Electronic Supplementary Information

A route to sustainable propylene: photocatalytic transfer hydrogenolysis of allyl alcohol with methanol

Joaquim Caner,^a Zijun Liu,^a Yuki Takada, ^b Akihiko Kudo,^c Hiroshi Naka^a and Susumu Saito*^{bd}

^a Research Center for Materials Science, Nagoya University, Chikusa, Nagoya 464-8602 (Japan).

^b Graduate School of Science, Nagoya University, Chikusa, Nagoya 464-8602 (Japan).

^c Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Tokyo University of Science, 1-3 Kagurazaka, Shinjyuku, Tokyo 162-8601 (Japan). ^d Institute for Advanced Research, Nagoya University, Chikusa, Nagoya 464-8601 (Japan).

E-mail: saito.susumu@f.mbox.nagoya-u.ac.jp.

- Table of Contents -

Section	Title	Page
1.	Materials and methods	S2
2.	Catalyst preparation and characterization	S3
3.	Photocatalytic reactions	S5
4.	Reactions with hydrogen gas in the dark	S6
5.	Tandem PcTH and bromination reaction	S6
6.	Catalyst recycling experiment	S 8
7.	Catalyst characterization (EDX/HRTEM and XPS)	S9
8.	NMR analyses of the gas phase after PcTH reaction.	S11
9.	Typical GC-MS and μ GC-TCD analyses after PcTH reaction (Table 2, entry 1)	S12
10.	Typical GC-MS and μ GC-TCD analyses under dark conditions (Pd/TiO ₂ and H _{2(g)} under acidic conditions) (Table 3, entry 1)	S13
11.	GC-MS and ¹ H-NMR analyses (crude mixture) of the tandem reaction after bromination (Scheme 2)	S14
12.	Fragmentation patterns in the GC-MS analyses (EI)	S15
13.	Photocatalytic system pictures	S18
14.	References	S18

1. Materials and methods

Materials

Unless otherwise noted, all materials including dry solvents were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. PdCl₂ (anhydrous) was obtained from Nacalai. Pd (5 wt %)/C was obtained from Fluka (Sigma-Aldrich). Sodium borohydride (NaBH₄) was obtained from Kanto Chemicals. TiO₂ was obtained from Sigma Aldrich [Titanium oxide, mixture of rutile and anatase nanopowder, < 100 nm particle size (BET), 99.5% trace metal basis. Ref. 634662-100G Lot#MKBJ0873V]. Allyl alcohol (> 99.0% by GC-MS) was obtained from Wako Chemicals. The acids and bases were also purchased to Tokyo Chemical Industry (TCI), Wako chemicals, Sigma-Aldrich or Kanto Chemicals. Alcohols used as solvent and hydrogen sources: CH₃OH, dehydrated quality for organic synthesis (Kanto); C₂H₅OH and 2-propanol, HPLC grade (Kanto); 2-methyl-2-propanol, reagent grade (TCI). Standard materials for GC-MS (n-octane, n-undecane, 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane, dimethyl acetal of propionaldehyde (4), propanal (5), 1-propanol (6), and 1,2-dibromopropane (7) were obtained from TCI. Standard gases for µGC-TCD: Hydrogen (99.999%) was obtained from Alfa System. Propylene (1; 99.5%) and propane (3; 99.5%) were obtained from GL Sciences. Standard materials for ICP-OES: Pd (NO₃)₂ standard solution (4.95–5.05 mg Pd/mL) and Y(NO₃)₃ standard solution (1.00 mg/mL; 1000 ppm) were obtained from Wako Chemicals.

Analytical methods

Gas chromatography/mass spectroscopy (GC-MS). GC-MS analyses were conducted in two different Agilent gas chromatography systems (Agilent 5975) both, equipped with a HP-5 column ($30 \text{ m} \times 0.25 \text{ mm}$, Hewlett-Packard) coupled to a mass spectroscopy system (Agilent 6850). Methylal (8) and methoxymethanol (9) were detected by their mass fragmentation patterns (Section 12 in this ESI). Yield of propanal (5) (Table 3, entry 2) was determined using the corresponding calibration line made by an authentic sample.

Micro-gas chromatography/thermal conductivity detector (μ GC-TCD). μ GC-TCD analyses were conducted in a dual channel micro gas chromatography (μ GC) system coupled to a thermal conductivity detector (TCD,

Agilent 490). H₂, **1** and **3** were monitored by μ GC (Agilent 490) equipped with two different columns: a MS5A 10 m BF column (using Ar as a gas carrier to detect and quantify the hydrogen; isothermal: 80 °C) and a PoraPLOT Q 10 m column (using He as a gas carrier to detect and quantify the propylene and the propane; isothermal 100 °C).

Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES). ICP-OES analysis was performed in a Varian Vista Pro-X spectrometer using a standard solution of Yttrium as internal standard.

High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM). HRTEM observations were performed on a JEM- 2100F (JEOL) high-resolution fieldemission gun TEM operated at 80 keV at room temperature and under a pressure of 10^{-6} Pa. Unless otherwise indicated a sample of photocatalyst was dispersed in methanol by ultrasonication for 1 h, and the supernatant was dropped onto a copper grid coated with thin carbon film. The sample was vacuum treated at 473 K for 1 h to remove the methanol before the HRTEM observations were carried out.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). XPS observations were performed on a Shimadzu ESCA-3400. Unless otherwise indicated, the spectra were normalized to the Ti $2p_{3/2}$ signal (458.5 eV) (Fig. S2A).

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR). NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL JNM-ECA-600 (¹H NMR 600 MHz, ¹³C NMR 150 MHz) spectrometer. Unless otherwise noted spectra was recorded using CDCl₃ (0.05% v/v tetramethylsilane as a standard) as solvent. Chemical shifts for ¹H NMR are expressed in parts per million (ppm) relative to tetramethysilane (Me₄Si, δ 0.00 ppm). Chemical shifts for ¹³C NMR are expressed in ppm relative to CDCl₃ (δ 77.0 ppm). Data are reported as follows: chemical shift, integration, multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, dd = doublet of doublets, dm = doublet of multiplet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet, br s = broad signal), coupling constant (Hz), and assignment.

2. Catalyst preparation and characterization

Preparation of Pd (5 wt %)/TiO₂

Pd (5 wt %)/TiO₂ catalyst was prepared in a 200 mL round bottom flask covered with aluminum foil by impregnation of 3.042 g of TiO₂ [Sigma Aldrich mixture of rutile and anatase nanopowder, < 100 nm particle size (BET), 99.5% trace metal basis, Ref. 634662-100G LOT# MKBJ0873V] with a solution of PdCl₂ (0.258 g, Nacalai) in a deionized H₂O (45 mL).^[1] The slurry was sonicated at 0 °C for 1 h and then stirred with a magnetic bar for 2 h at room temperature. Then, the slurry was stirred (600 rpm) at 85 °C until all the liquid was evaporated (overnight). The remaining solid was ground in a mortar and dried at 50 °C in a vacuum oven for 9 h. The final amount of the solid (precatalyst) was 3.165 g. Then, the above-mentioned solid (1.047 g) was re-suspended in 2-propanol (15 mL) in a two-neck round bottom flask (100 mL) equipped with a Dimroth condenser and a three-way glass Teflon stopcock (with a balloon in one of the outlet). After purging with nitrogen, NaBH₄ (57.6 mg) in (CH₃)₂CHOH-H₂O (26 mL, 25:1) was added with a syringe (under a stream of nitrogen). After 50 min, the solid was collected by filtration and washed several times with CH₃OH and H₂O (with caution because dry Pd/TiO₂ easy catches fire on a filter paper under air).^[2] The final catalyst was dried at 50 °C in a vacuum oven overnight and finally ground to give Pd (5 wt %)/TiO₂ photocatalyst (930 mg).

Characterization of Pd (5 wt %)/TiO₂:

The final palladium content was analysed by ICP-OES after digestion of Pd (5 wt %)/TiO₂ (10.0 mg) by conc. HNO₃ (1 mL) overnight (12 h). The finally found content was 4.78 ± 0.06 wt % of palladium. Energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectra show strong peaks arising from L α and L β edges of the Pd atom of a Pd particle in the surface of TiO₂ (Fig. S1A). The Pd particle size in the surface of the TiO₂ is roughly estimated as $4\sim7$ nm on the basis of several low-magnification HRTEM images (Figs. S1A and S1B). XPS analysis of the samples indicates the shift from Pd^{II} species (binding energy of Pd $3d_{5/2} = 336.1$ eV; PdO -most probable- or PdCl₂) to Pd⁰ (binding energy of Pd $3d_{5/2} = 334.4$ eV) by chemical reduction with NaBH₄ (Fig. S2B). The Pd/Ti atomic ratios were found 0.099 (before NaBH₄ treatment) and 0.055 (after NaBH₄ treatment), respectively.

3. Photocatalytic reactions

Apparatus

The photocatalytic reactions were carried out in a home-made two-branched cylindrical Pyrex glass reaction vessel of ca. 200 mL with a bottom optical window 5 cm in diameter. One branch was connected with a three-way glass Teflon stopcock to a balloon (used to purge the system) and the other branch is capped with a rubber septum (Fig. S3A). The suspension was magnetically stirred (approx. 600 rpm). Near-UV light irradiation was produced by a 300W Xe lamp (Ushio: BA-x300/ES1 Technology; CERMAX PE300PF bulb) equipped with a cold mirror ($\lambda > 365$ nm; total incident radiant flux $(1.53\pm0.04)\times10^{-5}$ lx measured at 550 nm with an illuminance meter T-10 from Konica-Minolta at 13 cm distance from the cold mirror unit).

A typical procedure for the photocatalytic transfer hydrogenolysis (PcTH) of allyl alcohol (2) to propylene (1) (Table 2, entry 1):



A cylindrical Pyrex glass reaction vessel was charged with a magnetic stir bar, Pd (5 wt %)/TiO₂ (15.0 mg), p-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (9.9 mg, 0.05 mmol), allyl alcohol (2, 123.0 mg, 2.12 mmol) and dehydrated CH₃OH (30 mL). After sonication of the mixture (to fully disperse the photocatalyst), the glass reaction vessel was sealed with a rubber septum and the stirred suspension was immediately deaerated with a stream of Ar and irradiated with near-UV light ($\lambda > 365$ nm) for 9 h. By sampling the reaction atmosphere (3.5 mL, gas phase of the reaction vessel), amount of propylene, propane, and H₂ were determined with µGC-TCD using calibration lines. The presence of propylene (1, 1.99 mmol, 94% yield), propane (3, 0.06 mmol, 3% yield), and H₂ (0.22 mmol) were identified. The conversion of 2 (> 99%) and the amount of dimethyl acetal of propionaldehyde (4, 0.04 mmol, 2% yield) were determined by GC-MS analyzes after *n*-octane (30 μ L, internal standard) was added to the reaction mixture.

 $\begin{array}{l} {}^{B} \\ {}^{C} \swarrow {}^{A} \\ {}^{1} \end{array} \begin{array}{l} {}^{1} \mathbf{H} \ \mathbf{NMR} \ (600 \ \mathrm{MHz}; \ \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}; \ \mathrm{Me}_{4}\mathrm{Si}) \ \delta \ 1.72 \ (3 \ \mathrm{H}, \ \mathrm{dt}, \ J_{AB} = 6.5 \ \mathrm{Hz}, \ J_{AC} = 1.5 \ \mathrm{Hz}, \ \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}), \\ {}^{4.93} \ (1 \ \mathrm{H}, \ \mathrm{dm}, \ J_{BC'} = 10.0 \ \mathrm{Hz}, \ \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}), \ 5.03 \ (1 \ \mathrm{H}, \ \mathrm{dm}, \ J_{BC''} = 17.2 \ \mathrm{Hz}, \ \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}) \ \mathrm{and} \ 5.83 \ (1 \ \mathrm{H}, \\ \mathrm{m}, \ \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}). \ {}^{13}\mathbf{C} \ \mathbf{NMR} \ (150 \ \mathrm{MHz}; \ \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}) \ \delta \ 19.3 \ (\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}), \ 115.6 \ (\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{C}}), \ \mathrm{and} \ 133.8 \ (\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}). \ {}^{[3]} \end{array}$

4. Reactions with hydrogen gas in the dark



A cylindrical Pyrex glass reaction vessel (covered with an aluminium foil) was charged with a magnetic stir bar, Pd (5 wt %)/TiO₂ (15.0 mg), *p*-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (10.0 mg, 0.05 mmol), allyl alcohol (**2**, 136.8 mg, 2.35 mmol) and dehydrated CH₃OH (30 mL). The glass reaction vessel was sealed with a rubber septum, and immediately, the stirred suspension was first deaerated with a stream of Ar and then a 1 L balloon of H₂ gas was attached to one of the reaction vessel branches. After the reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h, the gas and liquid phases were analysed. A trace amount of **1** and **3** (0.68 mmol, 29% yield) were detected in the gas phase (μ GC-TCD analyses). After *n*-octane (30 μ L) was added to the liquid phase, GC-MS analysis of the liquid phase indicated full conversion of **2** and formation of **4** (1.35 mmol, 58% yield) and *n*-propanol (**6**, 9% yield).

5. Tandem PcTH and bromination reaction

Control experiment^[4]



A cylindrical Pyrex glass reaction vessel (*ca.* 200 mL) was charged with a magnetic stir bar, Pd (5 wt %)/TiO₂ (16.5 mg), *p*-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (11.0 mg, 0.06 mmol), and dehydrated CH₃OH (30 mL). The reaction vessel was sealed with a rubber septum, the stirred suspension was deaerated with a stream of Ar and propylene (1) (48 mL, measured at 25 °C, 1.96 mmol) was added with a Pressure Lok[®] precision analytical syringe (12 × 4 mL). The gas phase atmosphere was displaced (through the three-way glass Teflon stopcock, Fig. S3B) to a trap with Br₂ (300 µL, *ca.* 3 equiv) in CHCl₃ (*ca.* 6 mL), by bubbling N₂ through the CH₃OH solution for 40 min. Then the

trap was closed another 20 min to ensure that the bromination of propylene completes. Analysis of the gas phase of the glass reaction vessel (3.5 mL) by μ GC-TCD indicated that propylene (1, 0.02 mmol, 1%) remained in the glass reaction vessel. The excess of bromine in the trap was quenched with a saturated aqueous Na₂SO₃ and the aqueous layer was extracted with CHCl₃ (2 × 2 mL). *n*-Undecane (50 μ L, internal standard) was added in the separatory funnel during the extraction and the organic layer was analyzed by GC-MS: 1,2-dibromopropane (7, 1.49 mmol, 76% yield) was present, together with a trace amount of 2-bromo-1-methoxypropane (14) and 1-bromo-2-methoxypropane (15) as minor products. 14 and 15 was identified by the fragmentation patterns on the GC-MS analyses.^[5]



¹**H** NMR (600 MHz; CDCl₃; Me₄Si) δ 1.83 (3 H, d, J_{AB} = 6.5 Hz, H_A), 3.55 (1 H, t, $J_{BC'}$ = 10.1 Hz, $J_{C'C''}$ = 10.1 Hz, H_{C'}), 3.85 (1 H, dd, $J_{BC''}$ = 4.3 Hz, $J_{C'C''}$ = 10.1 Hz, H_{C''}) and 4.25 (1 H, m, H_B).^[6]

Allyl alcohol (2) to 1,2-dibromopropane (7)



A cylindrical Pyrex glass reaction vessel (*ca.* 200 mL) was charged with a magnetic stirring bar, Pd (5 wt %)/TiO₂ (15.1 mg), *p*-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (9.9 mg, 0.05 mmol), allyl alcohol (**2**, 121.4 mg, 2.09 mmol) and dehydrated CH₃OH (30 mL). After sonication of the mixture (to fully disperse the photocatalyst), the glass reaction vessel was sealed with a rubber septum and the stirred suspension was immediately deaerated with a stream of Ar and irradiated with near-UV light ($\lambda > 365$ nm) for 9 h. At this point, the gas phase gas was displaced (through the three-way glass Teflon stopcock, Fig. S3B) to a trap with Br₂ (300 µL, *ca.* 3 equiv) in CDCl₃ (6 mL), by bubbling N₂ through the CH₃OH solution for 40 min. Then the trap was closed for another 20 min. Analyses of the gas phase of the glass reaction vessel (3.5 mL) by μ GC-TCD indicated that **1** (0.08 mmol, 5%) remained in the glass reaction vessel. The aqueous layer was extracted with CDCl₃ (2 × 2 mL), and the combined organic phase was washed twice with H₂O (2 × 10 mL) and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. 1,1,2,2-

Tetrachloroethane (50 μ L, 0.47 mmol, internal standard) was added in the separatory funnel during the extraction and the organic layer was analyzed by GC-MS and ¹H NMR: 1,2-dibrompropane (7, 1.67 mmol, ¹H NMR two-steps-yield: 80%) was detected in the ¹H NMR spectrum together with **14** and **15**. **14** and **15** were identified by the fragmentation patterns in the GC-MS analysis (EI)^[5] and the peaks of the methyl group in the ¹H NMR spectra.^[7]

6. Catalyst recycling experiments

First run: according to the typical procedure, the PcTH of **2** was carried out using Pd (5 wt %)/TiO₂ (15.3 mg), *p*-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (TsOH•H₂O, 10 mg, 0.05 mmol), allyl alcohol (**2**, 124.8 mg, 2.14 mmol), *n*-octane (50 µL) and dehydrated CH₃OH (30 mL). After irradiation with near-UV light ($\lambda > 365$ nm) for 4 h, propylene (**1**, 1.24 mmol, 58% yield) and H₂ (0.0039 mmol) were present in the gas phase. Conversion of **2**: 61%; yield of **4**: not determined.

Between two consecutive runs, the mixture of a previous reaction was transferred to a 45 mL centrifuge tube, the catalyst powder was decantated by centrifugation, and the liquid phase was removed as much as possible by a pipet. The residual powder was washed three times with dehydrated CH₃OH (30 mL) and the final CH₃OH was removed using a pipet. The powder slightly wetted with CH₃OH was transferred into the reaction vessel (photo-flask) used in the previous run, through washing with dehydrated CH₃OH (total volume used for washing: 30 mL). To the Pd/TiO₂ powder suspended in CH₃OH were added **2** (2 mmol), TsOH•H₂O (0.05 mmol) and *n*-octane (50 µL). After purging the vessel with Ar, the PcTH was restarted by irradiating with near-UV light ($\lambda > 365$ nm).

Second run: conv. of **2**: 63%. **1**: 63% yield; **3**: not detected; H₂: 0.022 mmol. Third run: conv. of **2**: 63%. **1**: 62% yield; **3**: not detected; H₂: 0.065 mmol. Fourth run: conv. of **2**: 59%. **1**: 57% yield; **3**: not detected; H₂: 0.32 mmol. Fifth run: conv. of **2**: 59%. **1**: 59% yield; **3**: not detected; H₂: 0.65 mmol.

After the fifth run, 13.3 mg of the catalyst was recovered (87%).



7. Catalyst characterization (EDX/HRTEM and XPS)

Fig. S1 | A. EDX analyses of the Pd (5 wt %)/TiO₂ observed on TiO₂ surface and on a loaded Pd-particle. B. Low-magnification HRTEM images of the Pd (5 wt %)/TiO₂.



Fig. S2 | **XPS spectra for the TiO₂, pre-catalyst and the final photocatalyst. A.** High-resolution spectra corresponding to Ti 2p signals. The peak at 458.5 eV $(2p_{3/2})$ corresponds to the titanium (Ti^{4+}) has been used to normalize spectra. **B.** High-resolution spectra corresponding to Pd 3d signals. The peak at 336.1 eV $(3d_{3/2})$ denotes the presence of Pd²⁺ species in the surface (PdO -most probable- or PdCl₂) and the peak at 334.4 eV denotes Pd⁰ $(3d_{3/2})$ in the surface (Standard binding energies for Pd 3d_{5/2} of PdO and PdCl₂ and Pd metal are 336.3, 338.0 and 335.1 respectively).

8. NMR analyses of the gas phase after PcTH reaction

Sample preparation: after a typical photocatalytic reaction, approximately a 12 mL of the gas phase was collected with Pressure Lok[®] precision analytical syringe and injected to a septum-equipped NMR tube $(4 \times 3 \text{ mL})$.



¹³C NMR (150 MHz) spectrum

9. Typical GC-MS and µGC-TCD analyses after PcTH reaction (Table 2, entry 1):



1: propylene. 3: propane. 4: dimethyl acetal of propionaldehyde (from isomerization of the double bond of 2, followed by acetalization of 5). 8: methylal (from methanol oxidation). 9: methoxymethanol (from methanol oxidation). 10: CHCl₃ (from washing). 11: *n*-octane (internal standard).

10. Typical GC-MS and μ GC-TCD analyses under dark conditions (Pd/TiO₂ and H₂ under acidic conditions) (Table 3, entry 1):



1: propylene. **3:** propane. **4:** dimethyl acetal of propionaldehyde (from isomerization of the double bond of **2**, followed by acetalization of **5**). **6:** *n*-propanol. **10:** CHCl₃ (from washing). **11:** *n*-octane (internal standard).

11. GC-MS and ¹H-NMR analyses (crude mixture of the tandem reaction after bromination) (Scheme 2):



GC-MS spectrum of the CDCl₃ solution. 1,2-Dibromopropane (7), 2-bromo-1-methoxypropane (14) and 1-bromo-2-methoxypropane (15). IS (internal standard): 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane.



¹**H NMR spectrum of the crude reaction (CDCl₃).** IS (internal standard): 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane. *Signals from 2-bromo-1-methoxypropane (14) and 1-bromo-2-methoxypropane (15).

12. Fragmentation patterns in GC-MS (EI)



2: allyl alcohol.^[8]



4: dimethyl acetal of propionaldehyde.^[9]



6: *n*-propanol.^[10]







8: methylal.^[12]



14: 2-bromo-1-methoxypropane.^[5]



15: 1-bromo-2-methoxypropane.^[5]

13. Photocatalytic system pictures





Fig. S3 | Reaction systems. A. Photochemical hydrogenolysis of allyl alcohol (batch mode). B. Bromination reaction [streaming of N_2 from a needle (front) through the cylinder-vessel containing propylene (right) to a trap (left) containing $Br_2/CDCl_3$].

14. References

- [1] A. Grirrane *et al.*, *Science*, 2008, **322**, 1661.
- [2] Ni-Zn/TiO₂ preparation: S. Myagmarjav *et al.*, *Mat. Trans.* 2004, **45**, 2035.
- [3] G. R. Fulmer et al., Organometallics, 2010, 29, 2176.
- [4] Bromination adapted from: T. J. Barton and N. Tillan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 6711.
- [5] J. R. Chrétien, J.-D. Courdert and M.-F. Ruasse, J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 1917.
- [6] http://www.sigmaaldrich.com/spectra/fnmr/FNMR001169.PDF.
- [7] J.-E. Dubois and J. R. Chrétien, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 3506.
- [8] CAS: 107-18-6. Spectral data were compared with Wiley Subscription Services, Inc. (US). Mass Spectrum (ID WID DLO-067340-5). Nominal Mass: 58.
- [9] CAS: 4744-10-9. Spectral data were compared with Wiley Subscription Services, Inc. (US). Mass Spectrum (CAS2009 1 011278). Nominal Mass: 104.
- [10] CAS: 71-23-8. Spectral data were compared with Wiley Subscription Services, Inc. (US). Mass Spectrum (ID_WID_DLO-067408-8). Nominal Mass: 60.
- [11] CAS: 78-75-1. Spectral data were compared with Wiley Subscription Services, Inc. (US). Mass Spectrum (ID_WID_DLO-067340-5). Nominal Mass: 200.
- [12] CAS: 109-87-5. Spectral data were compared with Wiley Subscription Services, Inc. (US). Mass Spectrum (ID_WID_DLO-067799-6). Nominal Mass: 76.
- [13] CAS: 4461-52-3.