daero, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul, 130-701,
34 Republic of Korea

35 Tel.: 82-2-961-0350

36 E-mail address: kwoni@khu.ac.kr (Il Keun Kwon).

37

38 † Correspondence to Su A Park, Ph. D.

39 Department of Nature-Inspired Nanoconvergence Systems, Korea Institute of Machinery and
40 Materials, 156 Gajeongbuk-ro, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 304-343, Republic of Korea

41 Tel.: 82-42-868-7969

42 E-mail address: psa@kimm.re.kr (Su A. Park).

43

44 † These corresponding authors made equal contributions to this work.

45

46 **Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) For**

47 **Experimental: Materials and methods**

48 1. Materials

49 Purified chitosan powder (average MW, 370 kDa; deacetylation degree, 85%) was prepared
50 as described previously.^{1,2} Polycaprolactone (average MW 45 kDa) and trifluoroacetic acid
51 (RegentPlus[®], 99%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Dichloromethane
52 (Extra Pure, 99.0%+) and N,N-dimethylformamide (grade = 99.5%) were purchased from
53 Junsei (Junsei Chemical Co., Ltd., Japan). Sodium hydroxide (NaOH) was purchased from
54 YPC (Yakuri Pure Chemicals Co., Ltd., Japan). Methanol (MeOH) was purchased from
55 DaeJung (Chemical & Metals Co., Ltd., Korea). Deionized-distilled water (DDW) was
56 produced with an ultrapure water system (Puris-Ro800; Bio Lab Tech., Korea). All other
57 reagents and solvents were of analytical grade and used without further purification.

58

59 2. Fabrication of tubular nanofibers via ELSP

60 The fabrication of ENs was performed as our described previously.^{1,2} Additionally, ratio of
61 CTS/PCL volume was fixed as previous report.³ Detailed conditions are shown in Fig. S1.
62 Prior to ELSP, CTS and the CTS/PCL blend (8:2) were dissolved in a mixed TFA/MC (7:3)
63 solvent to produce a 5 wt.% solution. PCL was dissolved in a mixed MC/DMF (9:1) solvent
64 to produce a 20 wt.% solution. The collector was a rotating mandrel with a diameter of 5 mm.
65 The resultant materials were dried overnight under vacuum to remove any residual solvent.
66 After drying, the CTS and CTS/PCL materials were neutralized as described previously^{1,2} to
67 reduce water solubility and to maintain neutral conditions.

68

69 3. Manufacture of novel tubular vessels by rapid prototyping

70 3D-printed PCL strands were fabricated as described previously.^{4,5} Briefly, PCL pellets
71 were melted at 100°C in a heated dispenser. 3D printed strands were extruded in a custom 3D
72 printing system designed in our laboratory. The nozzle size and distance between strands
73 were 300 and 1200 μm, respectively. After the PCL was melted, a continuous air pressure of
74 300 kPa was applied to the dispenser, and strands of molten PCL were applied layer by layer
75 onto the EN films. The 5-mm drum holding the EN film was rotated between layers to
76 produce a patterned 0°/45° degree porous structure.

77

78 4. Analytical equipment

79 The molecular structure of EN was characterized using an FT-IR spectrophotometer with a
80 resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ between 4000 and 500 cm⁻¹ (Spectrum™ One System, Perkin-Elmer).

81 Water contact angles were measured using the drop method and a video camera (Phoenix 150,
82 SEO, Korea). To estimate the amount of water uptake, dried samples were initially weighed
83 and subsequently immersed in a 10-mL vial containing distilled water. After 1 h of
84 immersion, the samples were taken out of the water, residual surface water was removed with
85 a paper wipe, and the samples were weighed again. Water uptake (%) was calculated as
86 follows:

$$87 \quad \text{Water uptake (\%)} = [(W_{\text{after}} - W_{\text{before}}) / W_{\text{before}}] \times 100.$$

88 The compressive modulus of each sample was determined using a Microload® system (R&B,
89 Inc., Daejeon, Korea) at a head speed of 0.5 mm/min. The morphology of the EN layer was
90 observed with a scanning electron microscope (SEM, Hitachi S-4700, Japan) at an
91 acceleration voltage of 15 kV. All of the samples were sputter-coated with platinum for 10
92 minutes prior to SEM analysis.

93

94

95 **References**

- 96 1. S. J. Lee, D. N. Heo, J.-H. Moon, W.-K. Ko, J. B. Lee, M. S. Bae, S. W. Park, J. E. Kim, D.
97 H. Lee and E.-C. Kim, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2014, **111**, 530-537.
- 98 2. S. J. Lee, D. N. Heo, J.-H. Moon, H. N. Park, W.-K. Ko, M. S. Bae, J. B. Lee, S. W. Park,
99 E.-C. Kim and C. H. Lee, *J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.*, 2014, **14**, 7488-7494.
- 100 3. N. Bhattarai, Z. Li, J. Gunn, M. Leung, A. Cooper, D. Edmondson, O. Veiseh, M. H. Chen,
101 Y. Zhang and R. G. Ellenbogen, *Advanced Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 2792-2797.
- 102 4. S. A. Park, S. H. Lee and W. D. Kim, *Biopro. Biosis. Eng.*, 2011, **34**, 505-513.
- 103 5. S. A. Park, J. B. Lee, Y. E. Kim, J. E. Kim, J. H. Lee, J.-W. Shin, I. K. Kwon and W. Kim,
104 *Macromol. Res.*, 2014, **22**, 882-887.

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

	Weight percent (%)	Voltage (kv)	Distance (cm)	Needle (gauge)	Flow rate (ml/h)	Fiber diameter (nm)
(a) CTS EN	5	20	15	22	1	441 ± 13
(b) CTS/PCL EN	5	20	15	22	1	511 ± 25
(c) PCL EN	20	18	15	20	1	998 ± 14

119 **Figure S1.** The ELSP-fabricated EN characteristics and fiber diameters.

120

121

	10 sec (%)	20 sec (%)	30 sec (%)	40 sec (%)
(a) PCL-coated CTS EN	127 ± 5.8	135.4 ± 9.6	140.2 ± 8.6	140.2 ± 3.6
(b) PCL-coated CTS/PCL EN	94.5 ± 3.8	108.4 ± 9	122.2 ± 8	122.2 ± 4.2
(c) PCL-coated PCL EN	30.1 ± 6.7	30.2 ± 8.4	31.3 ± 5.5	31.3 ± 2.1

122 **Figure S2.** Percent water uptake of the tubular vascular scaffolds.

123

124

	Ultimate tensile strength (MPa)
(a) CTS EN	1.014 ± 0.19
(b) CTS/PCL EN	3.984 ± 0.14
(c) PCL-coated CTS EN	4.679 ± 0.22
(d) PCL-coated CTS/PCL EN	8.266 ± 0.21

125 **Figure S3.** Ultimate tensile strengths of the tubular vascular scaffolds.