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## I. General information

Melting points were measured on an AS ONE ATM-01. NMR spectra were recorded using JEOL JNM-ECX-400 ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ), JNM-ECZ-400S ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ), and JNM-ECA-500W spectrometers ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, 126 MHz ). Chemical shifts are reported in ppm using TMS or the residual solvent peak as a reference. UV spectra were recorded on a HITACHI U-3000 spectrophotometer. Elemental analyses were carried out on a J-Science Lab JM10 micro corder. Steady-state emission spectra were recorded using a Hitachi F-7000 spectrometer. Visible light irradiations were performed with a blue LED (NICHIA NCSB119T, $\lambda=465 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) while cooling with a fan. RFTA, ${ }^{1} \quad \mathrm{MFI},{ }^{2} \quad \mathrm{DMA},{ }^{3} \quad$ benzaldehyde- $\alpha-\mathrm{d},{ }^{4} \quad(E)$ - N -benzylideneaniline, ${ }^{5}$ ( $E$ )- N -benzylidene- $p$-anisidine, ${ }^{6}$ (E)-2-(benzylideneamino)phenol, ${ }^{7}$ 1-(trimethylsilyloxy)-1-phenylethene (5), ${ }^{8} \quad\left((Z)\right.$-1-phenylprop-1-enyloxy)trimethylsilane, ${ }^{9,10}$ and 1-tert-butyldimethylsilyloxycyclopentene ${ }^{8}$ were prepared according to the literature procedures. Polystyrene sulfonyl hydrazide ( $\left.\mathrm{PS}^{2}-\mathrm{TsNHNH}\right)_{2}$ ) was purchased from Biotage. All other reagents were also purchased from commercial supplies and used without purification.

## II. Catalytic thioacetalization

## II-1. Typical procedure (Table 2)

A $20 \varphi$ glass tube was charged with $\mathbf{1}(0.735 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathbf{2}(1.47 \mathrm{mmol})$, RFTA ( $11.9 \mathrm{mg}, 21.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and acetonitrile ( 3.5 mL ), which was stirred and irradiated by LED visible light ( $465 \mathrm{~nm}, 0.3 \mathrm{~W}, 1$ cm distance) at room temperature under air for 30 minutes. Afterwards, the LED irradiation was switched off, and the mixture was further stirred for a defined reaction time under the remaining conditions. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(35 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with water $(5 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$, $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(3 \mathrm{~mL} \times 5)$, and water ( $5 \mathrm{~mL} \times 5$ ), which was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the desired products either in a pure form $\mathbf{( \mathbf { 3 a } , \mathbf { 3 g } ) \text { or in }}$ an impure form ( $\mathbf{3 b} \mathbf{- 3 f}, \mathbf{3 h}, \mathbf{4}$ ). In the latter case except $\mathbf{3 h}$, the crude product was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and mixed with PS-TsNHNH $2(74 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and shaken for 1 h . The polymer was removed by filtration and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to give $\mathbf{3 b} \mathbf{- 3 f}$ or $\mathbf{4}$ with high purity. Only the crude mixture for $\mathbf{3 h}$ contained a small amount of unidentified byproducts, which was analyzed without further purification by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy.

2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1,3-dithiane (3a, colorless solid, $141 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ): M.p. $113{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=1.92\left(\mathrm{dtt}, J=14.0,12.2,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{ax}}\right), 2.16(\mathrm{dtt}, J=14.0,4.1,2.3,1 \mathrm{H}$, $5-\mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{eq}}$ ), 2.90 (ddd, $J=14.5,4.1,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 4,6-\mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{eq}}$ ), 3.06 (ddd, $J=14.5,12.22 .3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.4,6-\mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{ax}}\right), 3.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 5.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=25.2,32.3,50.8,55.4,114.2,129.1,131.4,159.7$; Elemental analysis: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{OS}_{2}$ : C $58.37, \mathrm{H} 6.23$; found: C $58.15, \mathrm{H} 6.23$. The proton and
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ are in accordance with that described in the literature (Figures S19 and S20). ${ }^{11}$

2-(4-Methylphenyl)-1,3-dithiane (3b, colorless solid, $126 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ ): M.p. $78-80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=1.93\left(\mathrm{dtt}, J=14.2,12.4,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{ax}}\right), 2.17(\mathrm{dtt}, J=14.2,4.4,2.5,1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.5-\mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{eq}}\right), 2.33\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.90\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.4,4.4,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 4,6-\mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{eq}}\right), 3.06(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.4,12.4$ $\left.2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 4,6-\mathrm{CH}^{\text {ax }}\right), 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ArH) ${ }^{13}{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=21.3,25.3,32.3,51.4,127.7,129.6,136.3,138.4$; Elemental analysis: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : C 62.81, H 6.71; found: C $62.83, \mathrm{H} 6.72$. The proton is in accordance with that described in the literature (Figure S21). ${ }^{12}$

2-Phenyl-1,3-dithiane (3c, colorless solid, $122 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ): M.p. $69^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=1.94\left(\mathrm{dtt}, J=14.0,12.5,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{ax}}\right), 2.18\left(\mathrm{dtt}, J=14.0,4.5,2.5,1 \mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{eq}}\right), 2.92(\mathrm{ddd}$, $J=14.3,4.5,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 4,6-\mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{eq}}$ ), 3.07 (ddd, $\left.J=14.3,12.52 .5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 4,6-\mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{ax}}\right), 5.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, CH ), 7.27-7.37 (m, 3H, ArH), $7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=25.2$, 32.2, 51.7, 127.9, 128.6, 128.9, 139.2; Elemental analysis: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : C 61.18, H 6.16; found: C 61.06 , H 5.99. The proton is in accordance with that described in the literature (Figure S23). ${ }^{12}$

2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1,3-dithiane (3d, colorless solid, $146 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ): M.p. $83-85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=1.93\left(\mathrm{dtt}, J=14.2,12.4,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{ax}}\right), 2.18(\mathrm{dtt}, J=14.2,4.4,2.5,1 \mathrm{H}$, $5-\mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{eq}}$ ), 2.91 (ddd, $J=14.6,4.4,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 4,6-\mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{eq}}$ ), 3.06 (ddd, $J=14.6,12.42 .5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.4,6-\mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{ax}}\right), 5.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=25.1,32.1,50.8,129.1,129.3,134.3,137.7$; Elemental analysis: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ : C 52.05, H 4.80; found: C 51.95, H 4.88. The proton is in accordance with that described in the literature (Figure S25). ${ }^{12}$

2-(4-Bromophenyl)-1,3-dithiane (3e, colorless solid, $148 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ ): M.p. $86-88{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.93\left(\mathrm{dtt}, J=14.2,12.4,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{ax}}\right), 2.18(\mathrm{dtt}, J=14.2,4.4,2.5,1 \mathrm{H}$, $5-\mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{eq}}$ ), 2.91 (ddd, $J=14.4,4.4,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 4,6-\mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{eq}}$ ), 3.05 (ddd, $J=14.4,12.42 .5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.4,6-\mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{ax}}\right), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=25.1,32.1,50.9,122.4,129.6,132.0,138.3$; Elemental analysis: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$ : C 43.64, H 4.03; found: C 43.60, H 4.06. The proton and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ are in accordance with that described in the literature (Figures S27 and S28). ${ }^{11}$

2-(3,4-Methylenedioxyphenyl)-1,3-dithiane (3f, colorless solid, $131 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ ): M.p. $84-85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=1.91\left(\mathrm{dtt}, J=14.0,12.5,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{ax}}\right), 2.16(\mathrm{dtt}, \mathrm{J}=14.0,4.3$,
$\left.2.3,1 \mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{eq}}\right), 2.90\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.5,4.1,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 4,6-\mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{eq}}\right), 3.05(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.5,12.52 .3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, 4,6-\mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{ax}}\right), 5.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.8$, $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=25.2,32.3,51.4$, $101.4,108.5,121.5,133.0,147.8,147.9$; Elemental analysis: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : C 54.97, H 5.03; found: C 54.76, H 5.07. The proton is in accordance with that described in the literature (Figure S29). ${ }^{12}$

2-tert-Butyl-1,3-dithiane (3g, yellowish oil, $76 \mathrm{mg}, 59 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=1.12$ ( s , $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.80 (dquin, $J=14.0,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{CH}^{\text {ax }}$ ), 2.08 (dquin, $J=14.0,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{CH}^{\text {eq }}$ ), $2.89\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 4,6-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=25.9$, $27.8,31.2,35.7,61.9$; The proton and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ are in accordance with that described in the literature (Figures S31 and S32). ${ }^{11}$

2-Isopropyl-1,3-dithiane ( $\mathbf{3 h}$, crude): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=1.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; The proton is in accordance with that described in the literature (Figure S33). ${ }^{13}$

2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1,3-dithiolane (4, colorless solid, $133 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ): M.p. $59-60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) d=3.30-3.39 (m,2H, CH2), 3.45-3.55 (m, 2H, CH2 $), 3.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.64(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=40.4,55.5,56.2,114.0,129.3,131.9,159.5$; The proton is in accordance with that described in the literature (Figures S34 and S35). ${ }^{14}$

## II-2. Optimization of reaction conditions

In addition to Table 1, the following experiments were carried out for optimizing reaction conditions, in which light was irradiated during the entire reaction (Table S1).

Table S1. Photoinduced thioacetalization of 1a with 2a under different conditions.


## II-3. Determination of the quantum yield of reaction

Two $20 \varphi$ glass tubes were charged with aqueous potassium ferrioxalate ( $2 \mathrm{mM}, 3.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and both were bubbled with nitrogen gas for a minute and sealed with a cap. One of them (solution A) was irradiated by LED light at room temperature ( $465 \mathrm{~nm}, 0.3 \mathrm{~W}\left(I_{\mathrm{F}}=100 \mathrm{~mA}\right.$ ), distance of 1 cm ) for 30 seconds, while the other one (solution B) was standing under room light. The solution A and B $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to a mixture of aqueous phenanthroline $(0.1 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v} \%, 2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and buffer $(0.54 \mathrm{M}$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and $1.8 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{AcONa}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), respectively, and then standing under light-shielding conditions for 30 min . The absolute number of photons absorbed by the reaction system was determined to be $1.98 \times 10^{19}$ per an hour ( $3.29 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{h}$ ) by UV/vis spectroscopy using a calibration curve, prepared in advance, labeled with "concentration of $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{phen})_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ " and "absorbance at 510 nm " for the X -axis and the Y-axis, respectively (Figure S1). Since the present reaction using 0.735 mmol of $\mathbf{1 a}$ and 1.47 mmol of $\mathbf{2 a}$ gave $\mathbf{3 a}$ in $36 \%$ yield in 1 hour $\left(2.65 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{h}\right)$, the corresponding quantum yield $\Phi$ was calculated to be 8.1.


Figure S1. Calibration curve used for determining the absolute number of photons absorbed by the reaction system.

## II-4. Competitive reaction using $\boldsymbol{p}$-substituted benzaldehydes (Hammett plot)

A $20 \varphi$ glass tube was charged with benzaldehyde ( 0.73 mmol ), p-substituted benzaldehyde ( 0.73 mmol ), 2a ( $79.0 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.73 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), RFTA ( $11.9 \mathrm{mg}, 21.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene ( $40.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as an internal standard, and acetonitrile ( 3.5 mL ), which was stirred and irradiated by LED visible light ( $465 \mathrm{~nm}, 0.3 \mathrm{~W}, 1 \mathrm{~cm}$ distance) at room temperature under air for 1 h . The reaction mixture was analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. The product ratios were determined on the basis of the integration of the methine protons, which were observed at $\delta=5.14(p-\mathrm{OMe}), 5.15(p-\mathrm{Me}), 5.18(p-\mathrm{H}), 5.14(p-\mathrm{Cl}), 5.13(p-\mathrm{Br})$, and 5.25 ppm ( $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ ), respectively. The results are summarized below (Table S2).

Table S2. Data for the Hammett plot (Fig. 3)

| R | R | yield (\%) | H | $\sigma$ | $k_{R} / k_{H}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -OMe | 24 | 13 | -0.268 | 1.846 | 0.266 |
| -Me | 18 | 13 | -0.17 | 1.385 | 0.141 |
| -H | - | - | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| -Cl | 5 | 10 | 0.227 | 0.5 | -0.301 |
| -Br | 6.5 | 13 | 0.227 | 0.5 | -0.301 |
| $-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 1 | 13 | 0.778 | 0.0769 | -1.114 |

## II-5. Deuterium labeling experiment

A $20 \varphi$ glass tube was charged with benzaldehyde- $d_{1}(78.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.735 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathbf{2 a}(0.159 \mathrm{~g}, 1.47$ mmol), RFTA ( $11.9 \mathrm{mg}, 21.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (TMB) as an internal standard, and acetonitrile $(3.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, which was stirred and irradiated by LED visible light ( $465 \mathrm{~nm}, 0.3 \mathrm{~W}, 1 \mathrm{~cm}$ distance) at room temperature under air for 6.5 h . The reaction mixture was analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy, which revealed that the reaction proceeded quantitatively and the deuterium remained intact in the corresponding dithiane product (Figures S2 and S3).


Figure $\mathrm{S} 2 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction mixture before irradiation $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.


Figure S3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction mixture after 6.5 h irradiation $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

## II-6. Characterization of the product of $\boldsymbol{S}, \mathrm{O}$-acetalization

2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1,3-oxathiane (colorless solid): M.p. $92-93{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta=1.76\left(\mathrm{ddq}, J=14.0,3.0,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{eq}}\right), 2.09\left(\mathrm{dtt}, J=14.0,12.6,4.0,1 \mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{ax}}\right), 2.82$ (dtd, $\left.J=13.2,3.4,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}^{\mathrm{eq}}\right), 3.21\left(\mathrm{td}, J=13.2,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}^{\mathrm{ax}}\right), 3.78(\mathrm{td}, J=12.3,2.0$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}^{\mathrm{ax}}\right), 3.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.32\left(\mathrm{dddd}, J=12.3,4.0,2.3,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}^{\mathrm{eq}}\right), 5.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=$ 25.8, 29.4, 55.4, 70.9, 84.3, 113.9, 127.7, 131.9, 159.8 (Figures S36 and S37).

## II-7. Qualitative indication of the acidity of irradiated solution

A mixture of RFTA ( $11.4 \mathrm{mg}, 20.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), 2a $(0.159 \mathrm{~g}, 1.47 \mathrm{mmol})$, and acetonitrile ( 3.5 mL ) was irradiated by LED visible light ( $465 \mathrm{~nm}, 0.3 \mathrm{~W}, 1 \mathrm{~cm}$ distance) at room temperature under air for 30 minutes (Figures S 4 , a to b ), and aqueous methyl orange ( $6.1 \mathrm{mM}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added. The resulting solution turned red (Figure $\mathrm{S} 4, \mathrm{c}$ ). On the other hand, such a change of color by adding the same indicator was not observed without the pre-irradiation (Figure S4, d). In addition, to the above red solution was added triethylamine ( $3 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 21 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), which provided the original color (Figure S 4 , e). These results show that the acidity of the solution has increased by the irradiation due to in situ generated catalytic amount of acidic species.


Figure S4. Qualitative indication of the acidity of irradiated solution.

## II-8. Monitoring the formation of hydrogen peroxide

To a mixture of hydrogen peroxide $(30 \%, 3.4 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and acetonitrile ( 3.5 mL ) was added $\mathrm{NaI}(0.2 \mathrm{~g})$. A 0.1 mL of the resulting yellow solution was diluted to 10 mL with acetonitrile using a volumetric flask (solution A), which was analyzed by UV-Vis spectroscopy (Figure S5a). The characteristic absorption of $\mathrm{I}_{3}{ }^{-}$formed was clearly observed ( $\lambda_{\max }=360 \mathrm{~nm}$ ).

A mixture of RFTA ( $11.4 \mathrm{mg}, 20.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, 2a $(0.159 \mathrm{~g}, 1.47 \mathrm{mmol})$, and acetonitrile ( 3.5 mL ) was irradiated by LED visible light ( $465 \mathrm{~nm}, 0.3 \mathrm{~W}, 1 \mathrm{~cm}$ distance) at room temperature under air for 30 minutes, and a 0.1 mL of the reaction mixture was diluted to 10 mL with acetonitrile using a volumetric flask (solution B). All the residual reaction mixture was treated with $\mathrm{NaI}(0.2 \mathrm{~g})$, and a 0.1 mL of the resulting solution was diluted to 10 mL with acetonitrile in the same way (solution C). Absorption spectrum of the solution $C$ was measured with baseline set up by using the solution $B$, in which distinct peaks for $\mathrm{I}_{3}{ }^{-}$were not clearly observed (Figure S5b). These results indicate that the formation of hydrogen peroxide (in other words, recycling of RFTA) during the irradiation may take place in little.


Figure S5. Absorption spectra for a) the solution $A$ and b) the solution $C$ (measured with baseline set up by using the solution $B$ )

## III. Fluorescence quenching experiment

Fluorescence spectra of RFTA in the absence and presence of 1a and/or 2a at different concentrations are shown below (Figure S6-S8), indicating that a high possibility of electron transfer between the excited state of RFTA and 2a.


Figure S6. Fluorescence spectra of RFTA in acetonitrile $(0.1 \mathrm{mM})$ in the absence and the presence of $1 \mathbf{1 a}$ at different concentrations $(0.1 \mathrm{M}, 0.2 \mathrm{M}, 0.4 \mathrm{M}, 0.6 \mathrm{M})$ and the corresponding Stern-Volmer plot $\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=465 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$.


Figure S7. Fluorescence spectra of RFTA in acetonitrile $(0.1 \mathrm{mM})$ in the absence and the presence of 2 a at different concentrations $(0.1 \mathrm{M}, 0.2 \mathrm{M}, 0.4 \mathrm{M}, 0.6 \mathrm{M})$ and the corresponding Stern-Volmer plot $\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=465 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$.


Figure S8. Fluorescence spectra of RFTA in acetonitrile $(0.1 \mathrm{mM})$ in the absence and the presence of 1a and 2a at different concentrations $(0.1 \mathrm{M}, 0.2 \mathrm{M}, 0.4 \mathrm{M}, 0.6 \mathrm{M})$ and the corresponding Stern-Volmer plot $\left(\lambda_{\text {ex }}=465 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$.

## IV. Exposing thiols under the present reaction conditions in the absence of any aldehydes

A $20 \varphi$ glass tube was charged with 1-dodecanethiol ( $0.297 \mathrm{~g}, 1.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), RFTA ( $11.9 \mathrm{mg}, 21.9$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}$ ), and acetonitrile ( 3.5 mL ), which was stirred and irradiated by LED visible light ( $465 \mathrm{~nm}, 0.3$ $\mathrm{W}, 1 \mathrm{~cm}$ distance) at room temperature under air. After 3 h , the reaction mixture analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy was found to contain only 1-dodecanethiol and dodecyl disulfide ${ }^{15}$ in a ratio of 90:10 without any other products (Equation S1 and Figure S9).



Figure $\mathrm{S} 9 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction mixture of the equation S 1 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

A $20 \varphi$ glass tube was charged with benzyl mercaptan ( $0.124 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), RFTA ( 5.4 mg , $10.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and acetonitrile ( 1 mL ), which was stirred and irradiated by LED visible light ( 465 nm , $0.3 \mathrm{~W}, 1 \mathrm{~cm}$ distance) at room temperature under air. After 6 h , the reaction mixture analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy was found to contain only benzyl mercaptan and benzyl disulfide in a ratio of 95:5 without any other products (Equation S2 and Figure S10).



Figure $\mathrm{S} 10 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the reaction mixture of the equation S 2 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

## V. Catalytic Mannich-type reaction

## V-1. Preparation of catalyst-containing solution

A 25 mL two-neck round bottom flask was charged with RFTA ( $12.9 \mathrm{mg}, 23.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), 1-dodecanethiol ( $4.8 \mathrm{mg}, 23.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and acetonitrile ( 5.0 mL ), which was stirred and irradiated by LED visible light ( $465 \mathrm{~nm}, 0.3 \mathrm{~W}, 1 \mathrm{~cm}$ distance) at room temperature under 1 atm of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ for 1 h . The resulting solution was immediately used as a catalyst-containing solution for the following catalytic reactions.

## V-2. Typical procedure for reaction between imines and silyl enol ethers

To a mixture of the catalyst-containing solution ( $1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), an imine ( 0.158 mmol ), and 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (TMB) as an internal standard ( $52.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \times f$ ) was added a silyl enol ether ( 0.474 mmol ). The $f$ value was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of a pre-mixture containing imine, catalyst, TMB, and acetonitrile before adding silyl enol ether. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere for a defined reaction time and diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and treated with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The organic layer was analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy to determine the product yield (Figures S11-S15), which referred to the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data described in the literature. ${ }^{16-18}$


Figure S 11 .Determination of yield of $\mathbf{6 a}$ in Fig. 3 b by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, f=1.087\right) .{ }^{16}$


Figure S 12 . Determination of yield of $\mathbf{6 b}$ in Fig. 3b by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, f=0.952\right) .{ }^{16}$


Figure S 13 . Determination of yield of $\mathbf{6 c}$ in Fig. 3 b by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, f=0.833\right) .{ }^{17}$


Figure S 14 .Determination of yield of $\mathbf{6 d}$ in Fig. 3b by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, f=0.901\right) .{ }^{16}$


Figure S 15 .Determination of yield of $\mathbf{6 e}$ in Fig. 3 b by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, f=1.000\right) .{ }^{18}$

## V-3. Typical procedure for three-component reaction

To a mixture of an aldehyde ( 0.158 mmol ), aniline ( $14.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.158 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (TMB) as an internal standard ( $52.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \times f$ ), and acetonitrile ( 1.0 mL ) was added the catalyst-containing solution $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and $5(0.237 \mathrm{mmol})$. The $f$ value was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of a pre-mixture containing aldehyde, TMB, and acetonitrile before adding aniline. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere for a defined reaction time and diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and treated with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The organic layer was analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy to determine the product yield (Figures S16-S20), which referred to the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data described in the literature. ${ }^{16}$


Figure S16.Determination of yield of $\mathbf{6 a}$ in Fig. 3c by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, f=1.000\right) .{ }^{16}$


Figure S 17 . Determination of yield of $\mathbf{6 f}$ in Fig. 3 c by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, f=1.176\right) .{ }^{16}$


Figure S 18 . Determination of yield of $\mathbf{6 g}$ in Fig. 3c by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, f=0.706\right) .{ }^{16}$


Figure S 19 . Determination of yield of $\mathbf{6 h}$ in Fig. 3c by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, f=1.000\right) .{ }^{16}$


Figure S20.Determination of yield of $\mathbf{6 i}$ in Fig. 3c by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, f=1.667\right) .{ }^{16}$

## VI. Spectral data



Figure S21. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for $\mathbf{3 a}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure $\mathrm{S} 22 .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectrum for $\mathbf{3 a}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S23. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for $\mathbf{3 b}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S24. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectrum for $\mathbf{3 b}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure $\mathrm{S} 25 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for $\mathbf{3 c}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure $\mathrm{S} 26 .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectrum for $\mathbf{3 c}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S27. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for $\mathbf{3 d}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure $\mathrm{S} 28 .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectrum for $\mathbf{3 d}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S29. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for $\mathbf{3 e}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure $\mathrm{S} 30 .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectrum for $\mathbf{3 e}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S31. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for $\mathbf{3 f}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S32. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectrum for $\mathbf{3 f}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S33. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for $\mathbf{3 g}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure $\mathrm{S} 34 .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectrum for $\mathbf{3 g}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure $\mathbf{S 3 5}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for $\mathbf{3 h}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S36. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for $\mathbf{4}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S37. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectrum for $\mathbf{4}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S38. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for 2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,3-oxathiane in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure $\mathrm{S} 39 .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectrum for 2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,3-oxathiane in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.
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