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## Section 1. Synthesis of chromophores

Enantiomers of 10,10'-bis[4-(4,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)phenyl]-2,2'-dimethyl$10 H, 10^{\prime} H-9,9^{\prime}$-spirobi[acridine] (1) consisting of a 2-2'-dimethyl-10H,10' $\mathrm{H}-9,9^{\prime}$ 'spirobi[acridine] moiety with chiral carbon as a donating unit and a 2-(4-bromophenyl)-4,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-triazine as an acceptor unit were synthesized. An achiral structure based on the conjugated back bone of $\mathbf{1}$ has been reported as a chromophore with a high external electroluminescence quantum yield of $35 \%$ in organic light emitting diodes. ${ }^{5}$ Hence, we selected this state-of the-art back bone to study the influence of vibrations on $f_{\mathrm{f}}$. To synthesize 1, racemic 2-2'-dimethyl-10H,10'H-9, $9^{\prime}$-spirobi[acridine] (1d) (Fig 1a) was first obtained by nucleophilic addition promoted by $n$-butyllithium (see Figs. S1-S10, ESI $\dagger$ ). ${ }^{14}$ Enantiomers of $\mathbf{1 d}$ were separated by chiral column chromatography (see Fig. S11, ESI $\dagger$ ). The enantiomers of 1 were synthesized by Buckwald-Hartwig amination with enantiomers of 1d and 2-(4-bromophenyl)-4,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-triazine (see Figs. S12-S15, ESI $\dagger$ ). Chromophores were identified using proton nuclear magnetic resonance ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (ECA-500, JEOL, Japan) spectroscopy and high-resolution electrospray (ESI) analysis (JMS-T100 AccuTOF, Jeol). Detailed information regarding synthesis and purification procedures are as follows.

## 2-( $p$-Tolyamino)benzonic acid:

p-Toluidine ( $2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 23.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-bromobenzonic acid ( $4.67 \mathrm{~g}, 23.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), copper(I) oxide ( $1.72 \mathrm{~g}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $N$-methylmorpholine ( $3.90 \mathrm{~mL}, 35.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in dioxane $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred under nitrogen at reflux $\left(100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for 3 h . After the mixture cooled to room temperature (RT), 1 N sodium hydroxide ( $\mathrm{NaOH}, 155 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added to the solution. The 1 N NaOH phase ( 155 mL ) was extracted with dichloromethane ( 30 mL $\times 3)$. After addition of 1 N hydrochloric acid $(\mathrm{HCl})$ the pH of the aqueous phase became 2 and a precipitate appeared on standing for 1 h , which was filtered to yield $2-(p-$ tolyamino)benzonic acid ( $4.48 \mathrm{~g}, 84.4 \%$ ) as a pale yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-D ${ }_{6}$, $500 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta=9.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.19$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{t}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO, 125 MHz ): $\delta=170.53$, $148.25,138.23,134.72,133.07,132.38,130.48,122.72,117.41,113.84,112.45,20.97$; HRMS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, 228.102; found, 228.101.

## 2-Methylacridin-9(10H)-one:

2-( $p$-Tolyamino) benzonic acid $(5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 22.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and polyphosphoric acid $(45.7 \mathrm{~g})$ were stirred at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 h under ambient conditions. After the mixture cooled to RT, iced water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added followed by 1 N NaOH . The pH of the solution became 7, and a precipitate appeared on standing, which was filtered to yield 2-methylacridin$9(10 \mathrm{H})$-one ( $3.61 \mathrm{~g}, 78.3 \%$ ) as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-D $6,500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=11.67$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 7.22-7.25 (m, 1H), $2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO, 125 MHz ): $\delta=177.08,141.32$, 139.52, 135.46, 133.76, 130.62, 126.54, 125.60, 121.26, 120.90, 120.88, 117.86, 117.81, 21.14; HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] ${ }^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{1}, 210.092$; found, 210.091 .

## 10-[(2-Methoxyethoxy)methyl]-2-methylacridin-9(10H)-one:

Sodium hydride ( $229.3 \mathrm{mg}, 9.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-methylacridin-9(10H)-one ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 4.80$ mmol ) were taken in anhydrous $N, N$-dimethylformamide (DMF) ( 15 mL ), stirred under nitrogen at RT for 45 min . After slow addition of 1-(chloromethoxy)-2-methoxyethane $(1.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ to the solution over 10 min , the solution was stirred at RT for 2 h . After addition of water to the solution, the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate $(30 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$ and the combined extracts were dried over sodium sulfate $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and filtered. Evaporation of the solvent gave the crude product. The resulting crude material was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, acetone/hexane; 20:80 $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ as the eluent) to yield 10-[(2-methoxyethoxy)methyl]-2-methylacridin- $9(10 H)$-one as a pale yellow solid ( $811 \mathrm{mg}, 56.8 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=8.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.33(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=178.40,142.34,140.51,135.39,133.82$, 131.75, 127.63, 126.92, 122.34, 122.27, 121.83, 115.24, 115.17, 76.96, 72.20, 67.26, 59.20, 20.63; HRMS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, 298.144; found, 298.143 .

## tert-Butyl phenyl(p-tolyl)carbamate:

4-Methyl- $N$-phenylaniline ( $2.01 \mathrm{~g}, 11.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and di-tert-butyl dicarbonate $(4.88 \mathrm{~g}, 22.4$ mmol ) were dissolved in anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (THF) ( 60 mL ) and stirred under nitrogen at RT for 24 h . After addition of water to the solution, the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate $(30 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$ and the combined extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and filtered. Evaporation of the solvent gave the crude product. The resulting
crude material was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, dichloromethane/hexane; $40: 60 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ as an eluent) to yield tert-butyl phenyl( $p$ tolyl)carbamate as a white solid ( $2.07 \mathrm{~g}, 66.5 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=7.27-$ 7.31 (m, 2H), 7.20-7.22 (m, 2H), 7.08-7.16 (m, 5H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 1.44 (s, 9H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=153.95,143.21,140.48,135.41,129.35,128.62,126.92,126.78$, 125.41, 80.99, 28.25, 20.96; HRMS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{Na}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, 306.147; found, 306.147.

## 2-2' - dimethyl-10H,10' $H-9,9^{\prime}$-spirobi[acridine] (1d):

tert-Butyl phenyl(p-tolyl)carbamate (700 mg, 2.35 mmol$), N, N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$, tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA) ( $0.56 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were taken in anhydrous THF ( 5.5 mL ) under nitrogen. $n$-Buthyllithium ( $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ ) $1.6 \mathrm{M}(3.53 \mathrm{mmol})$ in hexane ( 2.21 ml ) was added to the solution at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen and stirred for $3 \mathrm{~h} .10-[(2-$ methoxyethoxy)methyl]-2-methylacridin-9(10H)-one ( $667 \mathrm{mg}, 2.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF (14 mL ) was added to the solution with stirring at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen for 4 h . After heating the solution to room-temperature, $0.5 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(2.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the resulting mixture stirred for 1 h before a further addition of $0.5 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(14.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ to the solution with stirring for 48 h . An aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution was added to the mixture and the pH of the resulting solution became approximately 7 . The aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and filtered. Evaporation of the solvent yielded the crude deuterated product. The resulting crude material was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, dichloromethane/hexane; $50: 50 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ as the eluent) to give a white solid. The white solid was again purified by column chromatography (silica gel, ethyl acetate/hexane; $16: 84 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ as the eluent) to yield $\mathbf{1 d}$ as a white solid ( $186.3 \mathrm{mg}, 21.2$ \%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-D ${ }_{6}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=8.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73-6.79(\mathrm{~m}$ 6H), 6.66-6.67 (m, 2H), 6.50-6.55 (m, 4H), 1.97 (s, 6H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta=135.81,133.65,132.58,132.35,130.76,130.31,129.81,127.82,126.75,120.51$, 113.00, 47.08, 20.82; HRMS-ESI (m/Z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 375.186$; found, 375.190. Enantiomers of 1d were separated with a Shimadzu (Kyoto, Japan) HPLC system (an LC-10AT pump or an LC-6AD semi-preparative pump with a SPD-10A UVdetector) equipped with Chirakpak IA (Daicel, Japan) with hexane:isopropanol $=9: 1$. The chiral purities of the separated enantiomers 1 and 2 of $\mathbf{1 d}$ were $89 \%$ ee and $83 \%$ ee (Figure

S1), respectively.
Enantiomer 1 of $10,10^{\prime}$-bis[4-(4,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)phenyl]-2,2' -dimethyl-10H,10' H-9,9' -spirobi[acridine] (Enantiomer 1 of 1):

Enantiomer 1 of $\mathbf{1 d}$ ( $31.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.083 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-(4-bromophenyl)-4,6-diphenyl-1,3,5triazine ( $78.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sodium t-butoxide ( $19.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tris(dibenzylideneaceton)dipalladium $(0)\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}\right](1.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0017 \mathrm{mmol})$, tri- $t$ butylphosphine ( $0.010 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.0036 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry toluene $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at reflux $\left(110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ under a nitrogen atmosphere for 24 h . After cooling, the solvent was evaporated to dryness, and dichloromethane was added. The organic phase was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3 $\times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and purified by column chromatography (silica gel; dichloromethane/hexane; 20/80 as the eluent) to yield enantiomer 1 of $\mathbf{1}$ as a yellow powder ( $26.7 \mathrm{mg}, 32.6 \%$ ). The powder was further purified by sublimation. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=9.12-9.09(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.84-8.86(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-$ 7.68 (m, 12H), $7.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.78-$ $6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74-6.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,1.0,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 2.15$ (s, 6 H$) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=171.91,171.12,145.55,138.25,136.46,136.32$, $136.09,133.02,132.75,132.72,131.93,131.85,131.80,131.50,130.14,129.06,128.76$, 127.64, 126.60, 120.83, 113.94, 113.81, 47.03, 20.74; HRMS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{69} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~N}_{8}, 989.408$; found, 989.406 ; Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{69} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{8}$ : C, 83.78; H, 4.89; N, 11.33. Found C, 84.01; H, 5.09; N, 11.59.

Enantiomer 2 of $\mathbf{1 0 , 1 0}{ }^{\prime}$-bis[4-(4,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)phenyl]-2,2 ${ }^{\prime}$ -dimethyl-10H,10' H-9,9' $\mathbf{9}^{\prime}$-spirobi[acridine] (Enantiomer 2 of 1):

Enantiomer 1 of 1d ( $20.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.054 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-(4-bromophenyl)-4,6-diphenyl-1,3,5triazine ( $53.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sodium $t$-butoxide $(15.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(1.8$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.0020 \mathrm{mmol})$, tri- $t$-butylphosphine ( $0.010 \mathrm{ml}, 0.0036 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry toluene ( 1.0 mL ) was heated under reflux at approximately $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a nitrogen atmosphere for 24 h . After cooling, the solvent was evaporated to dryness, and dichloromethane was added. The organic phase was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and purified by column chromatography (silica gel; dichloromethane/hexane; 20/80 as the eluent) to yield enantiomer 2 of $\mathbf{1}$ as a yellow powder ( $25.0 \mathrm{mg}, 44.6 \%$ ). The powder was further purified by sublimation. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=9.12-9.09(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.84-8.86$
(m, 8H), 7.70-7.72 (m, 4H), 7.61-7.68 (m, 12H), $7.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,1.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $1.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.78-6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.75-6.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0$, $1.0,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=171.89$, $171.10,145.52,138.21,136.44,136.30,136.06,133.02,132.75,132.72,131.92,131.84$, $131.80,131.48,130.13,129.05,128.76,127.63,126.59,120.83,113.92,113.80,47.00$, 20.74; HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M+H]+ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{69} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~N}_{8}, 989.408$; found, 989.407.

## Section 2. Other experimental procedures

## 2-1. Film preparation

A solution of chromophore $1(0.2 \mathrm{mg})$ and 1,3-bis(carbazol-9-yl)benzene (mCP) in chloroform ( 0.25 mL ) was spin casted on to a quartz substrate in condition of 750 rpm for 60 s to prepare a $10 \mathrm{wt} \%$ chromophore 1-doped mCP film. The mCP film doped with $10 \mathrm{wt} \%$ enantiomer 1 of chromophore 1 and an mCP film doped with $10 \mathrm{wt} \%$ enantiomer 2 of chromophore 1 were prepared by the same procedure.

## 2-2. Measurements of photophysical properties

Absorption spectra were measured with an absorption spectrometer (V-630, Jasco, Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Emission spectra and quantum yields were measured with an absolute luminescence quantum yield measurement system (C9920-02G, Hamamatsu Photonics, Shizuoka, Japan). The emission lifetime was determined using a compact fluorescence lifetime spectrometer (Quantaurus-Tau, Hamamatsu Photonics). Circular dichroism (CD) and circularly polarized luminescence (CPL) spectra were measured using a circular dichroism dispersion meter (J-720, JASCO International Co., Ltd.) and a spectrofluoropolarimeter (CPL-200, JASCO International Co., Ltd.), respectively.

## 2-3. Quantum chemical calculations

The structure of $(S)$-chromophore $\mathbf{1}$ was optimized at the lowest singlet excited state $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ with Gaussian09 based on time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT) using the B3LYP functional and $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ basis set. The energy difference between $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ $\left(\Delta E_{\mathrm{ST}}\right)$ and the oscillator strength for fluorescence $\left(f_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ was calculated based on the optimized $S_{1}$ structure. To calculate physical parameters relating to the dihedral angle between the donor and acceptor units $(\theta)$ and fluorescence, a variety of coordinates were constructed by changing $\theta$ based on the coordinates optimized at $\mathrm{S}_{1}$. The energy change from the optimized $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ coordinate $(E)$, the probability of the chromophore based on the Boltzmann distribution at room-temperature $(P)$, the optical rotation intensity for fluorescence $\left(R_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$, and $f_{\mathrm{f}}$ were calculated at each coordinate by TD-DFT [Gaussian09/B3LYP/6-31G(d)]. The average $f_{\mathrm{f}}\left(<f_{\mathrm{f}}>\right)$ was determined by integrating $f_{\mathrm{f}} P$ over $\theta$. To calculate physical parameters relating $\theta$ to the absorbance of the first absorption band, the $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ geometry was optimized by DFT [Gaussian09/B3LYP/6-31G(d)]. After a variety of coordinates were constructed by changing $\theta$ based on the structure
optimized at $\mathrm{S}_{0}$, the energy change $\left(E_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ depending on $\theta$ was calculated by DFT [Gaussian09/B3LYP/6-31G(d)] and the probability of the chromophore existing at $\theta\left(P_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ was determined based on the Boltzmann distribution at room-temperature. The oscillator strength for absorption $\left(f_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ and the optical rotation intensity for absorption $\left(R_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ for the transition between the donor and acceptor with $\theta$ were calculated at each coordinate depending on $\theta$ by TD-DFT [Gaussian09/B3LYP/6-31G(d)]. The average $f_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\left\langle f_{\mathrm{a}}>\right)\right.$ was determined by integrating $f_{\mathrm{a}} P_{\mathrm{a}}$ over $\theta$.

Although the calculation of the transition dipole moment by TD-DFT based on the B3LYP functional may generally cause slightly different values because of different delocalization of HOMO and LUMO (E. R. Johnson, P. Mori-Sanchez, A. J. Cohen, W. T. Yang, J. Chem. Phys., 2008, 129, 204112), the estimated $\left\langle f_{\mathrm{p}}\right\rangle$ based on TD-DFT with the B3LYP functional without intermolecular interactions was comparable to that optically measured $f_{\mathrm{f}}$ in toluene solution, for which restriction of the conformation change was not considered.

## 2-4. Determination of theoretical dissymmetry factors of CPL and CD

The dissymmetry factor of CPL ( $g_{\text {lum }}$ ) and the dissymmetry factor of CD ( $g_{\text {abs }}$ ) are generally respectively expressed as ${ }^{17}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{l u m}=\frac{4\left|M_{f}\right|}{\left|\mu_{f}\right|} \cos \delta_{f}  \tag{1}\\
& g_{a b s}=\frac{4\left|M_{a}\right|}{\left|\mu_{a}\right|} \cos \delta_{a} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{M}_{\mathrm{f}(\mathbf{a})}$ is the magnetic transition dipole moment for fluorescence (absorption), $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{a})}$ is the electric transition dipole moment for fluorescence (absorption), $\delta_{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{a})}$ is the angle between $\boldsymbol{M}_{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a})}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{f ( a )}}$. The optical rotation intensity for fluorescence (absorption) $\left[R_{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{a})}\right]$ is expressed as ${ }^{17}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{f(a)}=\left|\mu_{f(a)}\right|\left|M_{f(a)}\right| \cos \delta_{f(a)} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (1)-(2) convert into the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{l u m(a b s)}=4 \frac{R_{f(a)}}{\left|\mu_{f(a)}\right|^{2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$f_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $f_{\mathrm{a}}$ are generally respectively expressed as ${ }^{15}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{f}=\left(\frac{8 \pi^{2} m_{e} c\left\langle v_{f}\right\rangle}{3 h e^{2}}\right)\left|\mu_{f}\right|^{2},  \tag{5}\\
& f_{a}=\left(\frac{8 \pi^{2} m_{e} c\left\langle v_{a}\right\rangle}{3 h e^{2}}\right)\left|\mu_{a}\right|^{2}, \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m_{\mathrm{e}}$ is the quantity of electron, $c$ is the velocity of light, $\left\langle v_{f}\right\rangle$ is the average of fluorescence energy, $\left\langle v_{f}\right\rangle$ is the average of absorption energy at first absorption band, $h$ is Planck constant, and $e$ is the elementary charge. Equations (1)-(6) convert into the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{l u m(a b s)}=A \frac{R_{f(a)}}{\mu_{f(a)}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is a constant.
The $f_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ values of a reference chiral compound with a known $g_{\text {lum }}$ were calculated by TD-DFT [Gaussian09/B3LYP/6-31G(d)] with $A=4.11 \times 10^{-6} .{ }^{18}$ The calculated $f_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ values of $(S)$-chromophore 1 and $A=4.11 \times 10^{-6}$ values were used to determine the theoretical $g_{\text {lum }}$ of the $(S)$-chromophore $\mathbf{1}$. The determination procedures were performed for the $(S)$-chromophore $\mathbf{1}$ at a variety of $\theta$ values to construct the relationship between $g_{\text {lum }}$ and $\theta$. The average $g_{\text {lum }}\left(<g_{\text {lum }}>\right)$ was determined by integrating $g_{\text {lum }} P$ as a function of $\theta$. The calculated $f_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $R_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of the $(S)$-chromophore $\mathbf{1}$ and $A$ $=4.11 \times 10^{-6}$ values were used to determine the theoretical $g_{\text {abs }}$ of $(S)$-chromophore 1 . The determination procedures were performed for the $(S)$-chromophore $\mathbf{1}$ with a range of $\theta$ values to construct the relationship between $g_{\text {abs }}$ and $\theta$.

## Section 3. Supporting Figures.



Figure S1. 1H and 13C NMR spectra of 2-( $p$-Tolyamino)benzonic acid.


Figure S2. HRMS-ESI spectra of 2-( $p$-tolyamino) benzonic acid. Reserpine was added as a reference to check accuracy of values in equipment.


Figure S3. 1H and 13C NMR spectra of 2-methylacridin-9(10H)-one.


Figure S4. HRMS-ESI spectra of 2-methylacridin-9(10H)-one. Reserpine was added as a reference to check accuracy of values in equipment.


Figure S5. 1H and 13C NMR spectra of 10-[(2-methoxyethoxy)methyl]-2-methylacridin- $9(10 \mathrm{H})$-one.


Figure S6. HRMS-ESI spectra of 10-[(2-methoxyethoxy)methyl]-2-methylacridin$9(10 H)$-one. Reserpine was added as a reference to check accuracy of values in equipment.


Figure S7. 1H and 13C NMR spectra of tert-Butyl phenyl(p-tolyl)carbamate.


Figure S8. HRMS-ESI spectra of tert-butyl phenyl(p-tolyl)carbamate. Reserpine was added as reference to check accuracy of values in equipment.


Figure S9. 1H and 13C NMR spectra of 2-2'-dimethyl- $10 H, 10^{\prime} H-9,9^{\prime}$-spirobi[acridine] (1d).


Figure S10. HRMS-ESI spectra of 2-2'-dimethyl-10H, $10^{\prime} H-9,9^{\prime}$-spirobi[acridine] (1d). Reserpine was added as a reference to check accuracy of values in equipment.


Figure S11. Profiles of high-performance liquid chromatography for the prepared enantiomers of $\mathbf{1 d}$. Chiral purities of the separated enantiomers 1 (left) and 2 (right) were $89 \%$ ee and $83 \mathrm{ee} \%$, respectively.


Figure S12. 1H and 13C NMR spectra of Enantiomer 1 of 10,10'-bis[4-(4,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)phenyl]-2,2'-dimethyl-10H,10' $H$-9,9'-spirobi[acridine] (Enantiomer 1 of $\mathbf{1}$ ).


Figure S13. HRMS-ESI spectra of enantiomer 1 of 10,10'-bis[4-(4,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)phenyl]-2,2'-dimethyl-10H,10'H-9, $9^{\prime}$-spirobi[acridine] (enantiomer 1 of $\mathbf{1}$ ). Reserpine was added as a reference to check accuracy of values in equipment.


Figure S14. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of enantiomer 2 of 10,10 '-bis[4-(4,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)phenyl]-2,2'-dimethyl-10H,10'H-9,9'-spirobi[acridine] (enantiomer 2 of $\mathbf{1}$ ).


Figure S15. HRMS-ESI spectra of enantiomer 2 of 10,10'-bis[4-(4,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)phenyl]-2,2'-dimethyl-10H,10' $H$-9, ${ }^{\prime}$ '-spirobi[acridine] (enantiomer 2 of $\mathbf{1}$ ). Reserpine was added as reference to check accuracy of values in equipment.


Figure S16. Spectra of prompt fluorescence at RT and delayed emission spectra at 77 K of $10 \mathrm{wt} \%$ 1-doped mCP film.


Figure S17. Dependence of calculated photophysical parameters on $\theta$ for $\mathbf{1}$. The value of $\theta$ was changed from the optimized $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ geometry without changing other bond lengths or angles between atoms. a) $E_{\mathrm{a}}$ vs $\theta$ plots (blue), $P_{\mathrm{a}}$ vs $\theta$ plots (green), $f_{\mathrm{a}}$ vs $\theta$ plots (yellow), and $f_{\mathrm{a}} P_{\mathrm{a}}$ vs $\theta$ plots (red). b) $g_{\mathrm{abs}} P_{\mathrm{a}}$ vs $\theta$ plots. Calculated average value of $f_{\mathrm{a}}$ of chromophore 1 by integrating $f_{\mathrm{a}} P_{\mathrm{a}}$ was 0.028 . Optically measured $f_{\mathrm{a}}$ was determined to be 0.43 by substituting optically measured $\varepsilon(v)$ (in toluene) into $f_{\mathrm{a}}=4.32 \times 10^{-9} n^{-1} \int \varepsilon(v) d v$, where $n$ is the refractive index of toluene. Thus, $f_{\mathrm{a}}$ was greater than $f_{\mathrm{f}}$ in both the calculation and optical measurements.


Figure S18. $g_{\text {lum }}$ (top), CPL (middle) and emission (bottom) spectra of mCP films doped with $10 \mathrm{wt} \%$ of the enantiomers of $\mathbf{1}$.

