An efficient assay for identification and quantitative evaluation of potential polysialyltransferase inhibitors

Xiaoxiao Guo, Jodie R Malcolm, Marrwa M Ali, Goreti Ribeiro Morais, Steven D Shnyder, Paul M Loadman, Laurence H Patterson, and Robert A Falconer*

Institute of Cancer Therapeutics, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Bradford, Bradford BD7 1DP, United Kingdom

*Corresponding author. Tel +44 (0)1274 235842. E-mail address: r.a.falconer1@bradford.ac.uk

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES



Figure S1. Comparison of polyST enzymatic conversion at 2 h, 25 °C, in the presence of either Mn^{2+} ions alone, or in combination with Mg^{2+} ions. Data plotted are mean values ± SD from 3 independent experiments.



Figure S2. Effect of polyST concentration on conversion of substrate, in the presence of 100 μ M CMP-Sia (original K_M at pH 6.7). Data plotted are mean values ± SD from 3 independent experiments.



Figure S3. Linearity range analysis of DMB-DP3 in fluorescent detection. Data plotted are mean values ± SD from 3 independent experiments.



Figure S4. Cornish-Bowden plot for inhibition of polyST by CMP. Data plotted are mean values ± SD from 3 independent experiments.



Figure S5. Concentration-dependent inhibition of polyST by CMP in the presence of various acceptor concentrations under the optimised assay conditions (pH 5.8, [S] = K_{M}). Data plotted are mean values ± SD from 3 independent experiments.



Figure S6. Plots of changes in apparent potencies (IC_{50} values) of CMP as a function of substrate concentration in a semi-log plot (A), and in a linear plot (B), in both initial (green triangles) and optimised (blue squares) assay conditions.