Supporting Information

Phosphate Ion Functionalized CoP Nanowire Arrays for Efficient Alkaline Hydrogen Evolution

Dongdong Zhu*, Liang Wang, Man Qiao, Jinlong Liu*

D. D. Zhu, M. Qiao School of Chemistry and Materials Science, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Nanjing, 210044, China. E-mail: <u>dd.zhu@nuist.edu.cn</u>

L. Wang

Institute for Superconducting and Electronic Materials (ISEM), University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW 2525, Australia

J. L. Liu Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge, CB3 0FA, United Kingdom.

Email: jl2075@cam.ac.uk

1. Experimental Section

1.1 Synthesis of Pi-CoP nanowire arrays on Ti foil

The Co-based precursor was firstly grown on the Ti foil by a hydrothermal method. In detail, 2.5 mmol $Co(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$, 5.0 mmol NH_4F , and 12.5 mmol $CO(NH_2)_2$ were dissolved in 35 mL deionized water under magnetic stirring, and transferred to a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave (50 mL). Then a piece of clean Ti foil (2.0 cm×4.0 cm) was immersed into the reaction solution. Afterwards, the autoclave was heated at 120°C for 5 h, and then cooled down to room temperature. After the reaction, the obtained Co-based precursor was washed with deionized water and ethanol several times and dried.

Then Pi-CoP was fabricated by low-temperature phosphorization of Co-based precursor in the presence of NaH₂PO₂·H₂O. In detail, the obtained Co-based precursor sample and NaH₂PO₂·H₂O (1.1 g) were put in porcelain boats at two detached positions with NaH₂PO₂·H₂O at the upstream side of the furnace. Then under the Ar atmosphere, the furnace was elevated to 300 °C at a ramping rate of 2 °C min⁻¹ and held at this temperature for 2 h, and then cooled to ambient temperature at a ramping rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ to obtain Pi-CoP nanowire arrays. Caution!!! Since the in-situ generated PH₃ from the decomposition of NaH₂PO₂·H₂O is extremely toxic, the post-treatment of tail gas by CuSO₄ solution to absorb excessive PH₃ is highly essential. For comparison, directly annealing Co-based precursor at 350 °C for 4 h in the air resulted in the fabrication of Co₃O₄ nanowire arrays.

1.2 Characterizations

The morphology of the samples was characterized by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM, QUANTA 450) equipped with an energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS), transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Tecnai G2 Spirit, which operates at an accelerating voltage of 200 KV) and scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM, JEOL JEM-ARM200F, which operates at an accelerating voltage of 80 KV) equipped with EDS. The powder X-ray diffractometer (XRD) data were collected using a Rigaku MiniFlex 600 with Cu K α radiation at a scan rate of 1° min⁻¹. XPS measurements were carried out on an ESCALab250 using Al K α radiation. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy was recorded on a Nicolet 6700 spectrometer.

1.3 Electrochemical Measurements

Electrochemical tests were carried out on a workstation (CHI 760D Instruments, Inc., USA) by using a standard three-electrode glass cell (Pine Research Instruments, USA). Ag/AgCl (4 M KCl) electrode and carbon rod were used as the reference and counter electrodes, respectively, while 1.0 M KOH aqueous solution was used as the electrolyte. 1cm×1cm Pi-

CoP/Ti, Co₃O₄/Ti, Commercial Pt-C/Ti and bare Ti foils were investigated as the working electrodes. The reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) potentials were obtained by the following equation: $E(RHE) = E(Ag/AgCl) + 0.205 + 0.059 \times pH$. A flow of N₂ (ultra-high-grade purity, Airgas) was maintained in the electrolyte during the test. Before data collection, the working electrodes were scanned by cyclic voltammetry (CV) plots until the signals were stabilized. The linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) curves were recorded with a scan rate of 1.0 mV s⁻¹. The obtained current densities were normalized to the geometrical surface area. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was measured in the frequency range from 0.1 Hz to 100 kHz with an amplitude of 5 mV. IR compensation of LSV curves was performed using the solution resistance estimated from EIS results. The electrode durability was tested by the galvanostatic method, which was conducted at 10 mA cm⁻² for 20 hours. All the electrochemical measurements were carried out at ambient temperature.

2. Supplementary Figures and Tables



Figure S1. SEM image of Co-based precursor grown on Ti foil.



Figure S2. (a) SEM image of Pi-CoP. (b) EDS spectrum of Pi-CoP.



Figure S3. XRD Pattern of Co₃O₄.



Figure S4. (a) SEM image of Co_3O_4 . (b) EDS spectrum of Co_3O_4 .



Figure S5. Enlarged TEM image of Pi-CoP.



Figure S6. The overlay elemental mapping of Pi-CoP.



Figure S7. XPS survey spectrum of Co₃O₄.



Figure S9. LSV curves of the samples to reach the current density of 10 mA cm⁻².

Table S1. HER performance comparison of previously reported transition metal

 phosphide-based electrocatalysts in alkaline solution.

Catalyst	Substrate	η ₁₀ (mV)	η ₁₀₀ (mV)	Tafel slop (mV dec ⁻¹)	Reference
Pi-CoP	Ti foil	44	112	49	This work
СоР	carbon cloth	209	500	129	1
FeP	carbon cloth	218	NA	146	2
W-Ni _x P	nickel foam	130	NA	98	3
Ni ₂ P	nickel foam	NA	>200	50	4
NiP	nickel foam	236	350	135	5
Ni _{0.51} Co _{0.49} P	nickel foam	82	150	43	5
Ni ₂ P	Ni foil	183	NA	NA	6
FeCoP	nickel foam	120	NA	76	7
Fe-CoP	Ti foil	78	NA	75	8
Mn-Ni ₂ P	nickel foam	NA	200	135	9
MoP/CNT	carbon fiber paper	86	160	73	10
СоР	carbon cloth	NA	326	126.8	11
CoP/CoO _x	carbon cloth	NA	146	42.8	11
CoP ₂	carbon cloth	72	NA	88	12
V-CoP	carbon cloth	71	140	67.6	13
S-CoP	nickel foam	109	185	79	14
Al-Ni ₂ P	Ti mesh	129	NA	98	15
$(Fe_{0.048}Ni_{0.952})_2P$	nickel foam	103	200	76.6	16

 η_{10} : Overpotential required at the current density of 10 mA cm⁻².

 η_{100} : Overpotential required at the current density of 100 mA cm⁻².

References

- 1. J. Tian, Q. Liu, A. M. Asiri and X. Sun, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 7587-7590.
- 2. Y. Liang, Q. Liu, A. M. Asiri, X. Sun and Y. Luo, *ACS Catal.*, 2014, **4**, 4065-4069.
- 3. Z. Jin, P. Li, X. Huang, G. Zeng, Y. Jin, B. Zheng and D. Xiao, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 18593-18599.
- 4. Y. Shi, Y. Xu, S. Zhuo, J. Zhang and B. Zhang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, 7, 2376-2384.
- 5. J. Yu, Q. Li, Y. Li, C.-Y. Xu, L. Zhen, V. P. Dravid and J. Wu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2016, **26**, 7644-7651.
- 6. C. G. Read, J. F. Callejas, C. F. Holder and R. E. Schaak, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 12798-12803.
- L. Zhou, M. Shao, J. Li, S. Jiang, M. Wei and X. Duan, *Nano Energy*, 2017, 41, 583-590.
- 8. C. Tang, R. Zhang, W. Lu, L. He, X. Jiang, A. M. Asiri and X. Sun, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1602441.
- 9. Y. Zhang, Y. Liu, M. Ma, X. Ren, Z. Liu, G. Du, A. M. Asiri and X. Sun, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 11048-11051.
- 10. X. Zhang, X. Yu, L. Zhang, F. Zhou, Y. Liang and R. Wang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2018, **28**, 1706523.
- K. Xu, H. Cheng, H. Lv, J. Wang, L. Liu, S. Liu, X. Wu, W. Chu, C. Wu and Y. Xie, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1703322.
- 12. Y. Zhou, Y. Yang, R. Wang, X. Wang, X. Zhang, L. Qiang, W. Wang, Q. Wang and Z. Hu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2018, **6**, 19038-19046.
- 13. X. Xiao, L. Tao, M. Li, X. Lv, D. Huang, X. Jiang, H. Pan, M. Wang and Y. Shen, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 1970-1975.
- 14. M. A. R. Anjum, M. S. Okyay, M. Kim, M. H. Lee, N. Park and J. S. Lee, *Nano Energy*, 2018, **53**, 286-295.
- 15. H. Du, L. Xia, S. Zhu, F. Qu and F. Qu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 2894-2897.
- W. Zhang, Y. Zou, H. Liu, S. Chen, X. Wang, H. Zhang, X. She and D. Yang, *Nano Energy*, 2019, 56, 813-822.