## Removal of H<sub>2</sub>S to produce hydrogen in the presence of CO on a transition metal-doped ZSM-12 catalyst: a DFT mechanistic study

Tanabat Mudchimo,<sup>a</sup> Suparada Kamchompoo,<sup>a</sup> Yuwanda Injongkol,<sup>a</sup> Rattanawalee Rattanawan,<sup>a</sup> Nawee Kungwan,<sup>bc</sup> and Siriporn Jungsuttiwong<sup>\*a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Center for Organic Electronic and Alternative Energy, Department of Chemistry and Center for Innovation in Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Ubon Ratchathani University, Ubon Ratchathani 34190, Thailand

<sup>b</sup>Departments of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand <sup>c</sup>Center of Excellence in Materials Science and Technology, Chiang Mai University, Thailand

\*E-mail: siriporn.j@ubu.ac.th (S.J.)



**Fig. S1** (a) Side view of the MTW zeolite cluster (the main pore or 14T channel), (b) a snapshot top view of the 6T ring channel in the MTW zeolite cluster (the black dotted lines indicate the center point of the 6T ring). The white, red, and grey balls represent H, O, and Si atoms, respectively.



Fig. S2 All possible adsorption sites on the TM-ZSM-12.

**Table S1** Comparison between replaced Al system and non-replaced Al system on the TM-ZSM-12 cluster by various transition metals

TM-ZSM-12 _	Replaced system		Non-replaced system		
	$E_{\rm B}({\rm eV})$	$\Delta q_{\mathrm{TM}}\left( e ight)$	$E_{\rm B}({\rm eV})$	$\Delta q_{\mathrm{TM}}\left(e ight)$	
Cu–ZSM-12	-7.57	+0.493	-1.14	-0.386	
Pd–ZSM-12	-6.95	+0.304	-0.84	-0.307	
Ag–ZSM-12	-5.70	+0.262	-0.81	-0.265	
Ir–ZSM-12	-9.36	+0.362	-1.02	-0.315	
Pt-ZSM-12	-8.61	+0.289	-1.74	-0.189	
Au–ZSM-12	-5.48	+0.221	-0.85	-0.278	

TM-ZSM-12	Imaginary frequency (cm <sup>-1</sup> )						
	TS1	TS2	TS3a	TS3b	TS4a	TS4b	
Cu–ZSM-12	-622.95	-934.99	-926.50	-1089.46	-910.97	-1059.77	
Ir-ZSM-12	-896.98	-1495.82	-846.51	-1428.24	-962.30	-1217.65	
Pd-ZSM-12	-672.06	-1468.74	-811.50	-1459.70	-826.22	-1072.28	

**Table S2** The calculated imaginary frequency for all TS structures of TS1, TS2, TS3, and TS4 by various TM-ZSM-12 clusters.



**Fig. S3** The comparison of DFT-D functional such as  $\omega$ B97XD, B3LYP-D3, M062X-D3, and M06L on the bond distance and binding energy. X axis is transition metal; where 1=Cu, 2=Pd, 3=Ag, 4=Ir, 5=Pt, and 6=Au. Note that Ir and Pt atoms cannot perform by M06L functional.



Fig. S4 The comparison of DFT-D functional such as  $\omega$ B97XD, B3LYP-D3, M062X-D3, and M06L on the bond distance, bond angle, and adsorption energy. X axis is transition metal; where 1=Cu, 2=Pd, 3=Ag, 4=Ir, 5=Pt, and 6=Au.



**Fig. S5** The calculated potential energy profiles obtained from the summation of electronic and thermal correction Gibbs free energy ( $E_0 + G_{corr}$ ) at 298.15 K, and the optimized structures of all intermediates and transition states for the reaction mechanism of H<sub>2</sub>S removal by CO over the Ir–ZSM-12 cluster. The white, red, black, grey, light-pink, and yellow balls represent H, O, C, Si, Al, and S atoms, respectively.



**Fig. S6** The calculated potential energy profiles obtained from the summation of electronic and thermal correction Gibbs free energy ( $E_0 + G_{corr}$ ) at 298.15 K, and the optimized structures of all intermediates and transition states for the reaction mechanism of H<sub>2</sub>S removal by CO over the Pd–ZSM-12 cluster. The white, red, black, grey, light-pink, and yellow balls represent H, O, C, Si, Al, and S atoms, respectively.