## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

## An 'on-demand' photothermal antibiotic release cryogel patch: Evaluation of efficacy on an *ex vivo* model for skin wound infection

Léa Rosselle,<sup>1,2</sup> Anna Rita Cantelmo,<sup>3</sup> Alexandre Barras,<sup>1</sup> Nadia Skandrani,<sup>2</sup> Michael Pastore,<sup>4</sup> Duygu Aydin,<sup>5</sup> Laura Chambre,<sup>5</sup> Rana Sanyal,<sup>5,6,7</sup> Amitav Sanyal<sup>\*5,6</sup> Rabah Boukherroub,<sup>1</sup> Sabine Szunerits<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Univ. Lille, CNRS, Centrale Lille, Yncréa ISEN, Univ. Polytechnique Hauts-de-France, UMR 8520 -IEMN, F-59000 Lille, France

<sup>2</sup> TISSUEAEGIS SAS, 14E rue Pierre de Coubertin 21000 Dijon, France

<sup>3</sup> Univ. Lille, Inserm, U1003 - PHYCEL - Physiologie Cellulaire, F-59000 Lille, France

<sup>4</sup> Genoskin, Centre Pierre Potier – 1 Place Pierre Potier 31100 Toulouse, France

<sup>5</sup> Department of Chemistry, Bogazici University, Bebek, 34342, Istanbul, Turkey

<sup>6</sup> Center for Life Sciences and Technologies, Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey <sup>7</sup> RS Research, Teknopark Istanbul, Pendik, 34912, Istanbul, Turkey



**Figure S1**: (a) Equilibrium swelling of different rGO loaded cryogels formed using different molar percentage between PEGMEMA/BuMA with BuMA ranging from 0, 10, 20, 30 and 40

To whom correspondences should be send to: <u>sabine.szunerits@univ-lille.fr</u>

molar %. (b) Passive release of cefepime into water from different rGO-CG gels loaded with cefepime, and (c) SEM images showing the cryogel morphology before and after heating.



Figure S2. HeLa cell viability of after 24 and 48h incubation with rGO-CG.



**Figure S3**. Passive release profile of cefepime loaded CG and rGO-CG gels into water over a period of 24h.



**Figure S4:** (a) Optical representative images of uninfected wound skin and wound skin infected with *S. aureus* ( $1 \times 10^9$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>) for one to four days. (b) Effect of the different *S. aureus* concentrations on bacterial counts per gram skin tissue after 5 days. (c) Bacterial counts per gram skin tissue as determined from wound skin treated with *S. aureus* ( $1 \times 10^9$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>) at different time intervals, homogenized and plated on isolated agar plates to determine CFU counts. All the values are displayed as means  $\pm$  SEM.



**Figure S5:** Fluorescence images of a suspension of *S. aureus*  $(1 \times 10^7 \text{ CFU mL}^{-1})$  after treatment with anti-*S. aureus* antibody.





Figure S6: Histological analysis of infected regions in the *ex vivo* skin model. (a) H&E staining of *S. aureus* ( $1 \times 10^7$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>) infected skin after 5 days. Left and middle: bacteria colonization of the wound (blue dots); right: higher magnification of the infected wound showing penetration of the bacteria in the dermis. (b) Gram staining of infected skin. Left and middle: bacteria colonization of the wound (grey dots); right: higher magnification of the infected skin.



**Figure S7: H&E staining** of S. aureus  $(1 \times 10^7 \text{ CFU mL}^{-1})$  infected skin after 3 days treatment with cefepime loaded rGO-CG with an with light activation (left and right image) as well as with cefepime (middle).