## **Electronic Supplementary Information**

# Polyoxometalate-like sub-nanometer molybdenum(VI)-oxo clusters for sensitive, selective and stable $H_2O_2$ sensing

Rongji Liu,\*ab Yuyang Luo,° Yuanhao Zheng,° Guangjin Zhang, b Carsten Streb\*ad

<sup>a</sup>Ulm University, Institute of Inorganic Chemistry I, Albert-Einstein-Allee 11, 89081 Ulm, Germany.

<sup>b</sup>CAS Key Laboratory of Green Process and Engineering, Institute of Process Engineering, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 100190, Beijing, China.

°School of Materials Science and Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China.

<sup>d</sup>Helmholtz-Institute Ulm for Electrochemical Energy Conversion, Helmholtzstr. 11, 89081 Ulm, Germany.

\*Emails: rongji.liu@uni-ulm.de; carsten.streb@uni-ulm.de

#### 1. Instrumentation

**Electrochemistry and electrochemical sensing experiments** were performed on a CHI 730E (CH Instruements Inc.) and PalmSens4 (PalmSens BV, Netherlands) potentiostat.

**General remarks:** All chemicals were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, ABCR or ACROS and were of reagent grade. The chemicals were used without further purification unless stated otherwise.

### 2. Experimental section

#### 2.1 Synthesis of samples 1, 2 and 3

**Synthesis of composite 1-1.** Composite **1-1** was synthesized using commercial silica gel powder with pore size of 150 Å (Sigma-Aldrich) as a hard template. Typically, 2.555 g (1.4 mmol) of phosphomolybdic acid hydrate ([ $H_3PMo_{12}O_{40}$ ]·x $H_2O$  (= $PMo_{12}$ ) Alfa Aesar) was dissolved in 5 g of water, then 1.25 g (3.63 mmol) of sucrose (Merck Millipore (Calbiochem) ) was added and dissolved. Finally, 1.0 g of silica powder was dispersed in the above solution and stirred overnight at room temperature. The mixture was heated in air to 100 °C for 6 h and subsequently to 160 °C for another 6 h. This impregnation process was repeated with a second solution containing 0.8 g (2.32 mmol) of sucrose and 1.643 g (0.9 mmol) of PMo<sub>12</sub> in 5 g of water. The air-dried materials were carbonized at 900 °C for 5 h under Ar at a heating rate of 5 °C/min. To remove the silica template, the as-prepared composites were stirred in 50 ml of 10% aqueous hydrofluoric acid ( $HF_{aq}$ ,  $\geq$ 48%, Sigma-Aldrich) for 48 h, then washed with water and ethanol three times respectively, and finally dried at 100 °C overnight. Note that hydrofluoric acid is toxic and necessary handling precautions need to be taken.

**Synthesis of composite 1-2.** 0.1 g of **1-1** was dispersed in 10 ml of 10% aqueous  $HNO_3$  (~65%, ACROS) and stirred for 5 hours at 50 °C. The powder was filtered off, washed with water (4x) and dried at 100 °C overnight, giving solid, dry composite **1-2**.

**Synthesis of composite 1.** Composite **1-2** (25 mg) was mixed with 0.25 g of 50 wt% aqueous cyanamide solution as a nitrogen source (99 %, Aldrich) and 0.25 g water. The mixture was stirred overnight and left to dry at 30 °C in air for 48 h. The dried powder was firstly calcined at 550 °C for 4 h (heating rate 4 °C/min) and then at 650 °C for 3 h (heating rate 4 °C/min) in Ar to obtain composite **1**.

Synthesis of composite 2 and 3. Mesoporous carbon (MC), oxidized mesoporous carbon (OMC) and nitrogen-doped mesoporous carbon (NMC, hereafter: 2) references were prepared following the same method as 1 by using concentrated sulfuric acid (96%, ACROS) as carbonization catalyst. Composite 3 was prepared by physical mixing (prolonged manual grinding) of 2 with commercial  $MoO_3$  (99.5%, Alfa Aesar, the loading amount of Mo was similar to the loading of 1 (10.4 wt%)).

#### 2.2 H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> sensing application

**1)**  $H_2O_2$  sensor based on 1-modified glassy carbon (GC) electrode. 2 mg of the finely ground catalyst (1 or reference samples 2, 3) was dispersed in 400 µL anhydrous ethanol ([catalyst] = 5 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) by sonication for 1 h to form a homogeneous ink. 7.5 µL of the above catalyst ink 1 was loaded onto a glassy carbon rotating disk electrode (RDE, the loading of the catalysts was 0.3 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>). Cyclic voltammetric (CV) and amperometric measurements were performed on a CHI 730E potentiostat. A standard three-electrode cell was used and was operated at room temperature. A platinum foil and saturated calomel electrode (SCE) were used as counter and reference electrode, respectively. The prepared thin-film modified RDE was used as the working electrode. The electrolyte, consisting of a solution of 0.1 M phosphate buffer solution (PBS, pH 7.0), was saturated with ultrahigh-purity Ar for 30 min before CV measurements and an Ar atmosphere was kept over the solution in the cell during amperometric measurements. In steady-state chronoamperometric (CA) experiment, the potential was set as at -0.3 V vs. SCE with an electrode rotating speed of 1600 rpm, and the current-time curves were recorded after a constant background current had been established.

**2)**  $H_2O_2$  sensor based on 1-modified Screen-Printed Electrode (SPE). CV and CA measurements were conducted by an electrochemical station (PalmSens4, PalmSens BV, Netherlands) with a three-electrode-system on a SPE (DRP-110, DropSens). The working electrode (diameter = 4 mm, catalyst loading = 0.3 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>) and the counter electrode of the SPE were made by carbon, reference electrode was made by silver. Different amounts of  $H_2O_2$  was added to PBS saturated with N<sub>2</sub> to get a series of solutions with different concentrations. In the CV and CA measurements, 50 µL of  $H_2O_2$  solution was dropped on the surface of SPE as the electrolyte. CV measurements were taken at the potential range between -1.0 V and 0.5 V. CA measurements were taken at -0.6 V with the  $H_2O_2$  solutions added and substituted successively.

#### 3. Supplementary Figures and Tables



**Figure S1**. Electrochemical study of the  $H_2O_2$  detection by **2** and **3** deposited on a rotating disk electrode (RDE). a) CVs of the **2**-modified RDE (0.3 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>) in 0.1 M aqueous PBS (pH = 7) at 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup>; [ $H_2O_2$ ] = 0 to 15 mM. b) The corresponding calibration plot based on Fig. S1a, currents determined at -0.65 V vs. SCE. c) CVs of the **3**-modified RDE (0.3 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>) in 0.1 M aqueous PBS (pH = 7) at 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup>; [ $H_2O_2$ ] = 0 to 15 mM. d) The corresponding calibration plot based on Fig. S1c, currents determined at -0.65 V vs. SCE.

Material	Electrolyte	Detection limit (M)	Linear range (M)	Sensitivity (µA mM <sup>-1</sup> ·cm <sup>-</sup> <sup>2</sup> )	Response time (s)	Reference
[Mo-oxo]n/NPC	0.1 M PBS (pH 7.0)	2.3 × 10 <sup>-7</sup>	5 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> - 5 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	2211.8	2	This work
Pt–PdBNC	0.1 M PBS (pH 6.9)	8.7 × 10 <sup>-7</sup>	5 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> - 6 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	804	2	1
SnO <sub>2</sub> - rGO	0.1 M PBS (pH 7.4)	4.78 × 10 <sup>-7</sup>	5 × 10 <sup>-7</sup> - 8 × 10 <sup>-4</sup>	406	2	2
HRP-Au NCs	50 mM glycine bu⊡er (pH 9.0)	3.0 × 10 <sup>-8</sup>	1 × 10 <sup>-7</sup> - 1 × 10 <sup>-4</sup>	-	-	3
TMB/Fe28	HCI (pH 2.0)	5.24 × 10 <sup>-7</sup>	$2.62 \times 10^{-5} - 1.57 \times 10^{-4}$	-	-	4
BSA-Ag NCs		3.0 × 10 <sup>-7</sup>	6 × 10 <sup>-7</sup> - 1 × 10 <sup>-4</sup>	-	-	5
Pd nanocluster	Britton- Robinson buffer (pH 7)	-	-	102.1 ± 2.32	-	6

Table S1. Comparison of the performance of different  $H_2O_2$  biosensors based on metal/metal oxide clusters.

Notes: Pt–Pd bimetallic nanoclusters (Pt–PdBNC); Horseradish peroxidase-gold nanoclusters (HRP–Au NCs); 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB); bovine serum albumin (BSA)-stabilized silver nanoclusters (BSA-Ag NCs).

Sensing Performance of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> with 1-modified SPE Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was applied to study the electroactivity of 1 towards H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. The test was taken on a screen printed electrode (SPE), and 50 µL H2O2 solution was dropped on the SPE. Figure S1 shows the CV curves in the different concentrations and absence of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. After the addition of 1, 2 and 3 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, the cathodic peak current (between -0.5 V and -0.7 V vs. RHE) increases and the anodic peak current (between -0.1 V and 0.1 V vs. RHE) decreases with the increasing of concentration of H2O2, which indicates the reversibility of the catalytic process. The cathodic peak current is higher than anodic peak current. Therefore, CA test was conducted at a constant potential -0.6 V vs. Ag. As shown in Figure S2, the minimum and maximum detected concentration of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> are 10 µM and 100 mM, respectively. Although current response of sensor is rapid (ca. 2 s), 700 s sensing time is applied to each concentration of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for achieving steady current. The sudden increase of current can be attributed to the addition of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> solution, which contains dissolved oxygen. The instant currents at 650 s of 700 s are selected as the data of respective concentration of  $H_2O_2$ , the relevant linear response was shown in Figure S3. The newly-synthesized 1 exhibits good linear response and the linear equation is lg(I(mA)) = 1.102lg(C(mM)) - 2.842 (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.995). To further study the stability of **1**, the CA test was conducted by the same sensor after storage under ambient conditions for 2 months. It shows similar response with the linear equation of lg(I(mA)) = 1.043 lg(C(mM)) - 2.731 (R<sup>2</sup>=0.997). Those results indicate that 1 offers sensitive, fast and stable response to  $H_2O_2$ .



**Figure S2**. CVs of the **1**-(0.3 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>) modified SPE in aqueous PBS (pH = 7) at 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup> in the presence of  $H_2O_2$  concentrations of 0 to 3 mM.



**Figure S3**. Typical amperometric responses of the **1**-modified SPE upon addition of aliquots of  $H_2O_2$  at -0.6 V vs. Ag in aqueous PBS (pH = 7).



**Figure S4**. The corresponding calibration plot of steady-state currents against concentrations of  $H_2O_2$  based on Figure S3.

#### Reference

- 1 X. Niu, C. Chen, H. Zhao, Y. Chai and M. Lan, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2012, **36**, 262–266.
- 2 C. Zhang, L. Li, J. Ju and W. Chen, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2016, **210**, 181–189.
- 3 F. Wen, Y. Dong, L. Feng, S. Wang, S. Zhang and X. Zhang, *Anal. Chem.*, 2011, **83**, 1193–1196.
- 4 M. J. Wei, J. Q. Fu, B. Li, K. Z. Shao, H. Y. Zang, X. H. Wang and Z. M. Su, *New J. Chem.*, 2019, **43**, 13430–13436.
- 5 G. Yue, S. Li, W. Liu, F. Ding, P. Zou, X. Wang, Q. Zhao and H. Rao, *Sensors Actuators, B Chem.*, 2019, **287**, 408–415.
- 6 C. Hegarty, S. McKillop, T. Dooher, D. Dixon and J. Davis, *IEEE Sensors Lett.*, 2019, **3**, 1–4.