

Supplementary information

**Biofouling affects the redox kinetics of outer and inner
sphere probes on carbon surfaces drastically differently -
implications to biosensing**

Emilia Peltola^{1}, Anja Aarva¹, Sami Sainio^{2, 3}, Joonas J. Heikkinen⁴, Niklas Wester⁴, Ville
Jokinen⁴, Jari Koskinen⁴, Tomi Laurila¹*

¹ Department of Electrical Engineering and Automation, School of Electrical Engineering, Aalto University, Espoo, Finland

² Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA

³ Microelectronics Research Unit, Faculty of Information Technology and Electrical Engineering, University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland

⁴ Department of Chemistry and Materials Science, School of Chemical Technology, Aalto University, Espoo, Finland

* Corresponding Author: emilia.peltola@aalto.fi

Table S1: $E_{1/2}$ (mV) values for DA measurements before and after fouling in BSA and FBS. Shown error is a standard deviation of at least two samples.

	ta-C	nanograss-ta-C	PyC	nanograss-PyC
DA	206 ± 5	178 ± 2	172 ± 0	171 ± 3
BSA	275 ± 16	185 ± 3	190 ± 0	182 ± 7
BSA pristine*	316 ± 25	181 ± 0	220 ± 4	150 ± 16
FBS	281 ± 6	187 ± 4	176 ± 6	186 ± 46
FBS pristine*	305 ± 23	161 ± 4	234 ± 8	186 ± 4

* 'Pristine' refers to measurements conducted on pristine samples (i.e. no previous DA measurements) after fouling.