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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Nanozymes</th>
<th>Biothiols</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fluorimetry</td>
<td>C-dots–MnO$_2$</td>
<td>GSH</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorimetry</td>
<td>ZIF-67</td>
<td>Cys</td>
<td>[2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorimetry</td>
<td>MoS$_2$–PPy–Pd</td>
<td>Cys</td>
<td>[3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorimetry</td>
<td>FeMnO$_3$</td>
<td>GSH</td>
<td>[4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorimetry</td>
<td>Fe$_3$C/N–C</td>
<td>Cys</td>
<td>[5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorimetry</td>
<td>V$_2$O$_5$</td>
<td>GSH</td>
<td>[6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorimetry</td>
<td>Ce-MOF</td>
<td>GSH, Hcy, Cys</td>
<td>[7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorimetry</td>
<td>Mn-Co nanosheets</td>
<td>GSH</td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorimetry</td>
<td>Co, N-HPC</td>
<td>GSH</td>
<td>[9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorimetry</td>
<td>gold nanoclusters</td>
<td>GSH</td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor array</td>
<td>Pt, Ru, Ir</td>
<td>GSH, Cys, DTT, MS, MA, ME</td>
<td>[11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor array</td>
<td>AuPd, AuPt, AuPtRu</td>
<td>GSH, Cys, DTT, MS, MA, ME</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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