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## 1. Determination of binding affinity $K_{B}$ by FCS technique

In this section, we give further details on the approach used to obtain the binding affinity $K_{\mathrm{B}}$ of dendrimer-phthalocyanine complexes in aqueous solution from FCS technique. The FCS measurements were performed either: $i$ ) with a drop on top of a glass coverslip, or $i i$ ) by titration in a home-made liquid cell. In this case, a home-made liquid cell was built by gluing a pipette tip to a glass coverslip, thoroughly cleaned prior to use (Scheme S 1 ). The advantage of performing titrations is that it allows us to keep always the same measurement position on the coverslip glass for a series of dendrimer concentrations, or salt addition. This procedure avoids experimental uncertainties in the diffusion coefficient measured by FCS due to variations in coverslip thickness, as theoretically evaluated in [Enderlein et al. ChemPhysChem, 2005, 6, 2324].


Scheme S1 - Home-made liquid cell used for the titration procedure in FCS measurements.

Each titration series begins by inserting an initial volume of phthalocyanine solution in the liquid cell, and performing an FCS measurement to calibrate the detection volume. The diffusion coefficient of each phthalocyanine, Pc4, Pc2 and Pc1, were previously calibrated with the reference dye Atto655-COOH ( $D=426 \mu \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$, water at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Subsequently, well-defined volumes of a stock solution of dendrimer are added and carefully mixed before each FCS measurement. In this way, it was possible to increase the dendrimer concentration from sub-nanomolar up to micromolar range. Some selected examples of the FCS curves measured by a titration procedure are shown in Fig. S1.


Fig. S1 Experimental FCS autocorrelation curves from selected titration series performed to determine the binding affinity of dendrimer-phthalocyanine complexes in aqueous solution free with KCl salt $(60 \mathrm{mM})$. The top row was measured for dendrimer D4G and phthalocyanines (A) Pc4, (B) Pc2 and (C) Pc1, and bottom row was measured for dendrimer D7G and phthalocyanines (D) Pc4, (E) Pc2 and (F) Pc1. The values of bound molar fraction retrieved from these titration experiments are plotted in Fig. 1B of the main text and in Fig. S2, B and E.

The autocorrelation curves were fitted with a model equation that considers two populations, one of "free" and another of "bound" fluorescent probe,
$G(\tau)=\frac{N_{\text {free }} Q_{\text {free }}^{2}\left(1+\frac{\tau}{\tau_{\text {free }}}\right)^{-1}\left(1+\frac{\tau}{\kappa \cdot \tau_{\text {free }}}\right)^{-1 / 2}+N_{\text {bound }} Q_{\text {bound }}^{2}\left(1+\frac{\tau}{\tau_{\text {bound }}}\right)^{-1}\left(1+\frac{\tau}{\kappa \cdot \tau_{\text {bound }}}\right)^{-1 / 2}}{\left[N_{\text {free }} Q_{\text {free }}+N_{\text {bound }} Q_{\text {bound }}\right]^{2}}$
here, $N_{\text {free }}$ and $N_{\text {bound }}$ are the average number of free and bound species in the detection volume, respectively. $Q_{\text {free }}$ and $Q_{\text {bound }}$, are the brightness of each species, and $\tau_{\text {free }}$ and $\tau_{\text {bound }}$ are the respective diffusion times.

The brightness $Q$ depends on the excitation cross-section and emission quantumyield of the fluorophore, and also on optical and instrumental parameters. In fitting Eq. S1, we have taken into consideration eventual changes in the emission quantum-yield of
phthalocyanine bound to PAMAM dendrimers, as previously observed by some of us [Paulo et al. J. Phys. Chem. C, 2010, 114, 19035]. This was done by adjusting the relative brightness $Q_{\text {free }} / Q_{\text {bound }}$ that accounts for differences in the emission quantumyield assuming that other parameters are not changed.

We have also determined the following values of diffusion coefficient of $325 \pm 7$, $339 \pm 4$ and $345 \pm 6 \mu \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$ for phthalocyanines Pc4, Pc2, and Pc1, respectively, in aqueous solution. These values were used to calibrate the detection volume at the beginning of each titration. The diffusion times of the "bound" species, $\tau_{\text {bound }}$, was used to calculate the respective diffusion coefficient, which afforded values of $89 \pm 6 \mu \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$ for D4G and $49 \pm 1 \mu \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$ for D7G dendrimers, as previously determined by some of us [GarciaFernandez et al. J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2014, 5, 1472].

Within each titration series, the several FCS curves were fitted using a global analysis procedures by defining $Q_{\text {free }}, Q_{\text {bound }}, \tau_{\text {free }}$ and $\tau_{\text {bound }}$ as common parameters, and allowing $N_{\text {free }}$ and $N_{\text {bound }}$ to be individually fitted. The average numbers of free and bound species were used to calculate a molar fraction of bound phthalocyanine probe,
$\chi_{B}=\frac{N_{\text {bound }}}{N_{\text {free }}+N_{\text {bound }}}$
Assuming a simple 1:1 equilibrium model for the binding of phthalocyanine (guest) to dendrimer (host) in aqueous solution,

$$
\text { Guest }+ \text { Host } \stackrel{K_{B}}{\leftrightarrow} \text { Complex }
$$

Then, the bound fraction $\chi_{\mathrm{B}}$ can be related to the binding affinity $K_{\mathrm{B}}$ through,
$K_{B}=\frac{\chi_{B}}{\left(1-\chi_{B}\right)\left([\text { Host }]-\chi_{B}[\text { Guest }]\right)}$
here [Guest] and [Host] are the analytical concentrations of guest and host, respectively (that differ from equilibrium concentrations by the amount of complex formed).

The values of bound molar fraction $\chi_{\mathrm{B}}$ obtained from fitting Eq. S1 to several titration series performed are shown in Fig. S2. The experimental values of $\chi_{\mathrm{B}}$ obtained from each titration series were adjusted using Eq. 4 to find the respective binding affinity. The values of $K_{\mathrm{B}}$ obtained using this approach are shown in Fig. 2 of the main text, and are given below in Table S1.


Fig. S2 Bound molar fraction $\left(\chi_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ of Pc4 (squares), Pc2 (circles) and Pc1 (triangles) obtained at several dendrimer concentrations in aqueous solution from selected titration series. The first row shows the titration series with dendrimer D4G in (A) non-buffered water, (B) aqueous solution with KCl salt ( 60 mM ), and (C) in buffer $\mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{KCl}$ at pH 2 . The second row shows the same results for dendrimer D7G (D-F).

Table S1 Values of binding affinity $K_{\mathrm{B}}$ of dendrimer-phthalocyanine complexes obtained from data of Fig. S2 for conditions of non-buffered water, with $\mathrm{KCl}(60 \mathrm{mM})$ and at pH 2 buffer $\mathrm{KCl} / \mathrm{HCl}$.

|  | $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{B}} / \mathbf{M}^{-\mathbf{1}}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pc 1 | Pc 2 | Pc 4 |
| Water (non-buffered) | $1.6 \times 10^{8}$ | $3.3 \times 10^{8}$ | $2.0 \times 10^{9}$ |
| with $\mathrm{KCl}(60 \mathrm{mM})$ | $3.0 \times 10^{6}$ | $5.0 \times 10^{6}$ | $1.0 \times 10^{8}$ |
| at pH $2, \mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{KCl}$ | $7.6 \times 10^{4}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{5}$ | $3.9 \times 10^{6}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{D} \mathbf{~ D 7 G ~}$ |  |  |
| Water (non-buffered) | $2.9 \times 10^{8}$ | $3.1 \times 10^{8}$ | $2.0 \times 10^{10}$ |
| with $\mathrm{KCl}(60 \mathrm{mM})$ | $6.6 \times 10^{6}$ | $3.4 \times 10^{6}$ | $5.0 \times 10^{7}$ |
| at pH $2, \mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{KCl}$ | $3.7 \times 10^{5}$ | $3.7 \times 10^{5}$ | $1.7 \times 10^{7}$ |

## 2. Analysis of FCS curves using average diffusion times

Al-Soufi and co-workers have proposed an alternative analysis that is more suitable for the single curve fitting procedure [Al-Soufi et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 8775]. In eq. S 3 it is shown the host-guest binding reaction, in which $K_{\mathrm{B}}$ is the ratio of association $\left({ }^{k}\right.$ and dissociation $\left({ }^{k_{d}}\right)$ rate constants, respectively. They proposed that a mean diffusion time $\left({ }^{\tau_{D}}\right)$ is obtained when relaxation time of binding ( ${ }^{\left.1 / k_{a}\right)}$ and unbinding reaction $\left(1 / k_{d}\right)$ of eq. S3 is much faster than the typical transit time of the probe, $\left({ }^{\tau_{R}}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{R}=\left(k_{a}[\text { Host }]+k_{d}\right)^{-1} \tag{S5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The diffusion time obtained in this way will be an averaged diffusion time, depending on the molar fractions of free (guest) and bound (complex) fluorescent probes.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{\bar{\tau}_{D}}\right)=\chi_{\text {free }}\left(\frac{1}{\tau_{\text {free }}}\right)+\chi_{\text {bound }}\left(\frac{1}{\tau_{\text {bound }}}\right) \tag{S6}
\end{equation*}
$$

After some straightforward algebra starting from eq. S6, we can obtain the bound molar fraction $\left({ }^{\chi_{B}}\right)$ as a function of mean diffusion time $\left({ }^{\left(\tau_{D}\right.}\right)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{B}=\frac{\tau_{\text {bound }}\left(\tau_{\text {free }}-\bar{\tau}_{D}\right)}{\bar{\tau}_{D}\left(\tau_{\text {free }}-\tau_{\text {bound }}\right)} \tag{S7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is also possible to write the binding constant, $K_{\mathrm{B}}$, as a function of the mean diffusion time $\left({ }^{\tau_{D}}\right)$, substituting Eq. S 7 in S 4 , which can be simplified for [Host]»[Guest] conditions, giving the Eq. S8. Alternatively, Eq. S8 can be reformulated in the way of $\left({ }^{\left({ }^{D}\right.} \mathbf{D}\right)$ being a function of host concentration, as written by Al-Soufi and coworkers.

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{B}=\frac{\tau_{\text {bound }}\left(\tau_{\text {free }}-\bar{\tau}_{D}\right)}{[\text { Host }] \tau_{\text {free }}\left(\bar{\tau}_{D}-\tau_{\text {bound }}\right)} \tag{S8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the fits of the autocorrelation curves, as the examples shown in Fig. 1A and Fig. S1, we obtain a mean diffusion time for each dendrimer concentration. Binding constants are then obtained by fitting $\left({ }^{\tau}{ }_{D}\right)$ to Eq. S8 in its alternative way (Fig. 5, main text). We have also performed some titrations in which phthalocyanine and dendrimer concentrations were fixed and bulk conditions were changed to evaluate indirectly the binding of this pair by means of Eq. S8.


Fig. S3 Bound molar fraction ( $\chi_{\mathrm{B}}$ ) of Pc4-D4G obtained in buffer BCP at several pHs . Circles and lines are experimental and fitted points obtained from Eqs. S7 and S8 in the same way as those of Fig. 5A. A-F panels correspond to pHs 2.6, 2.8, 3.4, 4.0, 6.0 and 7.0, respectively (see also Fig. 5). pHs 3.0, 5.0 and 8.0 can be found in Fig. 5A.

## 3. Additional results from titration series by addition of salt

The set of experiments done by gradually adding salt to a solution of dendrimerphthalocyanine completely bound ( $\chi_{B}=1$ ) were repeated at a concentration of D4G of 1 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ (Fig. S4). This dendrimer concentration is significantly larger than that previously used in Fig. 3 of the main text, which was in the nanomolar range. For this purpose, Pc4-D4G solutions were titrated with NaCl in non-buffered water and buffer $\mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{KCl}$, pH 2 , to obtain independent values of $K_{\text {nel }}$ and $\Delta \psi$. The approach used for data analysis was described in section 2 of this ESI. The $K_{\text {nel }}$ obtained for Pc4-D4G in non-buffered water was $\sim 10^{5} \mathrm{M}^{-1}$, thus, in close agreement to that obtained previously from the data in Fig. 3. On the other hand, the value of $K_{\text {nel }} \sim 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1}$ for Pc4-D4G in buffer $\mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{KCl}$, pH 2 , was used as point $\boldsymbol{z}=0$ in Fig. 2C.


Fig. S4 Bound molar fraction $\left(\chi_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ of Pc4-D4G solutions obtained at different NaCl concentrations in non-buffered water (blue) and buffer $\mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{KCl}$ (red). Circles are experimental results obtained from Eq. S7 and solid lines are just guidelines. The inset shows the values of binding affinity estimated from Eq. S8 and fitted with Eq. 1. Pc4 and D4G concentration were kept at ca. 1 nM and 1 uM , respectively.


Fig. S5 Ionic Strength of the buffer (left axis) and added NaCl concentration (right axis) for BCP and BP solutions at fixed ionic strength of 0.54 M (see Table 3).

