Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics. This journal is © the Owner Societies 2020

Pyroelectrically-driven chemical reactions described by a novel thermodynamic cycle – **Supplementary Information**

Mateo U. de Vivanco,*^{*a*} Matthias Zschornak,^{*a*} Hartmut Stöcker,^{*a*} Sven Jachalke,^{*a*} Erik Mehner,^{*a*} Tilmann Leisegang,^{*a,b*} and Dirk C. Meyer^{*a*}

Contents:

- Sec. S1: Olsen and Resistive Cycles
- Sec. S2: Calculation of the Overpotential
- Sec. S3: Calculated Pyroelectrochemical Yields
- Sec. S4: Pyroelectric Coefficients and Permittivities
- Sec. S5: Python Simulation Code

S1 Olsen and Resistive Cycles

The Olsen Cycle (Fig. S1a) comprises four steps in which the polarisation of the pyroelectric is varied in an externally applied electric field between two different temperatures. Starting at point 1, the polarisation of the material is intensified at constant low temperature by increasing the external electric field to E_{high} . In the process, heat (q'_2) is lost to the cold reservoir as a consequence of entropy reduction. From point 2, the temperature is increased to T_{hot} at constant E_{high} , which leads to a polarisation reduction in the pyroelectric. Along the line $3\rightarrow 4$ the applied electric field is diminished at constant (hot) temperature, so that the material is further discharged. At the same time, the pyroelectric takes up heat from the hot reservoir (q_2) . From point 4 charging restarts by cooling the pyroelectric to T_{cold} at constant electric field E_{low} .

The expression for the efficiency of the Olsen cycle yields lower values than that for the Carnot cycle, as there are two and not just one process steps with heat extraction from a hot source. If a heat regenerator is integrated which reuses the heat extracted from the pyroelectric in step $4\rightarrow 1$, the efficiency comes close to that of the Carnot process.¹

For some applications, it is not possible or necessary to apply an electric field together with temperature variations. By attaching a load resistor to the pyroelectric, the surface charges on it are delayed from short-circuiting, thus accumulating and giving rise to an induced electric field, which is the cause for the area in the *PE*-diagram in Fig. S1b. This mode of operation is called *the resistive cycle*. Even though its area is not as large as that in Fig. S1a, it shows that temperature variations alone – with no external electric field – still allow for the extraction of electrical work.

Although it was demonstrated that around a hundred times more energy can be gained from operation with the Olsen cycle, ² most researchers have used the resistive cycle as it is simpler to implement.





Fig. S1 Pyroelectric cycles for the extraction of work from temperature variations. (a) The Olsen cycle consists of $1\rightarrow 2$: isothermal charging, $2\rightarrow 3$: heating at constant electric field, $3\rightarrow 4$: isothermal discharging and $4\rightarrow 1$: cooling at constant field. q_1, q_2 : heat entering the system; q'_1, q'_2 : heat leaving the system; *W*: extractable work. (b) The resistive cycle for the extraction of work from temperature variations without an external electric field. q, q': heat entering/leaving the system, respectively; *W*: extractable work; V_{vac} : maximum voltage for a given temperature difference in vacuum.

^a Technische Universität Bergakademie Freiberg, Institut für Experimentelle Physik, Leipziger Straße 23, 09596 Freiberg, Germany. Fax: +49 3731 39 4314; Tel: +49 3731 39 3341; *E-mail: mateo.devivanco@physik.tu-freiberg.de

^b Samara State Technical University, Samara Center for Theoretical Materials Science, Molodogvardeyskaya Str. 244, 443100 Samara, Russia.

S2 Calculation of the Overpotential

Subject of this section is the choice for $V_n = 1.48$ V instead of E = 1.23 V for the overpotential. The starting point is eqn S1, which describes total entropy changes as the sum of the system and its surroundings. By multiplying it with -T, we get to eqn S2, where the quantities $-T\Delta S_{universe}$ and $-T\Delta S_{surroundings}$ are given the names ΔG and ΔH respectively (eqn S3). In this way, the significance of a negative sign for ΔG in spontaneous reactions becomes apparent as the need for the total entropy to increase – the driver for chemical reactions. The heat absorbed or emitted by the system ΔH must be taken from or given away to the surroundings, thus decreasing (or increasing) their entropy.

$$\Delta S_{\text{universe}} = \Delta S_{\text{surroundings}} + \Delta S_{\text{system}}$$
(S1)

$$-T\Delta S_{\text{universe}} = -T\Delta S_{\text{surroundings}} - T\Delta S_{\text{system}}$$
(S2)

$$\Delta G = \Delta H \qquad -T\Delta S \qquad (S3)$$

$$\Delta H = \Delta G + T \Delta S \tag{S4}$$

The reaction of interest is the hydrolysis of water (eqn S5). In this reaction, ΔH is very positive (285.8 kJ/mol at 25 °C) and so is ΔS (163.3 J/K mol), as 1.5 mol of gases are produced from a single molecule in the liquid state. ΔG (237.1 kJ/mol) is therefore positive (non-spontaneous) at temperatures lower than about 700 °C.

$$H_2O(1) \xrightarrow{energy} H_2(g) + \frac{1}{2}O_2(g), \qquad E^\circ = \frac{\Delta G^\circ}{-nF} = 1.23 \, V \qquad (S5)$$

$$V_{\rm n} = \frac{\Delta H}{-nF} = 1.48\,\rm V \qquad (S6)$$

The minimum amount of energy required to drive the reaction is given by ΔG . If this energy is provided by an electric potential, the potential must be equal or greater than $E^{\circ} = 1.23$ V. Thus, 1.23 V is the absolute minimum potential. However, if electrolysis is carried out under such conditions, the system will have to withdraw heat from the surroundings (and increase its entropy) in order to be able to expand the volume of the gaseous products.

Another possibility is to provide the total energy – both for reaction and for expansion (eqn S4). In this operation mode, no additional heat has to be withdrawn from the surroundings. The required voltage is called the thermoneutral potential $V_n = 1.48$ V at 25 °C (eqn S6).

Now let us come back to the pyroelectric system shown in Fig. 2. Water electrolysis does not take place at constant temperature but while cycling the pyroelectric. Perhaps it would be possible to operate pyroelectrolysis at 1.23 V during the heating phase of the cycle, since the additional entropy energy would be provided. However, reactions would be prohibited during the cooling phase. This is the reason why a minimum potential of V_n is assumed to be mandatory.

On the other hand the required bending of electronic bands – the predicted necessary (threshold) reaction potential V_{th} – will be considerably higher than V_{n} , so that the expansion energy will already be provided. Under this condition we can continue with E = 1.23 V.

S3 Calculated Pyroelectrochemical Yields

Table S1 shows input numbers used for the pyroelectrocatalytic simulation as well as simulated outputs. Whenever material parameters were provided by authors, these numbers were taken. Else, they were obtained from further literature. The pyroelectric coefficient and permittivity for BaTiO₃ are temperature dependent and are displayed in Fig. S2a and S2b.

The calculated value $\eta_{H_2,max} > 1$ for phosphorene is a result of the dataset used. The reported pyroelectric coefficient for black phosphorene is extremely high.³ However, no data was found for its relative permittivity so that the permittivity value of bulk phosphorous was taken. This permittivity is one order of magnitude lower than for perovskites.

As discussed in the main text, the density states at the surface of the pyroelectric determine how large the surface band gap E'_{g} will be. It may take values from 0 to the full bulk E_{g} , thus largely influencing the threshold efficiency and hydrogen yield. Simulation outputs for some relevant E'_{g} are shown in Table S2.

2 |

parameter	unit	phosphorene	Ba _{0.7} Sr _{0.3} TiO ₃	BaTiO ₃				
		MATERIAL PROPE						
\bar{p}	\bar{p} $\mu C/m^2 K$		15604	165 ⁵				
E'_{g}	eV	0.6	0.25	3.08				
ρ̈́	kg/m ³ 3	2340	6020	6020				
$\bar{\epsilon}_{ m r}$	$\bar{\epsilon}_r$ –		5.76×10^{3} ⁴	191				
c_P	J/kgK	770	527 ⁶	527 ⁶				
	OPERATIONAL PARAMETERS							
m	mg	1.0	10	3.10×10^{3}				
$T_{\rm cold}$	Κ	15	25	40				
$T_{\rm hot}$	Κ	65	50	70				
$2t_e$	S	600	600	120				
	MATERIAL DIMENSIONS							
a (thickness)	m	$1.0 \times 10^{-6} a$	2.0×10^{-7}	$8.0 imes 10^{-5}$				
volume	m ³	$3.5 imes 10^{-22}$	$8.0 imes 10^{-21}$	5.12×10^{-13}				
Nparticles	-	$1.2 imes 10^{12}$	2.1×10^{11}	$1.0 imes 10^6$				
A	m ²	1.22	$8.3 imes 10^{-3}$	$6.4 imes 10^{-3}$				
	PTC QUANTITIES							
$q_{ m in}$	J	0.0385	0.132	49				
$V_{\rm vac}$	V	3.7	0.149	235				
$V_{\rm th}$	V	1.83	1.48	4.31				
$Q_{ m tot}$	С	2.64×10^{-13}	$1.56 imes 10^{-15}$	3.13×10^{-11}				
$Q_{\rm rx}$	С	$2.92 imes 10^{-15}$	0	3.01×10^{-11}				
$E_{\rm th}$	V/m	$5.23 imes10^9$	$7.4 imes 10^6$	$5.39 imes10^4$				
	KEY QUANTITIES							
$a_{\rm crit}$	m	3.46×10^{-10}	$3.98 imes 10^{-6}$	$2.93 imes 10^{-6}$				
$a_{\rm opt}$	m	$6.92 imes 10^{-10}$	$7.96 imes 10^{-6}$	$5.86 imes 10^{-6}$				
$\Delta T_{\rm crit}$	°C	49.5	498	1.1				
FoM	-	$2.09 imes 10^1$	$3.04 imes 10^{-4}$	$4.29 imes 10^{-5}$				
	EFFICIENCY FACTORS							
$\eta_{ m th}$	-	0.0111	0	0.963				
$\eta_{ m op}$	-	0.672	0.831	0.285				
$\eta_{ m F}$	-	1	1	1				
$\eta_{ m chem}$	-	0.00743	0	0.275				
	EFFICIENCIES							
$\eta_{ m pc}{}^{b}$	-	0.339	0	$5.32 imes 10^{-6}$				
$\eta_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}$	_	0.228	0	$1.52 imes 10^{-6}$				
$\eta_{\mathrm{H}_2,\mathrm{max}}$	-	2.61 ^c	$3.80 imes 10^{-5}$	$5.37 imes10^{-6}$				
		HYDROGEN YIE	ELD					
$n_{\rm H_2}$	mol/g	$2.49 imes 10^{-8}$	0	$5.78 imes10^{-11}$				

Tabelle S1 Input and output simulation values (used for values in Tab. 2 in the main document)

^{*a*}: These particles are not cuboid but planes with side length 1.0×10^{-6} m. ^{*b*}: efficiency of thermal-to-pyroelectric energy conversion according to eqn 9 from the main document. ^{*c*}: see Section S3 for an explanation.

Tabelle S2 Surface band gap (E'_g) influence	uence on simulation outputs
-------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------

	unit phosphorene				Ba _{0.7} Sr _{0.3} TiO ₃			BaTiO ₃		
E'_{g}	eV	0.3	0.5	0.7	0	1.54	3.08	0	0.25	1.54
$V_{\rm th}$	V	1.53	1.73	1.93	1.23	2.77	4.31	1.23	1.48	2.77
$\eta_{ m th}$	-	0.173	0.065	0	0	0	0	0.989	0.987	0.976
$\eta_{ m op}$	-	0.804	0.711	0.637	1	0.444	0.285	1	0.831	0.444
$n_{\rm H_2}$	mol/g	4.66×10^{-7}	$1.55 imes 10^{-7}$	0	0	0	0	3.22×10^{-10}	$2.67 imes10^{-10}$	$1.41 imes 10^{-10}$

S4 Courses of Pyroelectric Coefficients and Permittivities as a Function of Temperature



Fig. S2 BaTiO₃ material parameters as a function of temperature.

1-6

S5 Python Simulation Code

```
def get_pyro(Ts,pyrofile): # 1D-array of temperatures, filename of listed pyrocoeff. (T, pyro)
pyros = loadtxt(pyrofile).T # pyrocoeff. from file
pyro_T = zeros(len(Ts)) # pyrocoeff. for Ts list of temps
for k in arange(len(Ts)): # loop over temperatures from given array
for i in arange(len(pyros[0])-1): # determination of pyrocoeff. for given temp
if pyros[0][i]<=Ts[k] and pyros[0][i+1]>Ts[k]:
pyro_T[k] = pyros[1][i]+(pyros[1][i+1]-pyros[1][i])/(pyros[0][i+1]-pyros[0][i])*(Ts[k]-pyros[0][i])
print "Pyrokoefficient for T = " + str(Ts[k]) + ": " + str(pyro_T[k])
return pyro_T # 1D-array of pyrocoeff. for Ts input temperature array
def get_epsilon(Ts,epsilonfile): # 1D-array of temperatures, filename of listed pyrocoeff. (T, pyro)
epsilons = loadtxt(epsilonfile).T # pyrocoeff. from file
epsilon_T = zeros(len(Ts)) # pyrocoeff. for Ts list of temps
for k in arange(len(Ts)): # loop over temperatures from given array
for i in arange(len(epsilons[0])-1): # determination of pyrocoeff. for given temp
if epsilons[0][i]<=Ts[k] and epsilons[0][i+1]>Ts[k]: epsilon_T[k] = epsilons[1][i]
+(epsilons[1][i+1]-epsilons[1][i])/(epsilons[0][i+1]-epsilons[0][i])*(Ts[k]-epsilons[0][i])
print "Epsilon for T = " + str(Ts[k]) + ": " + str(epsilon_T[k])
return epsilon_T # 1D-array of pyrocoeff. for Ts input temperature array
pyrocoeff = "C:BaTiO3-pyro-Perls1958.txt" # file for listed pyrocoeff. in muC/(m^2 K)
epsilon = "C:BaTiO3-epsilon-Srinivasan84.txt"
density = 6020. \# kg/m<sup>3</sup> BTO
Egap = 3.08 # full band gap in eV
#Eqap = 3.08 / 2. # band qap in eV, if half of it is taken
#Egap = 0.25 # band gap in eV, a value for Vrx (1.23) + Egap (0.25) = Vtn (1.48)
cp = 527. # J/(kg·K), at 300K, from http://www.surfacenet.de/html/barium_titanate.html
# further
m = 3.1 * 10 * * - 3 \# mass of pyro particles in kg
vrx = 1.23
vth = vrx + Egap # in eV, H2O reaction energy thermo-neutral + band gap
```

cube acube = 80. \star 10* \star -6 # cubic particle dimension of pyroelectric in m a2cube = (acube)**2 # active surface area of pyroelectric in m^2 vcube = (acube)**3 # volume of pyroelectric cube in m^3 ncube = m/density/vcube A = ncube \star a2cube # in m², only one of six faces is assumed active eps0 = 8.8541878176 * 10**-12 #F m-1 (or A2 s4 kg-1 m-3 in SI base units) F = 96485.3365 # C/mol # calculation of obtained charge from temperature curve over time for specific pyroelectricum $T_c = 40. \# \text{ in } \circ C$ $T_h = 70. # in °C$ $heat = m * cp * (T_h - T_c) \# J$ Eth = vth / acube # electric field on pyroelectric as V/m Eop = (vth - Egap) / acubedt = 0.1 # time stept_cycle = 120. # in seconds. Duration of one cycle: 2 min times = arange(0,t_cycle,dt) # time array T_t = (T_h+T_c)/2.-(T_h-T_c)/2.*cos(2*pi*times/t_cycle) # temperature over time dTdt_t = 2*pi/t_cycle*(T_h-T_c)/2.*sin(2*pi*times/t_cycle) # differential temperature over time $pyro_t = get_pyro(T_t, pyrocoeff) \# pyrocoeff. over time in \muC/(m^2 K)$ dQ_t = a2cube * pyro_t*10**-6 * dTdt_t * dt # differential charge induced by pyroelectric Qtot = abs(dQ_t).sum() / 2. # integrated absolute charge for one event # voltage epsilon_t = get_epsilon(T_t,epsilon) dV_t = acube * pyro_t*10**-6 * dTdt_t * dt / eps0 / epsilon_t # threshold charge to build up threshold voltage for chemical reaction $vvac = abs(dV_t).sum()/2.$ # averages of p and epsilon $dp = pyro_t * 10 * * - 6 * dt$ pav = dp.sum()/t_cycle deps = epsilon_t * dt epsav = deps.sum()/t_cycle # graphical efficiency Qth = 2. * vth * eps0 * epsav * acube # derived from the capacitor equation. The factor 2 comes from the fact that two Qth produces a change in potential equal to 2vth Qtot2 = vvac * eps0 * epsav * acube Qrx = Qtot - QthPrx = Qrx / a2cube # reaction-causing polarisation change in C/m^2 # Energy I wtot = 2 * Qtot * vth # work per particle wpc = 2 * Qrx * vth # J, total enclosed area - work obtained from the system per cycle wd_PE = Prx * (Eth - Eop) # J/m^3, as density wrx = 2 * Qrx * vrx # Efficiencies partial

```
etacarnot = 1. - ((T_c+273.15)/(T_h+273.15))
etath = Qrx / (Qrx + Qth)
etaop = vrx / vth
etaf = 1
etachem = etath*etaop*etaf
# Efficiencies complete cycle
etapc = wpc * ncube / heat # pyroelectric efficiency
etapc2 = 2 * Qtot * vth * etath * ncube / heat
etarx = etapc * etaop # chequered areas = Qtot * 2. * vth * etath * etaop / heat
etanet = etarx * etaf
# Energy II per cycle
wrx2 = 2 * Qtot * vth * etath * etaop
wnet = wrx * etaf
wnet2 = 2 * Qtot *  vth *  etachem
# Hydrogen yield calculation
n = Qtot * ncube * 2. / 2. / F * etachem # mol H2/cycle
V = n*24.465 # volume per cycle, 22.414 L/mol at 0 °C, 24.465 L/mol at 25 °C
ns = n/t_cycle # mol H2/s
nd = ns \times 86400. # mol H2/day
Vd = nd*24.465 # liter H2/day, 22.414 L/mol at 0 °C, 24.465 L/mol at 25 °C
DeltaH = 285900. # J/mol. Delta H of H2 + 0.5 O2 --> H2O
etacombust = n * DeltaH / heat
dpeT = pyro_t * 10**-6 / epsilon_t * dTdt_t * dt
DpeT = abs(dpeT).sum()/2 \# /2 whenever we want the integral over one event.
dpeT2 = (pyro_t * 10**-6)**2 / epsilon_t * dTdt_t * dt
DpeT2 = abs(dpeT2).sum()/2
aopt = 4. * vth * eps0 / DpeT
acrit = 2. * vth * eps0 / DpeT
Tcrit = 2. * vth * eps0 / DpeT * (T_h - T_c) / acube
etanetmax = etaf * vrx / (8. * density * cp * vth * eps0) * DpeT2
fom = DpeT2 / eps0 / density / cp * etaop
```

References

1 R. B. Olsen and D. D. Brown, Ferroelectrics, 1982, 40, 17-27.

hreport = 2. * n * 10**12 / m / 10**3 # in pmol/g.

- 2 G. Sebald, S. Pruvost and D. Guyomar, Smart Materials and Structures, 2008, 17, 015012.
- 3 H. You, Y. Jia, Z. Wu, F. Wang, H. Huang and Y. Wang, Nature communications, 2018, 9, 2889.
- 4 X. Xu, L. Xiao, Y. Jia, Z. Wu, F. Wang, Y. Wang, N. O. Haugen and H. Huang, Energy & Environmental Science, 2018, 11, 2198–2207.
- 5 T. Perls, T. Diesel and W. Dobrov, Journal of Applied Physics, 1958, 29, 1297–1302.
- 6 SurfaceNet GmbH, Barium Titanate (BaTiO₃), internet, visited Nov 2019, http://www.surfacenet.de/html/barium_titanate.html.
- 7 M. Srinivasan, Bulletin of Materials Science, 1984, 6, 317–325.