2 Comprehensive analysis of subclass-specific IgG glycosylation in

3 colorectal cancer progression by nanoLC-MS/MS

- 4 Si Liu, ^{a, ‡} Yang Fu, ^{a, ‡} Zhiwen Huang,^a Yuanyuan Liu,^a Bi-Feng Liu,^a Liming Cheng, ^{b, *} Xin Liu ^{a, *}
- 5 ^aBritton Chance Center for Biomedical Photonics at Wuhan National Laboratory for
- 6 Optoelectronics-Hubei Bioinformatics & Molecular Imaging Key Laboratory, Systems
- 7 Biology Theme, Department of Biomedical Engineering, College of Life Science and
- 8 Technology, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, China
- 9 ^bDepartment of Laboratory Medicine, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College,
- 10 Huzhong University of Science and Technology (HUST).
- ¹¹ ⁺These authors contributed equally to this work.

12 ***Corresponding author.**

13 E-mail: chengliming2015@163.com (L. Cheng), xliu@mail.hust.edu.cn (X. Liu)

14 Supporting Figures

15



16

Figure S1. Comparison of the efficiency of ice-cold acetone for the enrichment of IgG glycopeptides. (a-c) MALDI-MS spectra for IgG glycopeptides purified by acetone using different conditions; (d) Statistical analysis of the number of glycopeptides identified under different conditions. Glycopeptides are marked with asterisk. 1-way ANOVA is performed to assess the difference in number of observed glycopeptides between different groups with post hoc Bonferroni test. **P*<0.05, ***P*<0.01, ****P*<0.001. ns, nonsignificant.



Figure S2. Comparison of the efficiency of DPA-6S for the enrichment of IgG glycopeptides. (a-c) MALDI-MS spectra for IgG glycopeptides purified by acetone using different conditions; (d) Statistical analysis of the number of glycopeptides identified under different conditions. Glycopeptides are marked with asterisk. 1-way ANOVA is performed to assess the difference in number of observed glycopeptides between different groups with post hoc Bonferroni test. **P*<0.05, ***P*<0.01, ****P*<0.001. ns, nonsignificant.





Figure S3. Comparison of the efficiency of MCC for the enrichment of IgG glycopeptides. (a-d) MALDI-MS spectra for IgG glycopeptides purified by acetone using different conditions; (e) Statistical analysis of the number of glycopeptides identified under different conditions. Glycopeptides are marked with asterisk. 1-way ANOVA is performed to assess the difference in number of observed glycopeptides between different groups with post hoc Bonferroni test. **P*<0.05, ***P*<0.01, ****P*<0.001.



Figure S4. Comparison of the efficiency of ZIC-HILIC for the enrichment of IgG glycopeptides. (a-h) MALDI-MS spectra for IgG glycopeptides purified by acetone using different conditions; (i) Statistical analysis of the number of glycopeptides identified under different conditions. Glycopeptides are marked with asterisk. 1-way ANOVA is performed to assess the difference in number of observed glycopeptides between different groups with post hoc Bonferroni test. **P*<0.05, ***P*<0.01, ****P*<0.001.



Figure S5. Comparison of the efficiency of PGC for the enrichment of IgG glycopeptides. (a-c) MALDI-MS spectra for IgG glycopeptides purified by acetone using different conditions; (d) Statistical analysis of the number of glycopeptides identified under different conditions. Glycopeptides are marked with asterisk. 1-way ANOVA is performed to assess the difference in number of observed glycopeptides between different groups with post hoc Bonferroni test. **P*<0.05, ***P*<0.01, ****P*<0.001.



Figure S6. Representative MS/MS spectra IgG glycopeptide, the biantennary monosialylated glycan of fucosylation, in each subclass. MS/MS analysis was acquired by collision-induced dissociation (CID). The mass charge was labeled with bracketed numbers. Green circle: mannose; yellow circle: galactose; blue square: *N*acetylglucosamine; red triangle: fucose; pink diamond: *N*-acetylneuraminic acid.



Figure S7. Bar graph describing the statistical difference between incomplete digestion and complete digestion in analysis of representative individual glycopeptide and corresponding glycan features. H, hexose; N, N-acetylglucosamine; S, Nacetylneuraminic acid. G0, agalactosylation; F, fucosylation. Mann-Whitney U test is performed to assess the difference in statistical analysis between different groups. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001.

70 Supporting Tables

Table S1. Descriptive statistics of the difference between normal group and colon or
rectal cancer group in the factors including age, sex and TNM stages. P values result
from one-way ANOVA analysis. P-value less than 0.05 is set as significant.
^aComparison between normal and colon cancer; ^bComparison between normal and
rectal cancer; ^cComparison between normal and colon cancer. IQR, interquartile

76 range.

		Discov	very set		Validation set				
Characteristic	Normal (N=24)	Colon (N=17)	Rectal (N=16)	Р	Normal (N=17)	Colon (N=23)	Rectal (N=23)	Р	
Age,y, median (IQR)	53 (50, 55)	53 (51, 54)	52 (47, 60)	0.26^{a} 0.86^{b} 0.34^{c}	55 (37, 60)	57 (47, 67)	58 (53, 65)	0.16 ^a 0.06 ^b 0.59 ^c	
Sex, male, n (%)	13 (54.2)	11 (64.7)	13 (81.2)	0.51^{a} 0.08^{b} 0.30^{c}	9 (52.9)	10 (43.5)	14 (60.9)	0.57 ^a 0.63 ^b 0.25 ^c	
TNM stage, early stages, n (%)	_	8 (47.1)	10 (62.5)	0.39 ^c	-	9 (39.1)	12 (52.2)	0.39 ^c	

Table S2. Number of IgG glycopeptides that could be identified using different
 enrichment methods under different reaction conditions. The optima condition is

80 labeled with "*".

Enrichment methods	Condition		No. of glycopeptide		
	Conumon	Washing buffer	loading/equilibrium buffer	Elution buffer	± S.D.
	Α	water	water	30% ACN/0.1% TFA	16.89 ± 2.41
PGC	В	water	80% ACN/0.1% TFA	30% ACN/0.1% TFA	14.00 ± 1.50
	C*	water	0.1% TFA	30% ACN/0.1% TFA	19.56 ± 2.19
	Α	water	80% ACN/0.5% FA	0.5% FA	17.26 ± 1.68
	В	water	80% ACN/0.1% TFA	water	19.37 ± 2.53
	С	80% ACN/0.5% FA	80% ACN/0.5% FA	1% FA	16.41 ± 2.62
	D*	0.5% FA	90% ACN/0.1% TFA	0.5% TFA	23.52 ± 2.12
ZIC-HILIC	Е	water	80% ACN/1% TFA	0.1% TFA	15.33 ± 2.02
	F	0.1% TFA	80% ACN/0.1% TFA	0.1% TFA	18.48 ± 2.83
	G	1% TFA	80% ACN/1% TFA	0.1% TFA	16.63 ± 2.37
	Н	80% ACN/5% TFA	80% ACN/5% TFA	30% ACN/0.1% TFA	19.15 ± 2.68
	A*	water	80% ACN/0.1% TFA	water	25.19 ± 1.92
MCC	В	water	80% ACN/0.1% TFA	50% ACN/0.1% TFA	22.67 ± 1.41
MCC	С	70% ACN	70% ACN	10% ACN	15.85 ± 1.90
	D	water	80% ACN/0.1% TFA	0.1% TFA	19.33 ± 3.20
	А	95% ACN	95% ACN	20% ACN	11.07 ± 4.25
DPA-6S	B*	95% ACN/0.1% TFA	95% ACN/0.1 % TFA	20% ACN/0.1% TFA	14.89 ± 1.76
	С	H ₂ O	80% ACN/ 0.1% TFA	0.1% TFA	10.56 ± 2.44
		Centrifugal speed	Centrifugal	Centrifugal Time	
		(g)	Temperature (°C)	(min)	
	А	12000	20	10	8.85 ± 1.32
Ice-cold acetone	В	16000	20	15	5.04 ± 4.03
	C*	10000	20	15	9.85 ± 1.32

Table S3. Overview of the glycoforms detected by nanoLC-MS/MS. Product ion is defined to be the higher abundance in the comparison between two fragment ions at m/z 204.1 or m/z 366.1. For all glycoforms, green circle: mannose; yellow circle: galactose; blue square: N-acetylglucosamine; red triangle: fucose; pink diamond: Nacetylneuraminic acid. For glycan compositions, H: hexose; F, fucose; N: Nacetylglucosamine; S, N-acetyl neuraminic acid.

		IgG1 (EEQY	NSTYR)	IgG2 (EEQF	FNSTFR)	IgG4 (EEQFNSTYR)		
Glycan structure	Glycan species	Precursor ion (m/z) [M+3H] ³⁺	Product ion (m/z) [M+H] ⁺	Precursor ion (m/z) [M+3H] ³⁺	Product ion (m/z) [M+H] ⁺	Precursor ion (m/z) [M+3H] ³⁺	Product ion (m/z) [M+H] ⁺	
	H5N4F1	986.7407	366.1446	976.0744	366.1454	981.4106	366.1393	
•	H4N3F1	865.0244	366.1427	854.3621	366.1436	_	-	
	H4N4F1	932.7208	204.0937	922.0578	366.1455	927.4307	366.1447	
⇒ -1	H3N4F1	878.7008	204.0934	868.0381	204.0811	873.3666	204.0808	
	H3N3F1	810.9946	204.0932	800.3302	204.0897	805.6600	204.0803	
	H5N5F1	1054.4347	366.1441	1043.7715	366.1446	1049.1042	366.1450	
	H4N5F1	1000.4159	204.0926	989.7521	204.0813	995.0633	204.0805	
	H5N4	938.0517	366.1459	927.3982	366.1000	_	_	
	H3N5F1	946.3934	204.0808	935.7326	204.0815	941.0633	204.0798	
••••	H4N4	884.0167	204.0931	873.3547	204.0890	_	-	
	H5N5	1005.7485	366.1436	-	-	_	-	
₽ - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0	H3N4	830.0128	204.0936	819.3352	204.0897	_	-	
	H4N5	951.7281	204.0811	_	-	_	-	
>	H3N5	897.7078	204.0809	_	-	_	-	
+	H5N4F1S1	1083.7752	366.1463	1073.1134	366.1459	1078.1069	366.1429	
+	H5N5F1S1	1151.4717	366.1459	1140.8092	366.1429	_	-	
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	H4N4F1S1	1029.7565	204.0818	1019.0935	366.1443	1024.4236	366.1423	
•••- >•	H4N3F1S1	962.0617	366.1433	951.3969	366.1464	_	-	
+ <mark> -=-</mark> >+==	H5N4S1	1035.0876	366.1439	1024.4292	366.1457	_	-	
	H5N4F1S2	1180.8108	366.1423	-	-	_	-	
++-	H4N4S1	981.0467	366.1441	_	-	-	_	
••-	H4N5F1S1		_	1086.7633	204.0808	_	-	

90 Table S4. Subclass-specific IgG glycan feature calculations. The individual glycoforms

91 with the same glycan features were grouped and the percentage was calculated by

92 the formula. Glycan structures are annotated as described in Table S3.

IgG Subclass	Glycan features	Description	Formula					
	G0	Fraction of agalactosylated glycan	A2G0F+A1G0FN+A2G0FN+A2G0+A2G0N					
	G1	Fraction of monogalactosylated glycans	A1G1FN+A2G1F+A2G1FN+A2G1+A2G1N+A2G1FS+A1G1FNS+A2G1S					
	G2	Fraction of digalactosylated glycans	A2G2F+A2G2FN+A2G2+A2G2N+A2G2FS+A2G2FNS+A2G2S+A2G2FS2					
	S	Fraction of sialylated glycans	A2G2FS+A2G2FNS+A2G1FS+A1G1FNS+A2G2S+A2G2FS2+A2G1S					
InG 1	В	Fraction of bisected glycans	A1G1FN+A1G0FN+A2G2FN+A2G1FN+A2G0FN+A2G2N+A2G1N+A2G0N+A2G2FNS+A1G1FNS					
igo i	F	Fraction of fucosylated glycans	A1G1FN+A2G1F+A2G0F+A1G0FN+A2G2FN+A2G1FN+A2G0FN+A2G2FS+A2G2FNS+A2G1FS+A1G1FNS+A2G2FS2					
	A2G	Galactosylation per antenna on diantennary glycans	2G2F+A2G2FN+A2G2+A2G2N+A2G2FS+A2G2FNS+A2G2S+A2G2FS2+(A2G1F+A2G1FN+A2G1+A2G1N+A2G1FS+A2G					
	A2S	Sialylation per antenna on diantennary glycans	A2G2FS2+(A2G2FS+A2G2FNS+A2G2S+A2G1S)/2					
	A2GS	Sialylation per galactose on diantennary glycans	A2S/A2G					
	G0	Fraction of agalactosylated glycan	A2G0F+A1G0FN+A2G0					
	G1	Fraction of monogalactosylated glycans	A1G1FN+A2G1FN+A2G1F+A2G1+A2G1FNS+A2G1FS+A1G1FNS					
	G2	Fraction of digalactosylated glycans	A2G2F+A2G2FN+A2G2FNS+A2G2FS+A2G2+A2G2S					
	S	Fraction of sialylated glycans	A2G2FNS+A2G2FS+A2G1FNS+A2G1FS+A1G1FNS+A2G2S					
InC 2	В	Fraction of bisected glycans	A2G2FN+A1G1FN+A2G1FN+A1G0FN+A2G0FN+A2G2FNS+A2G1FNS +A1G1FNS					
igo 2	F	Fraction of fucosylated glycans	A2G2F + A2G2FN + A1G1FN + A2G1FN + A2G1F + A2G0F + A1G0FN + A2G0FN + A2G2FNS + A2G2FS + A2G1FNS + A2G1FS + A1G1FNS + A2G1FNS					
	A2G	Galactosylation per antenna on diantennary glycans	A2G2F+A2G2FN+A2G2FNS+A2G2FS+A2G2+A2G2S+(A2G1FN+A2G1F+A2G1+A2G1FNS+A2G1FS)/2A2G1FNS+A2FNA2G1FNS+A2G1FNS+A2G1FNS+A2G1FNS+A2G1FNS+A2G1FNS+A2G1FNS+AAFNA					
	A2S	Sialylation per antenna on diantennary glycans	(A2G2FNS+A2G2FS+A2G1FNS+A2G1FS+A2G2S)/2					
	A2GS	Sialylation per galactose on diantennary glycans	A2S/A2G					
	G0	Fraction of agalactosylated glycan	A2G0F+A1G0F+A2G0FN					
	G1	Fraction of monogalactosylated glycans	A2G1F+A2G1FN+A2G1FS					
	G2	Fraction of digalactosylated glycans	A2G2F+A2G2FN+A2G2FS					
	S	Fraction of sialylated glycans	A2G2FS+A2G1FS					
IaC 4	A2FB	GlcNAcylation of fucosylated diantennary glycans	A2G2FN+A2G1FN+A2G0FN					
igu 4	A2FG	Galactosylation per antenna on fucosylated diantennary glycans	A2G2F+A2G2FN+A2G2FS+(A2G1F+A2G1FN+A2G1FS)2					
	A2FS	Sialylation per antenna on fucosylated diantennary glycans	(A2G2FS+A2G1FS)2					
	A2FGS	Sialylation per galactose on fucosylated diantennary glycans	A2FS/A2FG					

94

93

Table S5. Comparison of the result for the quantitation of individual IgG glycopeptide between normal and cancer groups by incomplete or complete digestion. P values result from Mann-Whitney U test. P-value less than 0.05 is set as significant, which are emphasized with bold. SD, standard deviation. Glycan structures are annotated as described in Table S3.

	Incomplete Digestion					Complete Digestion				
Glycan species	Noi	mal	Cai	ncer		Normal		Car	icer	
5 1	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Р	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Р
IgG1										
H5N4F1	14.38	4.41	13.41	4.48	4.47E-01	14.38	4.01	11.04	2.95	1.00E-03
H4N3F1	0.53	0.14	0.50	0.17	5.70E-01	0.80	0.38	0.63	0.18	1.05E-01
H4N4F1	29.75	4.26	31.13	4.75	2.97E-01	26.05	3.77	25.98	4.22	9.54E-01
H3N4F1	24.41	7.11	26.08	8.53	4.75E-01	17.54	5.14	23.24	6.25	1.00E-03
H3N3F1	0.45	0.28	0.42	0.26	6.30E-01	0.46	0.12	0.82	2.41	5.43E-01
H5N5F1	0.70	0.25	0.69	0.27	9.37E-01	1.40	0.78	0.82	0.35	9.00E-03
H4N5F1	4.90	1.26	4.77	1.47	7.52E-01	6.69	1.82	6.26	1.82	4.01E-01
H5N4	2.57	1.16	2.33	1.07	4.35E-01	2.32	1.33	2.23	1.02	7.58E-01
H3N5F1	3.83	1.60	3.95	1.54	7.95E-01	4.86	1.40	5.20	1.47	4.21E-01
H4N4	3.57	2.08	3.09	1.96	4.00E-01	2.91	1.42	3.45	1.53	2.17E-01
H5N5F1	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	8.99E-01	1.24	1.25	0.89	0.99	3.05E-01
H3N4	2.49	1.50	2.45	1.48	9.23E-01	1.76	1.09	2.80	1.39	7.00E-03
H4N5	0.65	0.41	0.61	0.34	7.05E-01	2.32	1.83	2.25	1.89	8.97E-01
H3N5	0.47	0.35	0.47	0.33	9.96E-01	1.25	1.09	1.94	2.18	2.13E-01
H5N4F1S1	7.41	2.88	6.64	2.69	3 27E-01	10.46	3 23	7.58	1 94	2.00E-03
H5N5F1S1	0.13	0.11	0.11	0.08	5.15E-01	0.34	0.27	0.27	0.25	3 49E-01
HANAF1S1	2.00	0.99	1.81	0.80	4 40E-01	2.41	1.21	2.30	0.20	6.83E-01
H4N3E1S1	0.12	0.04	0.11	0.05	3 37E-01	0.35	0.44	0.47	1.16	6.94E-01
H5N//\$1	1 14	0.56	0.94	0.47	1.64E-01	1.54	0.73	1.23	0.52	1.20E-01
LISNAE182	0.12	0.13	0.11	0.10	8.64E-01	0.40	0.58	0.21	0.30	2.05E-01
HANAS 1	0.12	0.15	0.26	0.17	8.19E-01	0.53	0.33	0.42	0.30	3.76E-01
П4N451	0.27	0.12	0.20	0.17	0.1712-01	0.55	0.47	0.42	0.40	5.701-01
IgG2										
H5N4F1	15.99	5.01	12.18	3.95	2.00E-03	12.46	2.99	9.67	3.20	3.00E-03
H5N5F1	1.04	0.23	0.83	0.34	2.50E-02	0.99	0.22	0.76	0.28	4.00E-03
H4N3F1	0.45	0.08	0.44	0.11	7.14E-01	0.66	0.37	0.57	0.15	3.14E-01
H4N5F1	4.40	1.02	4.23	0.93	5.44E-01	4.67	0.80	4.27	0.88	1.02E-01
H4N4F1	33.36	1.80	31.15	4.97	8.00E-02	32.11	3.20	30.88	3.82	2.42E-01
H3N4F1	23.83	6.21	31.27	8.13	1.00E-03	26.84	4.59	33.12	6.39	4.55E-04
H3N3F1	0.35	0.22	0.50	0.27	4.50E-02	0.65	0.27	0.60	0.15	4.60E-01
H3N5F1	4.97	1.88	6.15	1.57	1.50E-02	5.74	1.50	6.64	1.65	5.20E-02
H4N4	0.79	0.77	1.05	1.53	5.03E-01	0.66	0.27	0.69	0.33	7.26E-01
H3N4	0.46	0.32	0.57	0.26	1.40E-01	2.66	2.78	1.67	1.36	1.79E-01
H5N5F1S1	0.11	0.04	0.09	0.04	4.60E-02	0.15	0.10	0.12	0.13	4.52E-01
H5N4F1S1	8.02	2.95	6.01	2.92	1.80E-02	6.48	1.77	5.18	1.62	8.00E-03
H4N5F1S1	0.16	0.07	0.13	0.05	4.70E-02	0.28	0.15	0.23	0.14	2.59E-01
H4N4F1S1	5.34	1.11	4.68	1.58	1.23E-01	4.59	1.46	4.51	1.06	8.09E-01
H4N3F1S1	0.27	0.08	0.26	0.09	8.48E-01	0.38	0.16	0.43	0.59	6.96E-01
H5N4	0.36	0.28	0.35	0.28	9.20E-01	0.50	0.35	0.44	0.33	5.20E-01
H5N4S1	0.11	0.04	0.10	0.07	7.00E-01	0.19	0.14	0.20	0.32	8.34E-01
IgG4										
H5N4F1	20.18	8.56	14.43	6.41	5.00E-03	15.44	5.37	12.47	6.21	8.60E-02
H4N4F1	21.52	14.19	17.48	12.76	2.83E-01	36.91	23.51	32.84	20.60	5.05E-01
H5N5F1	0.55	0.45	0.82	1.23	3.70E-01	1.23	0.50	1.19	0.86	8.43E-01
H3N4F1	34.82	12.69	42.60	17.15	9.40E-02	28.58	14.18	32.33	13.82	3.46E-01
H3N3F1	4.68	6.00	5.82	7.63	5.80E-01	0.63	0.34	1.51	2.39	1.90E-02
H4N5F1	4.20	6.46	3.54	2.63	5.69E-01	4.18	2.40	4.20	2.65	9.80E-01
H3N5F1	7.98	3.91	8.89	2.99	3.26E-01	6.61	3.84	8.57	5.27	1.67E-01
H5N4F1S1	2.02	1.62	2.11	1.39	8.26E-01	3.47	3.34	3.44	3.51	9.76E-01
H4N4F1S1	4.06	2.44	4.31	1.98	6.78E-01	2.94	1.44	3.45	3.83	5.98E-01

Table S6. Comparison of the result for the quantitation of IgG glycan features between normal and cancer groups by partial and complete digestion. *P* values result from Mann-Whitney U test. *P*-value less than 0.05 is set as significant, which are emphasized with bold. SD, standard deviation.

	Incomplete Dige						Complete	Digestion		
Glycan features	Noi	rmal	Car	ncer		No	rmal	Car	ncer	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Р	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Р
IgG1										
G0	25.83	6.69	33.36	9.41	4.00E-03	25.86	6.06	34.00	7.17	1.03E-04
G1	42.72	3.55	42.27	5.28	7.50E-01	42.06	2.47	41.75	3.13	7.13E-01
G2	31.45	7.59	24.37	7.08	1.00E-03	32.08	6.81	24.26	5.54	1.71E-05
S	12.65	4.64	9.98	3.40	1.50E-02	16.03	4.84	12.47	2.90	1.00E-02
В	11.55	3.73	11.76	2.91	8.17E-01	19.70	4.29	19.53	6.75	9.27E-01
F	90.10	5.65	89.72	4.17	7.69E-01	86.12	4.74	84.80	5.57	3.89E-01
A2G	52.81	6.93	45.51	7.90	1.00E-03	53.11	6.33	44.58	6.27	1.13E-05
A2S	6.39	2.32	5.05	1.71	1.50E-02	8.22	2.56	6.11	1.32	4.00E-03
A2GS	0.12	0.03	0.11	0.03	3.20E-01	0.15	0.04	0.14	0.02	1.23E-01
IgG2										
G0	29.61	8.02	38.50	9.24	1.00E-03	35.89	4.87	42.03	7.51	3.00E-03
G1	44.76	2.45	41.95	4.78	2.40E-02	43.35	2.51	41.59	3.88	8.70E-02
G2	25.52	7.59	19.55	6.69	3.00E-03	20.76	4.77	16.38	4.54	1.00E-03
S	14.00	3.86	11.27	4.06	1.90E-02	12.06	2.96	10.68	2.81	9.30E-02
В	11.74	2.72	12.63	1.93	1.53E-01	13.51	1.99	13.63	2.26	5.40E-01
F	98.29	0.92	97.92	1.58	3.73E-01	96.00	3.26	96.99	1.95	2.50E-01
A2G	48.00	7.71	40.53	7.71	1.00E-03	41.92	4.59	36.67	5.86	1.00E-03
A2S	7.00	1.93	5.63	2.03	1.90E-02	5.84	1.45	5.12	1.31	6.50E-02
A2GS	0.14	0.02	0.14	0.03	3.63E-01	0.14	0.03	0.14	0.03	8.32E-01
IgG4										
G0	47.48	12.59	57.31	13.87	1.30E-02	35.82	17.88	42.41	16.18	1.68E-01
G1	29.78	13.74	25.33	12.56	2.29E-01	44.04	20.36	40.49	17.62	4.99E-01
G2	22.74	8.38	17.36	6.96	1.20E-02	20.14	5.20	17.10	6.72	6.50E-02
S	6.07	3.08	6.42	2.29	6.34E-01	6.41	2.66	6.89	5.01	7.10E-01
A2FB	12.72	9.51	13.26	4.23	7.54E-01	12.03	6.11	13.96	7.59	6.50E-01
A2FG	37.63	8.20	30.02	9.00	3.00E-03	42.16	8.35	37.34	8.71	5.30E-02
A2FS	3.04	1.54	3.21	1.15	6.35E-01	3.21	1.33	3.45	2.50	7.10E-01
A2FGS	0.09	0.04	0.11	0.05	4.80E-02	0.08	0.03	0.10	0.07	2.68E-01

107

109 **Table S7.** Diagnostic performance of the candidate glyco-biomarkers for diagnosis of

110 different stages of CRC. P-values less than 0.05 and AUC scores over 0.70 are

Classes entroling		Normal vs Benign		Normal	Normal vs Cancer		Early Stages	Normal vs Late Stages		
	Grycan species	Р	AUC	Р	AUC	Р	AUC	Р	AUC	
	IgG1_H3N4F1	3.30E-03	0.78	1.00E-03	0.77	6.90E-03	0.76	2.10E-03	0.78	
	IgG1_H5N5F1	1.37E-02	0.74	4.00E-04	0.80	1.50E-03	0.80	1.80E-03	0.79	
	IgG1_H3N4	1.00E-01	0.66	1.10E-03	0.77	9.00E-03	0.75	1.90E-03	0.79	
	IgG1_H5N4F1S1	5.27E-02	0.69	1.80E-03	0.76	5.30E-03	0.77	6.60E-03	0.75	
112	IgG2_H5N4F1	1.26E-02	0.74	3.20E-03	0.74	8.20E-03	0.75	1.04E-02	0.74	

111 emphasized with bold. Glycan structures are annotated as described in Table S3.

113

114 **Table S8.** Diagnostic performance of the glycan features for diagnosis of different

115 stages of CRC. P-values less than 0.05 and AUC scores over 0.70 are emphasized

116 with bold.

Glycan features	Normal vs Benign		Normal v	Normal vs Cancer		Early Stages	Normal vs Late Stages	
	Р	AUC	Р	AUC	Р	AUC	Р	AUC
IgG1_G0	2.80E-03	0.79	2.00E-04	0.81	5.00E-03	0.83	1.40E-03	0.80
IgG1_G2	5.30E-03	0.77	1.00E-04	0.82	8.00E-03	0.82	6.00E-04	0.82
IgG1_S	6.87E-02	0.68	1.14E-02	0.71	8.33E-02	0.67	7.70E-03	0.75
IgG1_A2G	1.70E-03	0.80	< 0.0001	0.83	2.00E-03	0.85	5.00E-04	0.82
IgG1_A2S	4.92E-02	0.69	4.50E-03	0.74	2.77E-02	0.71	5.70E-03	0.76
IgG2_G0	8.20E-03	0.75	2.20E-03	0.75	3.70E-03	0.78	1.20E-02	0.73
IgG2_G1	4.59E-02	0.69	1.43E-01	0.62	1.13E-01	0.65	3.05E-01	0.60
IgG2_G2	3.71E-02	0.70	3.00E-03	0.75	7.60E-03	0.76	1.04E-02	0.74
IgG2_A2G	3.45E-02	0.70	9.50E-03	0.71	1.37E-02	0.74	3.34E-02	0.70

117

Table S9. Statistical analysis of the glycosylation changes between normal and
different tumor location of CRC patients in the discovery set. Mean Diff, mean
difference; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval. Glycan features were annotated as in

122 Table S5.

Clycon features Normal vs Colon			on	No	ormal vs Rec	tal	Colon vs Rectal		
Glycan leatures	Mean Diff.	95% CI	Р	Mean Diff.	95% CI	Р	Mean Diff.	95% CI	Р
IgG1									
GO	-6.68	(-11.23, 2.12)	2.00E-03	-2.90	(-7.54, 1.73)	3.83E-01	3.77	(-1.23, 8.78)	2.04E-01
G1	0.91	(-2.56, 4.37)	1.00E+00	-0.12	(-3.65, 3.40)	1.00E+00	-1.03	(-4.84, 2.78)	1.00E+00
G2	5.77	(0.88, 10.66)	1.60E-02	3.03	(-1.95, 8.01)	4.20E-01	-2.74	(-8.12, 2.63)	6.38E-01
S	7.26	(2.53, 11.99)	1.00E-03	4.78	(-0.04, 9.60)	5.20E-02	-2.48	(-7.68, 2.72)	7.30E-01
В	1.73	(-3.77, 7.23)	1.00E+00	0.96	(-4.64, 6.56)	1.00E+00	-0.78	(-6.82, 5.27)	1.00E+00
F	2.52	(-0.32, 5.36)	9.90E-02	3.86	(0.97, 6.75)	5.00E-03	1.34	(-1.78, 4.47)	8.76E-01
A2G	4.65	(-0.54, 9.83)	9.30E-02	1.77	(-3.51, 7.05)	1.00E+00	-2.88	(-8.58, 2.82)	6.50E-01
A2S	1.98	(0.46, 3.48)	6.00E-03	0.90	(-0.64, 2.43)	4.68E-01	-1.08	(-2.73, 0.58)	3.45E-01
A2GS	0.03	(0.003, 0.06)	2.40E-02	0.02	(-0.01, 0.04)	3.82E-01	-0.01	(-0.04, 0.02)	8.58E-01
IgG2									
GO	-5.57	(-9.52, -1.63)	3.00E-03	-2.01	(-6.23, 2.01)	6.66E-01	3.56	(-0.77, 7.90)	1.42E-01
G1	1.93	(-0.41, 4.27)	1.40E-01	1.50	(-0.88, 3.88)	3.78E-01	-0.43	(-2.99, 2.14)	1.00E+00
G2	3.65	(0.42, 6.88)	2.20E-02	0.51	(-2.78, 3.80)	1.00E+00	-3.14	(-6.69, 0.41)	1.00E-01
S	1.00	(-1.64, 3.64)	1.00E+00	-1.72	(-4.40, 0.97)	3.58E-01	-2.72	(-5.62, 0.18)	7.20E-02
В	0.28	(-1.73, 2.28)	1.00E+00	-0.46	(-2.50, 1.58)	1.00E+00	-0.74	(-2.94, 1.47)	1.00E+00
F	1.88	(0.39, 3.38)	9.00E-03	2.27	(0.74, 3.79)	2.00E-03	0.38	(-1.26, 2.02)	1.00E+00
A2G	4.45	(1.06, 7.85)	6.00E-03	1.12	(-2.34, 4.58)	1.00E+00	-3.33	(-7.06, 0.40)	9.50E-02
A2S	0.13	(-1.20, 1.46)	1.00E+00	-1.38	(-2.73, -0.03)	4.40E-02	-1.51	(-2.97, -0.05)	4.00E-02
A2GS	-0.02	(-0.04, 0.01)	2.42E-01	-0.04	(-0.06, -0.01)	1.00E-03	-0.02	(-0.05, 0.01)	1.68E-01
IgG4									
GO	7.44	(-1.21, 16.10)	1.14E-01	8.78	(-0.02, 17.59)	5.10E-02	1.34	(-8.16, 10.84)	1.00E+00
G1	-1.25	(-10.71, 8.21)	1.00E+00	-4.57	(-14.20, 5.07)	7.40E-01	-3.32	(-13.71, 7.08)	1.00E+00
G2	-6.19	(-13.65, 1.26)	1.35E-01	-4.22	(-11.81, 3.37)	5.26E-01	1.98	(-6.21, 10.17)	1.00E+00
S	-10.65	(-18.32, -2.98)	3.00E-03	-8.90	(-16.70, -1.09)	2.00E-02	1.76	(-6.67, 10.18)	1.00E+00
A2FB	2.96	(-2.64, 8.55)	5.91E-01	0.04	(-5.66, 5.74)	1.00E+00	-2.92	(-8.55, 2.64)	5.91E-01
A2FG	-6.82	(-13.36, -0.28)	3.80E-02	-6.50	(-13.16, 0.16)	5.80E-02	0.32	(-6.87, 7.51)	1.00E+00
A2FS	-5.33	(-9.16, -1.49)	3.00E-03	-4.45	(-8.35, -0.54)	2.00E-02	0.88	(-3.33, 5.09)	1.00E+00
A2FGS	-0.09	(-0.15, -0.02)	3.00E-03	-0.07	(-0.13, -0.004)	3.00E-02	0.02	(-0.05, 0.09)	1.00E+00

125 Supporting File

- 126 AreaProportion_simple.txt file. The MATLAB code for the integration and
- 127 quantitation of subclass-specific IgG glycosylation.