Supporting information for: Probing Radical-Molecule Interactions with a Second Generation Energy Decomposition Analysis of DFT Calculations Using Absolutely Localized Molecular Orbitals

Yuezhi Mao,^{*,†} Daniel S. Levine,[†] Matthias Loipersberger,[†] Paul R. Horn,[†] and Martin Head-Gordon^{*,†,‡}

 † Kenneth S. Pitzer Center for Theoretical Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

‡ Chemical Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

E-mail: yuezhi.mao@berkeley.edu; mhg@cchem.berkeley.edu

| | | | Radical | | | | | | |
|-----------------|--------|-------|---------|---------|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| $d(\text{\AA})$ | ELEC | PAULI | DISP | POL | CT | INT | | | |
| 2.7 | -35.29 | 87.59 | -20.95 | -8.30 | -26.49 | -3.44 | | | |
| 2.9 | -21.16 | 49.04 | -16.20 | -6.09 | -20.24 | -14.66 | | | |
| 3.1 | -13.26 | 27.36 | -12.42 | -4.79 | -15.50 | -18.61 | | | |
| 3.3 | -8.82 | 15.21 | -9.43 | -3.92 | -11.84 | -18.79 | | | |
| 3.5 | -6.27 | 8.42 | -7.13 | -3.27 | -8.96 | -17.22 | | | |
| 3.7 | -4.77 | 4.64 | -5.38 | -2.76 | -6.71 | -14.98 | | | |
| 3.9 | -3.86 | 2.54 | -4.03 | -2.33 | -4.96 | -12.64 | | | |
| 4.1 | -3.27 | 1.38 | -3.06 | -1.97 | -3.58 | -10.51 | | | |
| 4.5 | -2.57 | 0.40 | -1.84 | -1.43 | -1.74 | -7.18 | | | |
| 5.0 | -2.06 | 0.08 | -1.02 | -1.00 | -0.54 | -4.54 | | | |
| | | | Neut | Neutral | | | | | |
| $d(\text{\AA})$ | ELEC | PAULI | DISP | POL | CT | INT | | | |
| 2.7 | -36.78 | 65.88 | -23.11 | -2.45 | -3.53 | 36.80 | | | |
| 2.9 | -19.67 | 38.42 | -18.06 | -1.19 | -1.93 | 17.23 | | | |
| 3.1 | -10.17 | 22.58 | -14.01 | -0.61 | -1.10 | 6.85 | | | |
| 3.3 | -4.96 | 13.43 | -10.78 | -0.35 | -0.65 | 1.65 | | | |
| 3.5 | -2.15 | 8.13 | -8.25 | -0.23 | -0.39 | -0.73 | | | |
| 3.7 | -0.68 | 5.05 | -6.28 | -0.16 | -0.24 | -1.63 | | | |
| 3.9 | 0.07 | 3.24 | -4.75 | -0.12 | -0.16 | -1.78 | | | |
| 4.1 | 0.42 | 2.17 | -3.61 | -0.09 | -0.11 | -1.64 | | | |
| 4.5 | 0.60 | 1.12 | -2.16 | -0.05 | -0.06 | -1.15 | | | |
| 5.0 | 0.51 | 0.62 | -1.20 | -0.03 | -0.04 | -0.64 | | | |

Table S1: Comparison of the total interaction energies and ALMO-EDA results (in kcal/mol) for the sandwiched benzene dimer radical cation and its neutral counterpart at varying intermolecular distances evaluated at the ω B97M-V/def2-QZVPPD level of theory.

| | Radical Cation | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|----------------|-------|---------|-------|--------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| $d(\text{\AA})$ | ELEC | PAULI | DISP | POL | CT | INT | | | | |
| 1.52 | -21.30 | 54.19 | -12.50 | -8.10 | -15.04 | -2.76 | | | | |
| 1.72 | -14.33 | 31.56 | -9.77 | -6.17 | -10.43 | -9.13 | | | | |
| 1.92 | -10.04 | 18.06 | -7.56 | -4.84 | -7.25 | -11.63 | | | | |
| 2.12 | -7.39 | 10.18 | -5.80 | -3.90 | -5.07 | -11.97 | | | | |
| 2.32 | -5.72 | 5.67 | -4.42 | -3.19 | -3.57 | -11.24 | | | | |
| 2.52 | -4.64 | 3.12 | -3.34 | -2.64 | -2.56 | -10.07 | | | | |
| 2.72 | -3.91 | 1.70 | -2.51 | -2.20 | -1.88 | -8.81 | | | | |
| 2.92 | -3.39 | 0.91 | -1.90 | -1.84 | -1.42 | -7.63 | | | | |
| 3.32 | -2.67 | 0.26 | -1.11 | -1.29 | -0.89 | -5.71 | | | | |
| 3.82 | -2.08 | 0.05 | -0.61 | -0.87 | -0.58 | -4.10 | | | | |
| | | | Neutral | | | | | | | |
| $d(\text{\AA})$ | ELEC | PAULI | DISP | POL | CT | INT | | | | |
| 1.52 | -20.99 | 59.43 | -13.57 | -3.27 | -5.45 | 16.14 | | | | |
| 1.72 | -12.68 | 34.93 | -10.69 | -1.92 | -3.34 | 6.30 | | | | |
| 1.92 | -7.62 | 20.19 | -8.35 | -1.13 | -2.06 | 1.03 | | | | |
| 2.12 | -4.59 | 11.49 | -6.47 | -0.69 | -1.26 | -1.52 | | | | |
| 2.32 | -2.80 | 6.46 | -4.97 | -0.43 | -0.78 | -2.51 | | | | |
| 2.52 | -1.75 | 3.59 | -3.79 | -0.28 | -0.47 | -2.71 | | | | |
| 2.72 | -1.14 | 1.98 | -2.88 | -0.19 | -0.29 | -2.52 | | | | |
| 2.92 | -0.77 | 1.08 | -2.18 | -0.13 | -0.18 | -2.19 | | | | |
| 3.32 | -0.42 | 0.31 | -1.29 | -0.06 | -0.08 | -1.53 | | | | |
| 3.82 | -0.24 | 0.06 | -0.69 | -0.03 | -0.04 | -0.94 | | | | |

Table S2: Comparison of the total interaction energies and ALMO-EDA results (in kcal/mol) for the T-shaped benzene dimer radical cation and its neutral counterpart at varying intermolecular distances evaluated at the ω B97M-V/def2-QZVPPD level of theory.



Figure S1: Total interaction energies (in kcal/mol) of the sandwich (left panel) and T-shaped (right panel) benzene dimer radical cation at varying intermolecular distances calculated using ω B97M-V^{S1} and M06-2X^{S2} with the def2-QZVPPD^{S3,S4} basis set. The SAPT0(UHF) results and the reference values are taken from ref. S5.

Table S3: Vertical ALMO-EDA results (in kcal/mol) for the sandwiched and T-shaped benzene dimer radical cations at minimum-energy distance and long range with different density functional approximations. Note that Grimme's -D3 correction with Becke-Johnson damping^{S6} was applied to the calculations with BLYP^{S7,S8} and B3LYP^{S9} functionals.

| | Sa | andwiched | (3.3 Å) | | Sandwiched (5.0 Å) | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-------------|---------|--------|------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--|
| | $\omega B97M-V$ | M06-2X | BLYP | B3LYP | $\omega B97M-V$ | M06-2X | BLYP | B3LYP | |
| ELEC | -8.82 | -8.32 | -8.22 | -8.40 | -2.06 | -2.08 | -1.84 | -1.96 | |
| PAULI | 15.21 | 13.85 | 14.16 | 14.25 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.08 | |
| DISP | -9.43 | -8.28 | -9.97 | -9.79 | -1.02 | -0.44 | -1.07 | -1.06 | |
| POL | -3.92 | -4.14 | -3.40 | -3.38 | -1.00 | -0.97 | -0.95 | -0.94 | |
| CT | -11.84 | -12.36 | -22.44 | -18.84 | -0.54 | -4.66 | -19.46 | -13.79 | |
| INT | -18.79 | -19.26 | -29.88 | -26.15 | -4.54 | -8.09 | -23.26 | -17.69 | |
| | Г | C-shaped (2 | 2.12 Å) | | T-shaped (3.82 Å) | | | | |
| | $\omega B97M-V$ | M06-2X | BLYP | B3LYP | $\omega B97M-V$ | M06-2X | BLYP | B3LYP | |
| ELEC | -7.39 | -7.83 | -6.93 | -6.87 | -2.08 | -2.37 | -1.95 | -1.95 | |
| PAULI | 10.18 | 8.96 | 9.51 | 9.73 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | |
| DISP | -5.80 | -4.73 | -5.93 | -6.01 | -0.61 | -0.31 | -0.70 | -0.69 | |
| POL | -3.90 | -4.75 | -4.12 | -3.53 | -0.87 | -0.98 | -0.92 | -0.82 | |
| CT | -5.07 | -4.52 | -17.10 | -13.47 | -0.58 | -3.28 | -19.74 | -13.69 | |
| INT | -11.97 | -12.88 | -24.57 | -20.15 | -4.10 | -6.91 | -23.26 | -17.11 | |

Table S4: Adiabatic ALMO-EDA results for the $Bz^{+\bullet}-Py$ and $Naph^{+\bullet}-Py$ complexes. ΔE_{bind} describes the energy lowering relative to the individually relaxed fragments at each intermediate state, r(C-N) stands for the distance between the N atom of pyridine and the C atom on the aromatic ring attacked by it, and the bending of the C-H bond is described by the $C_1-C_2-C_3-H_1$ dihedral, where C1 is the attacked C atom, C2 and C3 are the two adjacent C atoms on the aromatic ring, and H1 is the hydrogen atom attached to C1. A negative dihedral angle corresponds to bending away from the attacking direction.

| | $Bz^{+\bullet}-Py$ | | | | $Naph^{+\bullet}-Py$ | | | | |
|--|--------------------|--------|--------|---|----------------------|--------|--------|--|--|
| | FRZ | POL | FULL | _ | FRZ | POL | FULL | | |
| $\Delta E_{\rm bind} \ (\rm kcal/mol)$ | -8.11 | -11.95 | -40.48 | | -7.91 | -10.91 | -24.78 | | |
| r(C-N) (Å) | 3.10 | 2.84 | 1.53 | | 3.66 | 3.48 | 1.53 | | |
| C–H bending angle (°) | 0.0 | -0.1 | -28.8 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | -28.6 | | |



Figure S2: Spin density plots (isovalue = 0.002 a.u.) for the full SCF solutions of the T-shaped benzene dimer radical cation: (a) the unstable solution, which is obtained when one uses concatenated isolated fragment orbitals as the initial guess; (b) the stable SCF solution.

Table S5: Vertical ALMO-EDA results (in kcal/mol) for the ${}^{\bullet}OH(H_2O)$ complex in the ${}^{2}A'$ and ${}^{2}A''$ both at the global equilibrium geometry (optimized in ${}^{2}A'$).

| | ELEC | PAULI | DISP | POL | CT | INT |
|------------------------|-------|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| $^{2}A^{\prime}$ | -9.39 | $\begin{array}{c} 8.78\\ 8.84\end{array}$ | -1.80 | -1.50 | -2.00 | -5.91 |
| $^{2}A^{\prime\prime}$ | -9.14 | | -1.80 | -1.49 | -1.98 | -5.56 |



Figure S3: Spin density plot (isovalue = 0.005 a.u.) for the stable structure of ${}^{\bullet}OH(H_2O)_2$ calculated at the $\omega B97M$ -V/def2-TZVPD level of theory.

| | •OH(H ₂ O) ₂ | | | | | | | | |
|-------|------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | ΔE_{12} | ΔE_{13} | ΔE_{23} | ΔE_{3b} | ΔE_{123} | | | | |
| ELEC | -9.43 | -11.17 | -6.87 | 0.00 | -27.48 | | | | |
| PAULI | 9.96 | 12.46 | 7.23 | -0.18 | 29.47 | | | | |
| DISP | -2.14 | -2.21 | -1.75 | 0.15 | -5.95 | | | | |
| | | Н | $_{2}O$ trim | er | | | | | |
| | ΔE_{12} | ΔE_{13} | ΔE_{23} | ΔE_{3b} | ΔE_{123} | | | | |
| ELEC | -9.75 | -8.80 | -9.71 | 0.00 | -28.25 | | | | |
| PAULI | 10.43 | 9.52 | 10.38 | -0.23 | 30.10 | | | | |
| DISP | -2.17 | -2.09 | -2.17 | 0.15 | -6.28 | | | | |

Table S6: Frozen energy decomposition results (in kcal/mol) for the MBE of the ${}^{\bullet}OH(H_2O)_2$ complex and the H₂O trimer.

Table S7: Energies of the A' and A'' states for the pre-reactive complexes for by •OH with HCHO/CH₃CHO (in Hartree) and the differences (in kcal/mol) between them ($\Delta E = E(A'') - E(A')$). The ω B97M-V and M06-2X results are calculated using re-optimized geometries within each individual electronic state (A' or A''), while the MP2 results are calculated using geometries directly taken from ref. S10.

| | | E(A') | E(A'') | ΔE |
|---------------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| НСНО | ω B97M-V | -190.259278 | -190.259914 | -0.40 |
| | M06-2X | -190.242283 | -190.242821 | -0.34 |
| | MP2 | -189.829188 | -189.829790 | -0.38 |
| CH ₃ CHO | ω B97M-V | -229.579334 | -229.579937 | -0.38 |
| | M06-2X | -229.564966 | -229.565466 | -0.31 |
| | MP2 | -229.038415 | -229.039009 | -0.37 |



Figure S4: Spin density plots (isovalue = 0.005 a.u.) for the stationary structures along the [•]OH + HCHO reaction path at the full SCF solution: (a) the A'' state for the [•]OH···OCH₂ pre-reactive complex (PRC(F)); (b) the A' state for PRC(F), whose energy is 0.4 kcal/mol higher than that of A''; (c) the transition state for H-abstraction (TS1(F)); (d) the transition state for nucleophilic addition to the carbonyl group (TS2(F)).

| Table | S8: | Full 4 | ALMC |)-EDA | A resul | ts (in | kcal/ | /mol) | for 1 | the ch | aracte | erized 1 | PRC | and T | S str | ictu | ires |
|----------------|-------|--------|------|---------------|----------|--------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------------------------|----------|----------------------|-------|--------|---------------------|------|
| in the | •OH | + H | CHO | and \bullet | ОН + | CH_3 | CHO | react | ions | . The | e calcu | ulation | is are | perfo | rmed | at | the |
| $\omega B97 M$ | I-V/c | def2-T | ZVPL |) level | l of the | eory. | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ELEC | PAULI | DISP | POL | CT | INT |
|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|
| PRC(F) | -9.01 | 9.22 | -2.21 | -1.57 | -2.03 | -5.59 |
| TS1(F) | -9.06 | 23.72 | -3.23 | -2.01 | -11.26 | -1.85 |
| TS2(F) | -26.65 | 63.02 | -6.65 | -5.55 | -25.76 | -1.60 |
| PRC(A) | -10.39 | 10.55 | -2.35 | -1.89 | -2.42 | -6.50 |
| TS1(A) | -9.60 | 23.52 | -3.54 | -2.01 | -10.97 | -2.59 |



Figure S5: Illustration of the Löwdin orbital pairing ^{S11} scheme employed in the calculation of the rehybridization (REHYB) energy. From top to bottom are the 2nd to 5th orbitals of α or β spin on the F[•] fragment. The left panels show the original, unpaired orbitals that are directly obtained from a fragment USCF calculation, among which the α orbitals (from top to bottom) are of 2s, $2p_x$, $2p_y$, and $2p_z$ characters and the β orbitals 2s, $2p_z$, $2p_y$, and 3s. The right panels show the transformed orbitals according to Löwdin's orbital pairing scheme, and each corresponding pair of α and β orbitals is of the same character ($2p_z$, $2p_y$, 2s, and $2p_x$ from top to bottom). The orbitals are generated from M06-2X ^{S2}/def2-TZVPD ^{S3,S4} calculations and are visualized with an isovalue of 0.02 a.u. except for the substantially more diffuse 3s-like orbital, for which a higher isovalue 0.04 a.u. is used.

References

- (S1) Mardirossian, N.; Head-Gordon, M. ωB97M-V: A combinatorially optimized, rangeseparated hybrid, meta-GGA density functional with VV10 nonlocal correlation. J. Chem. Phys. 2016, 144, 214110.
- (S2) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. The M06 suite of density functionals for main group thermochemistry, thermochemical kinetics, noncovalent interactions, excited states, and transition elements: two new functionals and systematic testing of four M06-class functionals and 12 other functionals. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* 2008, 120, 215–241.
- (S3) Weigend, F.; Ahlrichs, R. Balanced basis sets of split valence, triple zeta valence and quadruple zeta valence quality for H to Rn: Design and assessment of accuracy. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2005**, *7*, 3297–3305.
- (S4) Rappoport, D.; Furche, F. Property-optimized Gaussian basis sets for molecular response calculations. J. Chem. Phys. 2010, 133, 134105.
- (S5) Gonthier, J. F.; Sherrill, C. D. Density-fitted open-shell symmetry-adapted perturbation theory and application to π-stacking in benzene dimer cation and ionized DNA base pair steps. J. Chem. Phys. 2016, 145, 134106.
- (S6) Grimme, S.; Ehrlich, S.; Goerigk, L. Effect of the damping function in dispersion corrected density functional theory. J. Comput. Chem. 2011, 32, 1456–1465.
- (S7) Becke, A. D. Density-functional exchange-energy approximation with correct asymptotic behavior. *Phys. Rev. A* 1988, *38*, 3098.
- (S8) Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. Development of the Colle-Salvetti correlation-energy formula into a functional of the electron density. *Phys. Rev. B* 1988, *37*, 785.

- (S9) Becke, A. D. Density-functional thermochemistry. III. The role of exact exchange. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648–5652.
- (S10) Alvarez-Idaboy, J. R.; Mora-Diez, N.; Boyd, R. J.; Vivier-Bunge, A. On the importance of prereactive complexes in molecule-radical reactions: hydrogen abstraction from aldehydes by OH. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 2018–2024.
- (S11) Löwdin, P.-O. On the non-orthogonality problem connected with the use of atomic wave functions in the theory of molecules and crystals. J. Chem. Phys. 1950, 18, 365–375.