# **Supplementary Information**

#### **Bulk Carbide Fractional Coordinates**

hcp
Spa
W:
C: ⅔

hcp WC Space Group 187 W: 0.0, 0.0, 0.0 C: ⅔, ⅓, 0.5 **SiC β** Space Group 216 Si: 0.25, 0.25, 0.25 C: 0.0, 0.0, 0.0

### **Bulk Carbide DOS Plots and Analysis**

The fcc carbides exhibit valence bands with a large degree of TM d- and carbon p-overlap below  $E_f$ , while the unfilled conduction bands above  $E_f$  are dominated by the TM d-states. As the d-band is filled moving across the period, both the conduction and valence bands are shifted negatively in energy resulting in an increased number of states at  $E_f$ , and improved conductivity. The gap between the valence and conduction band centres ( $\Delta$ ) increases going down the series from TiC to Nb to Ta signifying a widening in the bonding anti-bonding splitting which is indicative of the compounds' stability.<sup>1</sup>

The hcp WC DOS also exhibits valence and conduction bands connected by a pseudogap at  $E_f$ . It is similar to TiC in terms of the positioning of the bands with regards to  $E_f$  although with a much larger  $\Delta$  value. The proximity of the hcp WC conduction band to  $E_f$  results in a positive  $E_d$  which is also more reminiscent of TiC than of fcc WC (see article Table 1). SiC differs from the TM carbides as it is a semiconductor. Excellent overlap in noted between the C and Si p-bands as expected for a covalent compound with C contributions dominating the valence band and unfilled Si p-states the conduction band.  $\Delta$  is once again very large as the conduction band is well separated from  $E_f$ .

Figure 1. Total and projected Density of States (DOS) around the Fermi level (E<sub>f</sub>) a) TiC, b) NbC, c)TaC and d) SiC



### **Surface Relaxations**

Figure 2. Schematic showing a) intralayer and b) interlayer distances in (100) and (111) surfaces.





# Hcp WC(11-20) Overlayer Formation

Figure 3. Surface structure of hcp WC(11-20) illustrating partial coverage of the carbide upon filling of the preferred surface sites.



#### **Overlayer Electronic structure for hcp WC(0001)**

Figure 4. Projected Density of States diagram for the d-band of Pt ML on hcp WC(0001), each ML dband is offset for clarity

# Surface Specific Electronic Structure

Figure 5. Difference Density of States plots (surface-bulk) for fcc carbide surfaces a) TiC(111), b) TiC(100), c) NbC(111), d) NbC(100), e) TaC(111), f)TaC(100) g) fcc WC(111) and h) fcc WC (100) TMSRs are highlighted in blue and other regions of interest in purple



c)

e)

g)

d)

f)

h)





### **TMSR Depletion Upon Pt Adsorption**

Figure 7. Difference DOS plots of surface bilayers upon Pt overlayer adsorption. a) TiC(111) b) hcp WC(0001), c) NbC(111) d) SiC(111), e) TaC(111) and f) fcc WC(111)



# **Adsorption Sites**

Figure 8. Schematic for different adsorption sites on fcc(100) and (111) surfaces.



# References

1. F. Viñes, C. Sousa, P. Liu, J. A. Rodriguez, and F. Illas, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2005, **122**, 174709.