## **Electronic Supplementary Information**

# Electrochromic polymer with multiple redox couples applied to monitor energy storage states of supercapacitor

Shouli Ming<sup>a</sup>, Kaiwen Lin<sup>d</sup>, Hui Zhang<sup>c</sup>, Fengxing Jiang<sup>b</sup>, Peipei Liu<sup>b</sup>, Jingkun Xu<sup>a,b,\*</sup>,

Guangming Nie<sup>a,\*</sup>, Xuemin Duan<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> College of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Qingdao University of Science and Technology, Qingdao 266042, China

<sup>b</sup> Department of Physics, Jiangxi Science and Technology Normal University, Nanchang 330013, China

<sup>c</sup> National Laboratory of Solid State Microstructures, College of Engineering and Applied Sciences and Collaborative Innovation Centre of Advanced Microstructures, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China

<sup>d</sup> Department of Materials and Food, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China Zhongshan Institute, Zhongshan 528402, China

\* Corresponding author, E-mail: gmnie@126.com; xujingkun@tsinghua.org.cn.

## **Material and Instruments**

Unless otherwise noted, all chemicals for the synthesis of monomer were commercially available and used without further purification. For the electrochemical experiments,  $CH_2Cl_2$  and MeCN were dried before use. Tetrabutylammonium tetrafluoroborate (Bu<sub>4</sub>NBF<sub>4</sub>) as electrolyte was dried at 60 °C for 24 h before use. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV 500. UV-visible absorption spectra were obtained on a Varian Cary 5000 UV-Vis-NIR spectrophotometer. The scanning electron microscope (SEM) measurement was performed by JEOL JSM-6700F scanning electron microscope (JEOL Ltd., Japan). Atomic force microscopy (AFM) measurement was performed under ambient conditions using a Veeco Multimode in the tapping mode, and these test samples were heat annealed at 100 °C for 10 min before measure. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern was collected using a PAN alytical X'Pert PRO MPD diffractometer with Cu KR radiation. The weight of polymer film was measured by Mettler-Toledo electronic balance with the error of 0.01 mg. The thickness of the polymer film was determined by a Dektak 6 M surface profilometer.



Fig. S1 Chemical structures of the thiophene-benzene derivatives based copolymers.

Polymers	$E_{ m c,p}$ / V	$E_{\mathrm{a,p}}$ / V	Ref
1	0.82	>1.0	
2	0.20, 0.58	0.64	
3	0.25, 0.68	0.30, 0.65	1
4	0.20, 0.61	0.40, 0.68	
5	broad	-0.20	
6	-0.36	-0.28	2
7	-0.31	-0.25	

Table S1. Electrochemical parameters of the thiophene-benzene derivatives based copolymers.

8	-0.40	-0.30	
9	-0.55	-0.15	3
Poly(Th-BE-O)	0.58, 1.00	0.74, 1.13	This
Poly(EDOT-BE-O)	-0.05, 0.15, 0.55	0, 0.20, 0.60	work

## **DFT** calculation

The molecular conformation is an important factor to determine film micromorphology, optical and electrical properties of conjugated polymer. Considering the steric effect of side chain and the non-covalent interaction between oxygen atom in side chain and sulfur atom in thiophene ring (the existence of non-covalent interaction has been proved in previous report<sup>4-6</sup>), the molecular configurations of precursors (EDOT-BE-*O* and Th-BE-*O*) have been studied by density functional theory (DFT) at B3LYP/6-31G level using the Gaussian 09 package. For simplicity, the target molecules with methoxyl groups replacing the long alkoxyl chains were employed for this study. As shown in **Fig. S2**, the dihedral angles between thiophene and phenylene are 20.7° for Th-BE-*O* and 10.3° for EDOT-BE-*O*. Moreover, HOMO and LUMO of the two precursors were studied by the DFT calculations. It is found that the oxygen atom in side chain plays a role in electron delocation, which is helpful to improve the capacitance of conducting polymer.

Moreover, HOMO and LUMO of the target polymers have been calculated, as shown in **Fig. S3**. For simplicity, poly(EDOT-BE-*O*) and poly(Th-BE-*O*) were replaced with the dimers of simplified monomers. HOMO and LUMO were -4.60 eV and -1.70 eV for poly(Th-BE-*O*) and -4.10 eV and -1.36 eV for poly(EDOT-BE-*O*), respectively.



Fig. S2 Optimal configurations, HOMO and LUMO of simplified monomers.



Fig. S3 Molecular structures, HOMO and LUMO of dimers.

### Synthesis of monomers

#### Th-BE-O

A mixture of compounds **1** (1.0 g, 2.30 mmol) and **2** (2.15 g, 5.76 mmol) in DMF was degassed before Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (20 mg) was added. And the mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 8 hours. After the reaction was finished, the mixed solution was poured into 100 ml water, and then extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 × 50 mL). The solvent was moved out with rotary evaporator. The residue was purified on silica gel column to give 0.78 g of light yellow solid. (Yield: 77%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.54 (d, 2H), 7.34 (d, 2H), 7.26 (s, 2H), 7.11-7.09 (t, 2H), 4.08 (t, 4H), 1.93-1.87 (m, 4H),

1.56-1.51 (m, 4H), 1.38-1.35 (m, 8H), 0.93-0.90 (m, 6H).

#### EDOT-BE-O

The synthetic process of EDOT-BE-*O* is similar with Th-BE-*O*. EDOT-BE-*O* as light yellow solid was prepared by the yield of 81%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.68 (s, 2H), 6.37 (s, 2H), 4.32-4.25 (m, 8H), 4.04 (t, 4H), 1.90-1.85 (m, 4H), 1.52-1.49 (m, 4H), 1.36-1.34 (m, 8H), 0.92-0.89 (m, 6H).

## **Electrochemical experiment**

Unless otherwise noted, the electrochemical experiments were performed in a standard three-electrode electrolytic cell including a Pt wire working electrode, a Pt wire counter electrode and an Ag/AgCl reference electrode. The electrochemical polymerization of monomer was performed in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeCN (v/v=1/1) mixed solvent containing 0.1 mol L<sup>-1</sup> Bu<sub>4</sub>NBF<sub>4</sub>. The molar concentration of monomer is 10 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>. The electrochemical behaviour of polymer was investigated in MeCN-Bu<sub>4</sub>NBF<sub>4</sub> (0.1 mol L<sup>-1</sup>).



Fig. S4 Structures of polarons and bipolarons for poly(Th-BE-o) and poly(EDOT-BE-o).



**Fig. S5 (a)** CVs of poly(EDOT-BE-*O*) and **(b)** linear relationship between scan rates and peak current densities; **(c)** CVs of poly(Th-BE-*O*) and **(d)** linear relationship between scan rates and peak current densities.

#### **Electrochromic properties of polymers**

Spectroelectrochemical property of polymer was measured in MeCN-Bu<sub>4</sub>NBF<sub>4</sub> (0.1 mol L<sup>-1</sup>) with a Pt wire counter electrode, an Ag/AgCl reference electrode and an ITO glass working electrode (0.8 cm  $\times$  5 cm). The thickness of polymer film is about 100 nm. During the experiment, the three-electrode electrolytic cell was fixed in UV-vis spectrometer to ensure real-time absorption monitor of polymer under different potentials.

The electrochromic performance of polymer was characterized by the timetransmittance curves. The potential windows were -0.2 V ~ 0.8 V for poly(EDOT-BE-O) and 0.4 V ~ 1.4 V for poly(Th-BE-O). The switching time is 5 s. The optical contrast ( $\Delta T$ ), response time and coloration efficiency (*CE*) of polymer were calculated according to following formulas:

$$\Delta T = |T_{\text{ox}} - T_{\text{neut}}| \qquad (1)$$

where  $T_{ox}$  and  $T_{neut}$  are the transmittances of polymer at oxidized and neutral states, respectively.

$$\Delta OD = \log(T_{\rm ox}/T_{\rm neut}) \quad (2)$$
$$CE = \Delta OD/Q_{\rm d} \quad (3)$$

where  $Q_d$  is the density of injected/ejected charge for the change of polymer between oxidized and neutral states.



Fig. S6 X-ray diffraction curves of poly(Th-BE-O) and poly(EDOT-BE-O) films.



Fig. S7 Optical stability of poly(EDOT-BE-O) (a) at 530 nm and poly(Th-BE-O) (b) at 456 nm in

 $0.1\ M\ MeCN\text{-}Bu_4NBF_4.$ 

Compound	Wavelength /	n / $\Delta T$	Response time / s	
	nm		Reduction	Oxidation
Poly(EDOT-BE-O)	530	27%	0.5	2.2
	705	10%	6.5	4.2
Poly(Th-BE-O)	456	25%	2.2	0.3
	647	11%	0.8	0.3

Table S2. The electrochromic parameters of poly(EDOT-BE-O) and poly(Th-BE-O).

## **Capacitance properties of polymers**

For the capacitance and impedance characterization of polymers, Pt wire working electrode is replaced with ITO glass ( $0.8 \text{ cm} \times 5 \text{ cm}$ ). By electrochemical method, polymer was deposited on ITO glass. The thickness of polymer film is about 120 nm. According to CVs of the two polymers, the potential windows were -0.2~0.8 V for poly(EDOT-BE-*O*) and 0.4~1.4 V for poly(Th-BE-*O*), respectively. The specific capacitance (*C*) of polymer is calculated by the following formula:

$$C=It/Vm$$
 (4)

where V is the charge-discharge potential range; m is the weight of polymer; I and t are the discharge current and discharge time, respectively.



Fig. S8 SEM images of poly(EDOT-BE-O)- (a) and poly(Th-BE-O)- (b) coated ITO glasses at the magnification of 5000 $\times$ .



**Fig. S9** AFM height images of poly(EDOT-BE-*O*)- (a) and poly(Th-BE-*O*)- (b) coated ITO glasses after heat annealing at 100 °C for 10 min.



**Fig. S10 (a)** Galvanostatic charge-discharge curves and **(b)** corresponding specific capacitance of poly(EDOT-BE-*O*) at different current densities; **(c)** Galvanostatic charge-discharge curves and **(d)** corresponding specific capacitance of poly(Th-BE-*O*) at different current densities.

#### Preparation of solid-state device

In order to demonstrate the feasibility for the solid-state device, liquid electrolyte

was replaced with gel electrolyte. Gel electrolyte was prepared using Bu<sub>4</sub>NBF<sub>4</sub>, ACN, poly(methyl metacrylate) and propylene carbonate (mass ratio of 3: 70: 7: 20), which were added together and heated at 70 °C for 30 min. Both working and counter electrodes were indium tin oxide (ITO) glasses. By electrochemical method, poly(EDOT-BE-*O*) film was deposited on "BUTTERFLY" ITO glass. Then the gel electrolyte and another ITO glass were put on polymer film successively. The solid-state device based on poly(EDOT-BE-*O*) is shown in **Fig. S11**.



Fig. S11 Different colours of "BUTTERFLY" shaped solid-state device.

#### References

[1] A. D. Child, B. Sankaran, F. Larmat and J. R. Reynolds, *Macromolecules*, 1995,

## **28**, 6571-6578.

- [2] J. A. Irvin and J. R. Reynolds, *Polymer*, 1998, **39**, 2339-2347.
- [3] G. Sonmez, H. Meng, and F. Wudl, Chem. Mater., 2004, 16, 574-580.
- [4] E. M. Galand, J. K. Mwaura, A. A. Argun, K. A. Abboud, T. D. McCarley and J.
- R. Reynolds, Macromolecules, 2006, 39, 7286-7294.
- [5] N. E. Jackson, B. M. Savoie, K. L. Kohlstedt, M. Olvera de la Cruz, G. C. Schatz,
- L. X. Chen and M. A. Ratner, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 10475-10483.
- [6] J. P. Ruiz, J. R. Dharia, J. R. Reynolds and L. J. Buckley, *Macromolecules*, 1992, 25, 849-860.