## **Supporting Information**

# A dendritically amplified fluorescence signal probe on SiO<sub>2</sub> microspheres for ultrasensitive detection of mercury ions

Chunli Li, Yuqi Zhang, Qianqian Cai, Guifen Jie\*, Chunxiang Li\*

Key Laboratory of Optic-electric Sensing and Analytical Chemistry for Life Science, MOE; Shandong Key Laboratory of Biochemical Analysis; Key Laboratory of Analytical Chemistry for Life Science in Universities of Shandong; College of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering. Qingdao University of Science and Technology, Qingdao 266042, PR China.\*E-mail: guifenjie@126.com.lichunxiang@qust.edu.cn.

### **Table of Contents**

Experimental section S	32
Results and discussions	\$2
References	\$5

#### **Experimental section**

#### Apparatus

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were recorded using a JEM-2000EXinstrument (Hitachi). Field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) was carried out on a JEOL JSM-6700F instrument. Photoluminescence (PL) spectra were obtained on a F-4500 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu). Absorption measurements were carried out using a Varian Cary 300 UV-vis spectrophotometer.All optical measurements were carried out at room temperature under ambient conditions.

#### Reagents

1-Ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC), N-

Hydroxysuccinimide (NHS), Hydrogentetrachloroaurate (HAuCl<sub>4</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O, 99.9%), mercury perchlorate trihydrate (Hg(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O) and Tris(2-carboxyethyl) phosphinehydrochloride (TCEP) were obtained from Aladdin (Shanghai, China). Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (TdT), the nicking endonuclease (Nt.BbvCl), phi29 DNApolymerase and 10×phi29 DNA polymerase reaction buffer were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc. deoxyguanosine triphosphate (dGTP), deoxythymidine 5'-triphosphate (dTTP), deoxyribonucleoside triphosphate (dNTPs) werepurchased fromSangon biotech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). SiO<sub>2</sub> microsphere was provided by Tianjin BaseLine ChromTech Research Centre (Tianjin, China). Other reagents were obtained from Aladdin (Shanghai, China). NEB buffer (pH 7.9) was obtained by using 50 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, 10mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, and 1 mM dithiothreitol. The DNA probes in our study (Table-1) were synthesized and purified by Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

I able S1. Sequences of the DNA					
Name	Sequence (5'3')				
M (Machine) DNA	CGTCTAGACGTAGCTGAGGTTCCCCAGATTCTTTCTTCCCTTGT TTGTTTCTG				
Capture probe 1	GTCTAGACGTAGCTGA-NH <sub>2</sub>				
Capture probe 2	CCCCCCCCCCCCCAGAAGA-SH				
Reporter probe	SH-ACAAGCAAGGACAGCT				
Signal probe	Cy5-AAAAAAAAAAA				

Table S1. Sequences of the DNA

**Results and discussions** 



Figure S1. Feasibility of the dendritically amplified fluorescence sensing system: (a) blank; (b) 0.1 fM Hg<sup>2+</sup>.



**Figure S2.** Effects of (A) SiO<sub>2</sub> microsphereconcentration; (B) phi29 polymerase and Nt.BbvCI amount; (C) dNTPs concentration; (D) SDA reaction time on FL signal for detection. (concentrations of target  $Hg^{2+}$ :1.0 pM)



**Figure S3.** Effects of (A) TdT amount; (B) TdT extension time on FL signal for detection. (concentrations of target Hg<sup>2+</sup>:1.0 pM)

Table S2. Comparison of Different Methods for Assay of Hg<sup>2+</sup>

methods	detection limit	dynamic range	ref
fluorescence	1.0 aM	1 aM to 10 pM	This work
fluorescence	0.92 nM	1nM to 50 nM	1
fluorescence	2 nM	2 nM to 60 nM	2
SERS	1 pM	1 pM to 1 $\mu$ M	3
ECL	2 fM	5 fM to 100 pM	4
ECL	0.33 fM	1 fM to 100 pM	5
electrochemistry	0.001 aM	1.0 aM to 100 nM	6



**Figure S4** Selectivity of the fluorescence strategy for detecting  $Hg^{2+}$  in the presence of other metal ions (The concentration of  $Hg^{2+}$  was 0.1 fM, the concentrations of interfering ions were all 100 fM).

sample	Added/fM	obtain /fM	recovery/%	RSD/%
	1	0.978	99.13	1.23
drinking	10	10.034	100.5	1.77
pure	100	100.32	102.8	2.71
water	1000	994.28	97.6	1.48
	1	1.008	100.03	1.63
tap	10	10.108	103.13	1.92
water	100	96.72	96.99	2.95
	1000	973.28	97.94	1.44
	1	1.024	102.7	2.31
underground	10	9.685	96.31	1.69
water	100	98.02	98.44	1.94
	1000	1002.7	103.5	3.17
	1	0.958	95.92	2.75
surface	10	10.42	104.7	3.41
water	100	101.25	102.4	2.39
	1000	973.7	97.86	1.71
	1	1.035	103.9	2.16
water with	10	9.729	97.42	2.85
high mineral	100	103.22	102.3	3.26
content	1000	958.8	95.68	1.89

Table S3. Recovery in Different Water Samples (n=3) with the Proposed Method

References

- 1 M. Li, X. Zhou, W. Ding, S. Guo and N. Wu, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2013, 41, 889-893.
- 2 M. Li, Q. Wang, X. Shi, L. A. Hornak and N. Wu, Anal. Chem., 2011, 83, 7061-7065.
- 3 L. Zhang, H. Chang, A. Hirata, H. Wu, Q. K. Xue and M. Chen, ACS Nano., 2013, 7, 4595-4600.
- 4 D. M. Wang, Q. Q. Gai, R. F. Huang and X. Zheng, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2017, 98, 134-139.
- 5 Y. M. Lei, Anal. Chem., 2018, 90. 6851-6858.
- 6 Y. Zhang, G. M. Zeng, L. Tang, J. Chen, Y. Zhu, X. X. He and Y. He, Anal. Chem., 2014, 87, 989-996.