# **Supporting Information**

# Pentanuclear Clusters Resembling the Cubane-Dangler Connectivity in Native

# **Oxygen-Evolving Center of Photosystem II**

Chao Yang, Shenyu Wang, Fusheng Sai, Dingqi Liu, Fuxing Sun, Yu Gu and Gang Wu\*

## **Experimental section**

## Materials and methods

All chemicals were obtained commercially without further purification. *Caution! Although no such sign was observed during the present work, perchlorate salts are potentially explosive and should be handled with care and in small quantities.* 

Elemental analysis (C, H and N) was carried out using a Perkin-Elmer 2400 Elemental Analyzer. ICP-AES analyses were performed on a PerkinElmer OPTIMA 3300DV ICP spectrometer. Electrospray mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) measurements were carried out in the positive ion mode using an Orbitrap Fusion<sup>TM</sup> Tribrid<sup>TM</sup> mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA) that was equipped with an electrospray ionization (ESI) source. Mass spectra were collected in the mass range m/z 200-2000. The heated ion capillary was maintained at 320 °C. The electrochemical measurements were conducted on a Bio-Logic VSP electrochemical workstation. Cyclic voltammograms were recorded on ca. 1 mM solutions of the relevant complexes at 25 °C with a platinum wire counter electrode, a Ag/Ag+ reference electrode (0.01 M AgNO<sub>3</sub>, 0.1 M nBu<sub>4</sub>NPF<sub>6</sub> in CH<sub>3</sub>CN), and a 3.0 mm glassy carbon electrode disc. The electrolyte solutions were 0.1 M nBu<sub>4</sub>NPF<sub>6</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>:DMF (20:1) for all compounds. All reported values are referenced to an internal ferrocene/ferrocenium couple.

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction measurements for compound 1 and 3 were carried out using a Bruker D8 QUEST system with Mo-K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å) at 150 K. Data of compound 4 were collected on a MarCCD mx300 in the National Center for Protein Sciences Shanghai at the Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility at 100 K. The diffraction data of compound 4 were transformed by APEX3 (The file type of the diffraction pictures was changed from name.mccd to name.sfrm). The raw data collection and reduction were done using APEX3 software.<sup>1</sup> Absorption corrections were applied using SADABS. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques based on F2 using the SHELXS-2014 program.<sup>2</sup> All the non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic parameters. Program SQUEEZE, a part of the PLATON package of crystallographic software, was used to calculate the solvent disorder area and remove its contribution to the overall intensity data.<sup>3</sup>

## Synthesis

2-(hydroxy(bipyridin-2-yl)methyl)phenol (H<sub>2</sub>L) was synthesized according to the literature.<sup>4</sup>

## Preparation of [Fe<sup>III</sup><sub>3</sub>Zn<sup>II</sup><sub>2</sub>L<sub>3</sub>O(OAc)<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>OH)](ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·(CH<sub>3</sub>OH)<sub>1.5</sub> (compound 1)

A solution of  $Fe(ClO_4)_3 \cdot xH_2O$  (105mg, 0.3mmol) in CH<sub>3</sub>OH (5mL) was added with stirring to a solution of  $Zn(OAc)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$  (74mg, 0.3mmol) and H<sub>2</sub>L (28mg, 0.2mmol) in CH<sub>3</sub>OH (10mL), followed by addition of Et<sub>3</sub>N (15 µL). After stirring for 2 h, the resulting dark-red solution was filtered and the filtrate was left undisturbed to slowly evaporate. After 4 days, black orthohexagnal crystals were obtained in ca. 22% yield (based on Fe). Elementalanalysis (%) Calcd for [Fe<sup>III</sup><sub>3</sub>Mn<sup>II</sup><sub>2</sub>L<sub>3</sub>O(OAc)<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>OH)(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]·(CH<sub>3</sub>OH)<sub>1.5</sub> (C<sub>59.5</sub>H<sub>55</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>23.5</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>3</sub>Zn<sub>2</sub>) : C 44.68, H 3.44, N 5.23, Fe 10.51, Zn 8.14; found: C 45.96, H 3.57, N 5.19, Fe 10.22, Zn 8.09.

## **Preparation of compound 2**

A solution of  $Fe(ClO_4)_3 \cdot xH_2O$  (70mg, 0.2mmol) in CH<sub>3</sub>OH (5mL) was added with stirring to a solution of Co(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (74mg, 0.3mmol) and H<sub>2</sub>L (28mg, 0.2mmol) in CH<sub>3</sub>OH (5mL), followed by addition of Et<sub>3</sub>N (15 µL). After stirring for 2 h, the resulting dark-red solution was filtered and the filtrate was left undisturbed to slowly evaporate. After 4 days, black orthohexagnal crystals were obtained in ca. 13% yield (based on Fe). Elementalanalysis (%) found for compound 2: C 45.32, H 3.88, N 5.03, Fe 10.32, Co 7.39.

## $Preparation of \ [Fe^{III}_{3}Mn^{II}_{2}L_{3}O(OAc)_{3}(CH_{3}OH)](ClO_{4})_{2}\cdot(CH_{3}OH)_{1.5} \ (compound \ 3)$

A solution of  $Fe(ClO_4)_3 \cdot xH_2O$  (70mg, 0.2mmol) in CH<sub>3</sub>OH (5mL) was added with stirring to a solution of Mn(OAc)<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O (74mg, 0.3mmol) and H<sub>2</sub>L (28mg, 0.1mmol) in CH<sub>3</sub>OH (5mL), followed by addition of Et<sub>3</sub>N (20 µL). After stirring for 2 h, the resulting dark-red solution was filtered and the filtrate was left undisturbed to slowly evaporate. After 4 days, black orthohexagnal crystals were obtained in ca. 27% yield (based on Fe). Elementalanalysis (%) Calcd for [Fe<sup>III</sup><sub>3</sub>Mn<sup>II</sup><sub>2</sub>L<sub>3</sub>O(OAc)<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>OH)(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]·(CH<sub>3</sub>OH)<sub>1.5</sub> (C<sub>59.5</sub>H<sub>55</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>23.5</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>3</sub>Mn<sub>2</sub>) : C 45.25, H 3.49, N 5.32, Fe 10.65, Mn 6.97; found: C 46.03, H 3.65, N 5.22, Fe 10.43, Mn 6.82.

## Preparation of [Fe<sup>III</sup><sub>3</sub>Ni<sup>II</sup><sub>2</sub>L<sub>3</sub>O(OAc)<sub>2</sub>(OCH<sub>3</sub>)(CH<sub>3</sub>OH)(H<sub>2</sub>O)](ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (compound 4)

A solution of Fe(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·xH<sub>2</sub>O (70mg, 0.2mmol) in CH<sub>3</sub>OH (5mL) was added with stirring to a solution of Ni(OAc)<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O(74mg, 0.3mmol) and H<sub>2</sub>L (28mg, 0.2mmol) in CH<sub>3</sub>OH (5mL), followed by addition of Et<sub>3</sub>N (15 µL). After stirring for 2 h, the resulting deep red solution was filtered and the filtrate was left undisturbed to slowly evaporate. After a week, dark red block crystals were obtained 9% ca. yield (based Fe). Elementalanalysis (%) Calcd in on for Fe<sup>III</sup><sub>3</sub>Ni<sup>II</sup><sub>2</sub>L<sub>3</sub>O(OAc)<sub>2</sub>(OCH<sub>3</sub>)(CH<sub>3</sub>OH)(H<sub>2</sub>O)(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (C<sub>57</sub>H<sub>48</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>3</sub>N<sub>6</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>O<sub>22</sub>) : C 44.85, H 3.15, N 5.51, Fe 11.02, Ni 7.74; found: C 46.18, H 3.83, N 5.01, Fe 10.53, Ni 7.55.

#### Scheme S1. Synthetic route of H<sub>2</sub>L.



compound	1	3	4		
Empirical formula	$C_{119}H_{110}Cl_4Fe_6N_{12}O_{47}Zn_4$	$C_{119}H_{110}Cl_4Fe_6Mn_4N_{12}O_{47}\\$	$C_{57}H_{48}Cl_2Fe_3N_6Ni_2O_{22}$		
Formula weight	3198.56	3156.84	1524.88		
Temperature/K	150.(2)	150.(2)	273(2)		
Crystal system	trigonal	trigonal	triclinic		
Space group	R <sup>3</sup>	R <sup>3</sup>	p1		
a/Å	13.0721(3)	13.1328(3)	14.1061(10)		
b/Å	13.0721(3)	13.1328(3)	14.4531(9)		
c/Å	63.6995(19)	63.2624(19)	17.9914(12)		
α/°	90	90	79.977(2)		
β/°	90	90	68.320(2)		
γ/°	120	120	72.495(2)		
Volume/Å <sup>3</sup>	9426.6(5)	9449.1(5)	3242.5(4)		
Z	3	3	2		
$\rho_{calc}/g/cm^3$	1.690	1.664	1.562		
µ/mm <sup>-1</sup>	1.601	1.236	1.387		
F(000)	4884.0	4824.0	1552.0		
Crystal size/mm <sup>3</sup>	$0.140\times0.130\times0.130$	$0.140 \times 0.120 \times 0.110$	$0.140 \times 0.120 \times 0.110$		
Radiation	MoK $\alpha$ ( $\lambda = 0.71073$ )	MoK $\alpha$ ( $\lambda = 0.71073$ )	MoK $\alpha$ ( $\lambda = 0.71073$ )		
2θ range for data collection/°	4.82 to 50.08	4.82 to 50.16	2.442 to 56.546		
Index ranges	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{-12} \leq h \leq 11,  \textbf{-15} \leq k \leq 15,  \textbf{-42} \\ \leq 1 \leq 75 \end{array}$	$\label{eq:10} \begin{array}{l} -10 \leq h \leq 9,  \text{-15} \leq k \leq 15,  \text{-75} \\ \leq 1 \leq 37 \end{array}$	$-17 \le h \le 17, -19 \le k \le 19, -23$ $\le 1 \le 23$		
Reflections collected	10641	10528	45714		
Independent reflections	$3702 [R_{int} = 0.0315, R_{sigma} = 0.0393]$	$\begin{array}{l} 3721 \ [R_{int} = 0.0270, \ R_{sigma} = \\ 0.0344] \end{array}$	12537 [ $R_{int} = 0.0827$ , $R_{sigma} = 0.0498$ ]		
Data/restraints/parameters	3702/13/317	3721/14/311	12537/276/804		
Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>	1.031	1.081	1.039		
Final R indexes [I>= $2\sigma$ (I)]	$R_1 = 0.0406, wR_2 = 0.0957$	$R_1 = 0.0526$ , $wR_2 = 0.1300$	$R_1 = 0.0969, wR_2 = 0.2467$		
Final R indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.0530, wR_2 = 0.1016$	$R_1 = 0.0689, wR_2 = 0.1388$	$R_1 = 0.1184, wR_2 = 0.2709$		
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å-3	1.00/-1.45	1.80/-0.77	1.87/-2.85		

 Table S1 Crystallographic data for 1, 3 and 4

Bond	Length/Å	Bond	Length/Å	Bond	Length/Å	Bond	Length/Å	Bond	Length/Å
Fe1-O2	1.851(5)	Fe2-O4	1.894(5)	Fe3-O12	1.895(5)	Ni1-O5	2.026(4)	Ni2-O1	2.021(5)
Fe1-O7	1.988(5)	Fe2-O6	1.965(6)	Fe3-O10	1.982(7)	Ni1-N3	2.059(5)	Ni2-09	2.030(5)
Fe1-O12	2.012(5)	Fe2-O11	1.993(6)	Fe3-O11	1.986(6)	Ni1-N5	2.085(6)	Ni2-N6	2.051(6)
Fe1-O3	2.048(5)	Fe2-O12	2.054(5)	Fe3-O8	1.996(6)	Nil-Nl	2.090(6)	Ni2-O12	2.085(4)
Fe1-O1	2.080(4)	Fe2-O5	2.116(5)	Fe3-O13	2.076(7)	Nil-Ol	2.112(4)	Ni2-N2	2.114(5)
Fe1-N4	2.187(6)	Fe2-O3	2.232(5)	Fe3-O14	2.106(6)	Ni1-O3	2.126(5)	Ni2-O5	2.147(4)
Average	2.028	Average	2.032	Average	2.007	Average	2.083	Average	2.075
Calculated Fe/Ni valences									
Fe1 -	+3(0.074)	Fe2 -	+3(0.090)	Fe3 +	-3(0.124)	Nil -	+2(0.070)	Ni2 -	+2(0.100)

**Table S2** Fe-O/Fe-N and Ni-O/Ni-N bond distance (Å) and calculated Fe/Ni valences<sup>5</sup> for compound **4** 



**Figure S1.** "Cubane-plus-dangler" structures of compound **4** (a) and the native OEC (b), highlighting the coordination environment of the dangling ions and their connectivity with the parent cubane core. (Fe: dark red; Ni: light blue; Ca: light gray; Mn: purple; C: dim gray; O: red)



**Figure S2.** ESI-MS of compound **2** in DCM/DMF (20:1). Charge states are indicated as  $2^+$ . Experimental (black) and simulated (red)mass spectra of the isotopic envelopes are exhibited. The formulas of each species are given in the table.



**Figure S3.** ESI-MS of compound **3** in DCM/DMF (20:1). Charge states are indicated as  $2^+$ . Experimental (black) and simulated (red)mass spectra of the isotopic envelopes are exhibited. The formulas of each species are given in the table.



Figure S4. ESI-MS of compound 4 dissolved in DCM/DMF (20:1).



**Fig. S5** Cyclic voltammograms corresponding to the  $[Fe^{III}_{3}M^{II}_{2}]/[Fe^{III}_{2}Fe^{II}M^{II}_{2}]$  redox couple (M<sup>II</sup> = Zn<sup>II</sup>, Co<sup>II</sup>, and Mn<sup>II</sup>) in DCM/DMF (20:1). Scan rate is 100 mV/s. Potentials are referenced to Fc<sup>+</sup>/Fc.



Figure S6. Cyclic voltammograms with varying scan rates of compound 1 (Fe<sub>3</sub>Zn<sub>2</sub>).



Figure S7. Cyclic voltammograms with varying scan rates of compound 2 (Fe<sub>3</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>).



Figure S8. Cyclic voltammograms with varying scan rates of compound 3 (Fe<sub>3</sub>Mn<sub>2</sub>).



Figure S9. ChemDraws for compound 1 (a) and compound 4 (b). (Ligands are omitted for clarity.)

#### References

- 1. C. H. Görbitz, Acta Crystallographica Section B: Structural Science, 1999, 55, 1090-1098.
- 2. G. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Adv., 2015, 71, 3-8.
- 3. A. Spek, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Struct. Chem., 2015, 71, 9-18.
- 4. Y. Zhang, C. Yang, F. Sun, G. Wu and S. Qiu, Inorg. Chem. Commun., 2019, 106, 6-10.
- 5. I. D. Brown, The Chemical Bond in Inorganic Chemistry, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002.