Taking advantage of the aromatisation of 7-diethylamino-4-methyl-3, 4-

dihydrocoumarin to fluorescently sense superoxide anion

1. Chemistry

1.1. Synthesis of probe 1 and 3

General: All the solvents and chemicals were purchased from commercial sources: Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co., Beijing Ou-he Reagents Co., Beijing Shiji-Aoke Biotechnology Co. and Shanghai Jingke Chemistry Technology Co. with the purity of more than 95%. Flash column chromatography was performed on Biotage Isolera one. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR were recorded on Mercury300, Mercury400, Bruker AVANCEIII 400 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are referenced to the residual solvent peak and reported in ppm (δ scale) and all coupling constant (J) values are given in Hertz (Hz). The following multiplicity abbreviations are used: (s) singlet, (d) doublet, (t) triplet, (q) quartet, (m) multiplet. ESI-HRMS data were measured on Thermo Exactive Orbitrap plus spectrometer. Purity was determined using both LCMS and NMR spectroscopy. All of the synthesized compounds have a purity of > 95%.



General procedure of 7-diethylamino-4-methyl-3, 4-dihydrocoumarin¹: A solution of 7diethylamino-4-methyl coumarin **2** (462 mg, 2 mmol) and 10% Pd/C (46 mg) in acetic acid/THF = 1:3 (20 mL) was stirred overnight at rt under H₂. Then the solvent was evaporated, and the crude product was further purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 20:80) to give pure compound **1** (329 mg). Colorless oil, yield: 71%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 7.06 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 6.44 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 6.29 (d, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 3.30 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 4H), 3.04 (h, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.82 (dd, *J* = 15.7, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 2.50 (dd, *J* = 15.7, 7.5 Hz, 1H) , 1.17 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 1.06 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 169.03 , 152.46 , 147.94 , 127.60 , 114.45 , 108.14 , 99.45 , 44.20 , 37.34 , 28.19 , 20.54 , 12.78 . HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for C₁₄H₂₀O₂N [M+H]⁺, 234.14886; found, 234.14853.



General procedure of 7-diethylamino-4-hydroxymethyl coumarin²: To a solution of 7-diethylamino-4-methyl coumarin 2 (4.63 g, 20 mmol, 1 eq) in hot p-xylene (120 mL) was added SeO₂ (3.33 g, 30 mmol, 1.5 eq). The mixture was heated under reflux with vigorous stirring overnight. The mixture was filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The dark brown residual oil was dissolved in ethanol (130 mL), and sodium borohydride (380 mg, 10 mmol, 0.5 eq) was added to the solution followed by stirring overnight at room temperature. The suspension was carefully hydrolyzed with 1 M HCl (20 mL), diluted with water (30 mL) and extracted with DCM (3×50 mL). The organic phase was washed with water $(2 \times 100 \text{ mL})$, brine (100 mL) and dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated in The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, ethyl vacuo. acetate/dichloromethane = 20:80) to yield 4 as a yellow solid (2.16 g, 44%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 7.42 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 6.65 (dd, *J* = 9.0, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 6.51 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 6.06 (t, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.49 (s, 1H), 4.66 (s, 2H), 3.41 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 4H), 1.11 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H).¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 161.59, 157.29, 156.07, 150.63, 125.51, 108.98, 106.15, 104.36, 97.23, 59.50, 44.41, 12.78. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for C₁₄H₁₈O₃N [M+H]⁺, 248.12812; found, 248.12881.



General procedure of 7-diethylamino-4-hydroxymethyl coumarin 4-naphthylacetate^{3, 4} : A solution of compound **4** (2.47 g, 10 mmol, 1 eq), 1-Naphthylacetic acid **5** (2.23 g, 12 mmol, 1.2 eq), N,N'-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC, 2.47 g, 12 mmol, 1.2 eq), and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP, 25 mg, 0.2 mmol, 0.2 eq) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (60 mL) was stirred at room temperature. When the reaction was complete as checked by TLC analysis, the solution of the mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (40mL). Subsequently, the solution was washed by water (40 mL), 0.1 M aqueous HCl (40 mL), saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (40 mL), and brine (40 mL), dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, concentrated

in vacuo, and purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 20:80) to give the target product **6** (3.07g, 74%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz,) δ 7.88 (ddd, *J* = 17.2, 10.8, 5.8 Hz, 4H), 7.58 – 7.43 (m, 3H), 7.38 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 6.57 (dd, *J* = 9.1, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 5.98 (s, 1H), 5.32 (s, 2H), 4.03 (s, 2H), 3.40 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 4H), 1.10 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 171.18, 161.02, 156.23, 150.88, 133.41, 132.44, 132.19, 128.36, 128.34, 128.25, 127.98, 127.92, 126.69, 126.32, 109.09, 105.70, 97.27, 62.19, 44.43, 40.71, 12.76. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for C₂₆H₂₆O₄N [M+H]⁺, 416.18563; found, 416.18576.



General procedure of 7-diethylamino-4-hydroxymethyl-3, 4-dihydrocoumarin 4-naphthylacetate⁵⁻⁷ : To a round bottomed flask equipped with a drying tube (CaCl₂) containing a solution of **6** (2.07 g, 5 mmol, 1 eq) in THF (60 mL) at -40°C was added 0.1 M LiAlH₄ solution in THF (55 mL, 5.5 mmol, 1.1 eq) slowly. After stirring the solution at the same temperature for 2 hours the reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 20 min before be quenched with a saturated solution of NH₄Cl (40 mL). The solution was extracted with ethyl acetate (60 mL×3). The organic phase was washed with brine, dried and concentrated in vacuo, which was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 20:80) to give the target product **3** (130 mg, 6.2%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 8.15 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.97 – 7.90 (m, 1H), 7.87 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.61 – 7.46 (m, 4H), 6.90 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 6.45 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 6.26 (d, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (s, 2H), 3.86 – 3.69 (m, 2H), 3.27 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 4H), 2.86 (p, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 2.28 – 2.05 (m, 2H), 1.11 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 176.55 , 170.01 , 149.72 , 147.97 , 133.91 , 131.90 , 130.09 , 129.14 , 128.65 , 128.50 , 127.11 , 126.68 , 126.18 , 125.69 , 123.56 , 116.90 , 109.84 , 105.31 , 73.22 , 44.42 , 39.98 , 34.56 , 33.85 , 12.48 . HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for C₂₆H₂₈O₄N [M+H]⁺, 418.20128; found, 418.20294.









4.33 3.878 3.878 3.3.28 3.3.28 3.2.28 3.2.28 3.2.28 3.2.28 3.2.28 3.2.29 3.2.29 3.2.29 3.2.29 3.2.29 3.2.29 3.2.29 3.2.29 3.2.29 3.2.29 3.2.29 3.2.20 3.2.20 3.2.20 3.2.20 3.2.20 3.2.20 3.2.20 3.2.20 3.2.20 3.2.20 3.2.20 3.2.20 3.2.2.20 3.2.



2. Biological Analysis

2.1 The solubility of probe 1 in various solution.

Probe **1** (10mM stock solution in DMSO) was dissolved in 0.1M Tris-HCl buffer, pH8.0, 0.01M PBS buffer, pH7.4, 0.1M HEPES buffer, pH7.4 at the concentration of 500 μ M. The final solution is clear and no precipitation was observed.



Figure S1, The solubility of Probe 1 (500 μ M) in 0.1M Tris-HCl buffer, pH8.0, 0.01M PBS buffer, pH7.4, 0.1M HEPES buffer, pH7.4.

Probe 1 was also dissolved in various solvents (DMF, DMSO, Ethanol, Acetonitrile, Isopropanol, Acetone, Tetrahydrofuran, Dioxane) as the 10mM stock solution. All of the stock solutions were well dissolved, and the solution is clear.



Figure S2, The 10mM stock solution of Probe **1** in various solvents (DMF, DMSO, Ethanol, Acetonitrile, Isopropanol, Acetone, THF, Dioxane).

Various stock solutions of probe **1** were stored at room temperature for over than a month, and the contents in the solutions were simply examined by TLC (EA/PE=1:9). TLC results show that there is only one UV active compound in the solution, which is probe **1**. Probe **1** remains stable in all of the solvent for a month.



Figure S3, The 10mM stock solution of Probe **1** in various solvents (1-Ethanol, 2-Acetonitrile, 3-Isopropanol, 4-Acetone, 5-THF, 6-Dioxane, 7-DMSO, 8-DMF).

2.2 The stability of probe 1 and compound 2 in various pH in 200mM Phosphate buffer.

Probe 1 and compound 2 were dissolved with the concentration of 10 μ M in 200mM phosphate buffer (pH3, 7, and 11). The fluorescence was measured in 20 minutes ($E_x = 371 \text{ nm}/E_m = 468 \text{ nm}$). Both probe **1** and cmpd **2** remain stable in various pH (3, 7, 11) conditions. The above right picture



Figure S4, The stability of Cmpd **2** (A1, A2, A3) and Probe **1** (B1, B2, B3) in various pH conditions (pH 3, pH 7 and pH 11).

2.3. Fluorescence responses of probe 1 to various ROS

The fluorescence was measured with the Biotek SynergyTM H1, $E_x = 371 \text{ nm}/E_m = 468 \text{ nm}$. Probe **1** was dissolved in Acetonitrile as the 10mM stock solution, and used in this section. The buffer used in this section was 0.01 M PBS buffer, pH 7.4.

 H_2O_2 : Purchased commercial available H_2O_2 (~8.8 M) was used and dissolved in 0.01 M PBS buffer, pH 7.4 as the final concentration of 500 μ M. Probe 1 was added into the solution as the final concentration of 10 μ M. The reaction was vortexed for 10 s, and incubated for 5 min at 37°C for measurement.

TBHP: Purchased commercial available TBHP (~6.0 M) was used and dissolved in 0.01 M PBS buffer, pH 7.4 as the final concentration of 500 μ M. Probe 1 was added into the solution as the final concentration of 10 μ M. The reaction was vortexed for 10 s, and incubated for 5 min at 37°C for measurement.

OCI⁻: Purchased commercial available NaOCl (~1.4 M) was used and dissolved in 0.01 M PBS buffer, pH 7.4 as the final concentration of 500 μ M. Probe 1 was added into the solution as the final concentration of 10 μ M. The reaction was vortexed for 10 s, and incubated for 5 min at 37°C for measurement.

ONOO⁻: Peroxynitrite (ONOO-) solution was prepared following the protocols in the literature. The concentration of peroxynitrite was determined as 60 mM and was stored at -20 °C.

A, *The chemistry of pernitrites. Part I. Kinetics of decomposition of pernitrous acid, 1968, J. Chem.* SOC. (A), 450.

*B, Molecular Imaging of Peroxynitrite with HKGreen-4 in Live Cells and Tissues.*2014, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 136, 11728.

ONOO⁻ (~0.06 M) was used and dissolved in 0.01 M PBS buffer, pH 7.4 as the final concentration of 500 μ M. Probe 1 was added into the solution as the final concentration of 10 μ M. The reaction was vortexed for 10 s, and incubated for 5 min at 37°C for measurement.

¹O₂: Purchased commercial available NaOCl (~1.4 M) was used and dissolved in 0.01 M PBS buffer, pH 7.4 as the final concentration of 500 μ M. Twice dose of H₂O₂ was also added to the solution to make the final concentration of 1mM. The solution was mixed, and probe 1 was added into the solution as the final concentration of 10 μ M. The reaction was vortexed for 10 s, and incubated for 5 min at 37°C for measurement.

•OH: Purchased commercial available FeSO₄ was dissolved in 0.01 M PBS buffer, pH 7.4 as the final concentration of 500 μ M. Twice dose of H₂O₂ was also added to the solution to make the final concentration of 1mM. The solution was mixed, and probe 1 was added into the solution as the final concentration of 10 μ M. The reaction was vortexed for 10 s, and incubated for 5 min at 37°C for measurement.

2.4. Fluorescence responses of probe 1 to XO/HPX system

100 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.4) was prepared and used for this enzymatic assay. 50 µL of xanthine oxidase (XO, 5 U/890 µL) was added to 150 µL of 100 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.4) to prepare the enzymatic solution. HPX was added into the enzymatic assay to make the final concentration of 1mM, probe **1** was added into the solution to make the final concentration of 10 µM or 50 µM. Fluorescence intensity was measured every 5 minutes for 70 minutes with Biotek SynergyTM H1, $E_x = 371 \text{ nm}/E_m = 468 \text{ nm}.$

In order to compare the reactivity with other ROS, we also repeated 10 μ M probe **1** to incubate with the XO/HPX system (1.4U/ml, 1mM) for 5 minutes at 37 °C. The fluorescence was measured with Biotek SynergyTM H1, $E_x = 371$ nm/ $E_m = 468$ nm.

2.5. Fluorescence responses of probe 1 to O2⁻⁻ in anhydrous DMSO

750 µL 10 mM KO₂ in DMSO was incubated with 250 µL 10 mM probe **1** in DMSO at 37°C. Fluorescence intensity was measured every 20 s for 10 minutes with Biotek SynergyTM H1, $E_x = 371$ nm/ $E_m = 468$ nm.

2.6. Fluorescence responses of probe 1 to various concentration of O₂⁻⁻ in anhydrous DMSO

Various volume of 10 mM KO₂ was added into various 1ml DMSO to make the final concentration of 0, 25, 50, 75, and 100 μ M. Probe **1** was added into these solution to make the final concentration of 1 mM. The reaction was vortexed for 10 s, and incubated for 5 min at 37°C for measurement. $E_x = 371 \text{ nm}/E_m = 468 \text{ nm}$. LOD was calculated.

2.7. Fluorescence excitation and emission spectra of reaction mixture or 2 in DMSO

Compound 2 was dissolved in DMSO to a final concentration of 1 μ M. The reaction mixture of section 2.5 was also diluted in DMSO. Both solution was scanned the fluorescence excitation spectra ($E_x = 300-420 \text{ nm}/E_m = 468 \text{ nm}$) and emission spectra ($E_x = 371 \text{ nm}/E_m = 400-600 \text{ nm}$). When prepare the graph of the fluorescence spectra, the normalized fluorescence was used.

2.8. The oxidization product analysis of 2.5.

The reaction mixture of probe **1** and $O_2^{\bullet-}$ was extracted with ethyl acetate (2 mL×3). The organic phase concentrated in vacuo, dissolved with 1 mL MeOH and analyzed by LC-MS. Probe **1** and cmpd **2** were found in the reaction mixture with the retention time of 2.82 min and 4.52 min.

LC-MS system was performed on a ThermoFisher Exactive Plus mass spectrometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany) equipped with a ThermoFisher Accela HPLC system (ThermoFisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany). The mobile phase gradient for LC-MS monitoring was: $A/H_2O+0.1\%$ formic acid; B/Acetonitrile; t = 0 min 5% B; t =4 min 95% B; t =5.5 min 95% B; t = 5.6-8 min 5% B; flow rate: 0.3 mLmin⁻¹. Detector Wavelength: 254nm, Column: Agilent Zorbax SB-C18, 5 µm, 2.1×50 mm.



Figure S5, The analysis of the reaction mixture of Probe 1 and KO_2 in DMSO. Compound 2 was found as the major product in the reaction mixture, which was separated by HPLC and identified by MS. The MS analysis and the structures of three main peaks were also shown as follow.





2.9. The reaction between probe 1 and O₂⁻⁻ in aqueous buffer.

Probe **1** was dissolved in 10 mM PBS buffer (pH 7.4) to make a final concentration of 1mM. Solid KO₂ was added to this solution to make a final concentration of 10 mM. The reaction was vortexed for 10 s, and incubated for 5 min at 37°C for measurement. $E_x = 371 \text{ nm}/E_m = 468 \text{ nm}.$

The blank solution was made as follow. Probe **1** was dissolved in 10 mM PBS buffer (pH 7.4) to make a final concentration of 1mM. There was no KO_2 added.



Figure S6, The fluorescence intensity of Probe 1 with solid KO₂ in 10 mM PBS buffer (pH 7.4).

2.9. Fluorescence responses of probe 1 to ClO⁻ in phosphate buffer (pH 7.4)

100 mM phosphate buffer, 150mM NaCl (pH 7.4) was firstly prepared. Probe **1** was dissolved in various solvents (Ethanol, Acetonitrile, Isopropanol, Acetone, Tetrahydrofuran, Dioxane) to make the 10mM stock solution.

For the detection of OCI⁻: Purchased commercial available NaOCl (~1.4 M) was used and dissolved in 0.01 M PBS buffer, pH 7.4 as the final concentration of 500 µM. Probe **1** was added into the

solution as the final concentration of 10 μ M. The reaction was vortexed for 10 s, and incubated for 5 min at 37°C for measurement.



The blank solution is absence of probe 1. Thus, there is no reaction between probe 1 and the ClO⁻.

Figure S7, The fluorescence intensity of Probe **1** from various stock solution react with ClO⁻ in 100 mM PBS buffer (pH 7.4).

2.10. Fluorescence responses of probe 1 in various solvents to various ROS in phosphate buffer (pH 7.4)

100 mM phosphate buffer, 150mM NaCl (pH 7.4) was firstly prepared. Probe **1** was dissolved in various solvents (Ethanol, Acetonitrile, Isopropanol, Acetone, Tetrahydrofuran, Dioxane) to make the 10mM stock solution.

For the detection of various ROS, the surrogate of various ROS (500 μ M) was prepared separately as **2.3.** Probe **1** from various stock solution was added into the each solution as the final concentration of 10 μ M. The reaction was vortexed for 10 s, and incubated for 5 min at 37°C for measurement. The blank solution is absence of probe **1**.

In general, all six stock solvent (final concentration 0.1%) does not affect the reactions between probe 1 and ROS.





2.11. Fluorescence responses of probe 1 in various solvents to O₂⁻⁻ in anhydrous DMSO.

Probe **1** was dissolved in various solvents (Ethanol, Acetonitrile, Isopropanol, Acetone, Tetrahydrofuran, Dioxane) to make the 10mM stock solution.

For the detection of O_2^{-} , KO_2 was dissolved in DMSO to make the final concentration of 500 μ M. Probe **1** from various stock solution was added into the each solution as the final concentration of 10 μ M. The reaction was vortexed for 10 s, and incubated for 5 min at 37°C for measurement. The blank solution is absence of probe **1**.

In general, the stock solvent of Ethanol, Acetonitrile, Isopropanol, Dioxane, Tetrahydrofuran, Acetone, DMF, DMSO do not affect the reaction between probe **1** and O_2^{-} . In all of these groups, probe **1** were oxidized by O_2^{-} and fluorescence were turned on.

	Blank	Ethanol	MeCN	IPA	Dioxane	THF	Acetone	DMF	DMSO
в	741	4219	9162	6287	7221	5766	8659	5069	4808

Figure S9, The fluorescence intensity of Probe **1** (10 μ M) from various stock solution with 500 μ M O₂⁻ in anhydrous DMSO.

The reaction mixture of probe 1 and O_2^{-} were diluted in various pHs phosphate buffers, and the fluorescence was pH dependent, and at pH9 the fluorescence intensity is the most strong.



Figure S10, The fluorescence excitation and emission spectra of reaction mixture or compound **2** in various pHs of 200mM phosphate buffer.

The reaction mixture of probe **1** and O_2^{-} were diluted in various buffers, 0.01M PBS buffer, pH7.4, 0.1M Tris-HCl buffer, pH8.0, 0.1M HEPES buffer, pH7.4. All three groups show good fluorescence spectra.



Figure S11, The fluorescence excitation and emission spectra of reaction mixture in various buffers, 0.01M PBS buffer, pH7.4, 0.1M Tris-HCl buffer, pH8.0, 0.1M HEPES buffer, pH7.4.

1. G. Li, D. Zhu, Q. Liu, L. Xue and H. Jiang, Org Lett, 2013, 15, 2002-2005.

2. H. P. Nguyen, S. Stewart, M. N. Kukwikila, S. F. Jones, D. Offenbartl-Stiegert, S. Mao, S. Balasubramanian, S. Beck and S. Howorka, *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl*, 2019, **58**, 6620-6624.

3. D. Das, S. Roy and P. K. Das, Organic Letters, 2004, 6, 4133-4136.

4. N. Rodríguez, F. Manjolinho, M. F. Grünberg and L. J. Gooßen, *Chemistry - A European Journal*, 2011, **17**, 13688-13691.

5. S. Danishefsky, J. F. Kerwin and S. Kobayashi, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 1982, **104**, 358-360.

6. T. Fujiwara and M. Hayashi, J. Org. Chem., 2008, 73, 9161-9163.
7. D. B. Berkowitz, S. J. Danishefsky and G. K. Schulte, Journal of the American Chemical Society, 1992, 114, 4518-4529.