# Understanding co-effects of manganese and cobalt on the enhanced SCR performance for Mn<sub>x</sub>Co<sub>1-x</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> spinel-type catalysts

Erhao Gao<sup>a,b</sup>, Bei Huang<sup>a,b</sup>, Zilong Zhao<sup>c</sup>, Hua Pan<sup>c</sup>, Wei Zhang<sup>a,b</sup>, Younan Li<sup>a,b</sup>, Matthew T.

Bernards<sup>d</sup>, Yi He<sup>a,e\*</sup>, and Yao Shi<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> College of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou,

310027, China

<sup>b</sup> Key Laboratory of Biomass Chemical Engineering of Ministry of Education, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China

<sup>c</sup> College of Biology and Environmental Engineering, Zhejiang Shuren University, Hangzhou 310015, China

<sup>d</sup> Department of Chemical and Materials Engineering, University of Idaho, Moscow 83844, USA

<sup>e</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Washington, Seattle,
Washington 98195, USA

#### Characterization and theoretical computation

### Catalyst Characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were characterized by an Ultima IV XRD powder polycrystalline diffractometer with a scanning rate of 1°C min<sup>-1</sup>. Raman spectra were obtained with a Jobin-Yvon LabRamHRUV spectrometer with a scanning range of 100-800 cm<sup>-1</sup>. N<sub>2</sub> adsorption-desorption isotherms were obtained at 77 K with a Micromeritics ASAP-2460. The samples were treated with pure nitrogen at 400 °C for 1 h before the analysis. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) data were obtained with a Thermo ESCALAB 250XI electron spectrometer. The binding energies were referenced to the C 1s line at 284.8 eV from adventitious carbon. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) micrographs of the samples were recorded with a Zeiss SIGMA HD, and the elemental distribution of the samples were characterized with energydispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis. The data for ammonia temperature-programmed desorption (NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD), and hydrogen temperature-programmed reduction (H<sub>2</sub>-TPR) were recorded on an AutoChem II 2920 with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). The samples were pretreated at 400 °C in He for 1 h prior to the experiments. For the NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD experiments, the samples were exposed to a flow of 10% vol. NH<sub>3</sub> in He for 1 h, then NH<sub>3</sub> residues in the reactor were removed by a pure He purge for 0.5 h. Finally, the temperature was raised from 30 to 450°C in He at a rate of 10°C min<sup>-1</sup>. For H<sub>2</sub>-TPR experiments, the samples were also cooled down to room temperature, then the temperature was raised from 30 to 800°C at a rate of 10°C min<sup>-1</sup> in a flow of 5 vol.%  $H_2$  in He.

### Theoretical computation

All calculations were performed based on DMol<sup>3</sup> implemented in Materials Studio 2017<sup>R2 1</sup>, in which the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhoff (PBE) <sup>2</sup> functional in generalized gradient approximation (GGA) <sup>3</sup> was used to calculate the exchange-correlation potential. The following three convergence criteria were used for the geometry optimization and energy calculation: the atomic forces  $(2.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Hartree/Å})$ , maximum displacement  $(5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Å})$ , and total energy variation  $(1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ Hartree})$ . The molecular orbitals were expanded using a double numerical basis set with polarization functions (DNP). The core electrons were treated using the DFT semicore pseudopots (DSPP) method. The Monkhorst-Pack k-point grid was set as a  $3 \times 3 \times 1$ . A p(2 × 2) slab exposing the (100) plane of CoCr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> with six atomic layers in this work. A 15 Å vacuum layer was placed above the surface slab to avoid interference from the imaging surface slabs <sup>4</sup>. The bottom three layers were fixed while the top three layers were relaxed during the calculation. The affinity between the surface and adsorbate is reflected by adsorption energy (*E<sub>ads</sub>*), which is defined as:

$$E_{ads} = E_{sub} + E_x - E_{total}$$

Where  $E_{sub}$  and  $E_x$  denote the energy of catalyst model and gas molecule, respectively, and  $E_{total}$  denotes the total energy of the system. A smaller value of  $E_{ads}$  indicates a stronger adsorption affinity.

### **XPS** analysis

The Co  $2p_{3/2}$  XPS spectra were fit with three peaks. The sub-band at 780.4-780.7 eV was assigned to Co<sup>3+</sup>, the sub-band at 782.4-783.0 eV was assigned to Co<sup>2+</sup>, and the sub-band around 786.8 eV was the satellite peak of Co<sup>2+ 5</sup>. The Mn  $2p_{3/2}$  were fit with three peaks representing Mn<sup>2+</sup> (640.0-640.5 eV), Mn<sup>3+</sup> (641.2-641.8 eV), and Mn<sup>4+</sup> (642.5-643.3 eV), respectively <sup>6-8</sup>. The Cr  $2p_{3/2}$  spectra were fit with three peaks. The bands centered at 575.5-575.7 eV, 576.7-576.9 eV, and 578.9-579.2 eV were attributed to Cr<sup>2+</sup>, Cr<sup>3+</sup>, and Cr<sup>5+</sup>, respectively <sup>9-11</sup>. The O 2p spectra were fit with two peaks representing chemisorbed oxygen (531.4-531.6 eV, denoted as O<sub>a</sub>) and lattice oxygen species (530.3-530.5 eV, denoted as O<sub>β</sub>) <sup>12</sup>.

Catalyst samples	<i>T<sub>90</sub></i> operation window	GHSV	HSV Ref.		
$Mn_{0.1}Co_{0.9}Cr_2O_4$	166-393 °C	112,000 h <sup>-1</sup>			
	199-384 °C	162,000 h <sup>-1</sup>	This work		
V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -WO <sub>3</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub>	260-425 °C	60,000 h <sup>-1</sup>	Appl. Catal. B-Environ. 168-169 (2015): 195-202		
Mn-Ni bi-metal oxide	125-275 °C	64,000 h <sup>-1</sup>	Appl. Catal. B-Environ. 148-149 (2014) 114-122.		
$Mn_{0.05}Co_{0.95}O_{x}$	160-190 °C	60,000 h <sup>-1</sup>	J. Phys. Chem. C. 119 (2015) 22924-22933.		
$Mn_xCo_{3-x}O_4$ nanocages	125-350 °C	38,000 h <sup>-1</sup>	ACS Catal. 4 (2014) 1753-1763.		
3D-MnCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	90-250 °C	32,000 h <sup>-1</sup>	Nanoscale 7 (2015) 2568-2577.		
Mn <sub>2</sub> CoO <sub>4</sub> @rGO	130-200 °C	30,000 h <sup>-1</sup>	Chem. Eng. J. 333 (2018) 467-476.		
Sphere-like Mn <sub>x</sub> Co <sub>3-x</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	200-300 °C	23,000 h <sup>-1</sup>	Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 50 (2011) 6668–6672.		
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanorods	135-215 °C	30,000 h <sup>-1</sup>	Appl. Catal. B-Environ. 129 (2013) 491-500.		
Co(3)-Mn/TiO <sub>2</sub>	200-275 °C	40,000 h <sup>-1</sup>	ACS Catal. 5 (2015) 6069-6077.		
$Ce_{0.8}W_{0.2}O_x$	215-420 °C	125,000 h <sup>-1</sup>	J. Mater. Chem. A. 8 (2020) 6717-6731		

Table S1. A comparison of  $T_{90}$  operating windows over various SCR catalysts and different GHSVs

	NH <sub>3</sub> -CoCr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>				NH <sub>3</sub> -Mn <sub>0.1</sub> Co <sub>0.9</sub> Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>				
-	Co site	Cr site	OH site		Co site	Cr site	Mn site	OH site	
$d_{N-Metal}/d_{O-H}$	2.062	2.150	1.278		2.054	2.140	2.201	1.045	
$d_{N-HI}$	1.025	1.023	1.022		1.023	1.023	1.023	1.022	
$d_{N-H2}$	1.022	1.023	1.023		1.017	1.024	1.023	1.022	
$d_{N-H3}$	1.030	1.023	1.022		1.038	1.023	1.031	1.034	
$q_{\rm NH3}$	0.268	0.280	0.285		0.272	0.297	0.314	0.291	
$E_{ads}$	-0.87	-0.75	-0.98		-0.97	-0.95	-1.36	-1.19	

Table S2. Calculated bond length (*d*; Å), Mulliken charge (*q*; e), and adsorption energy ( $E_{ads}$ ; eV) for NH<sub>3</sub> adsorption on CoCr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Mn<sub>0.1</sub>Co<sub>0.9</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> catalysts.



Figure S1.  $NO_x$  conversion over  $XCr_2O_4$  (X = Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, and Cu) catalysts in the temperature range of 100 to 400 °C.



Figure S2. Representative energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy elemental mapping of different elemental species distribution in (a) CoCr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, (b) Mn<sub>0.05</sub>Co<sub>0.95</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, (c) Mn<sub>0.1</sub>Co<sub>0.9</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, (d) Mn<sub>0.2</sub>Co<sub>0.8</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, and (e) Mn<sub>0.3</sub>Co<sub>0.7</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> catalysts.



Figure S3. All of the possible adsorption sites for (A) Co and (B) Cr cations in the

 $Mn_{0.1}Co_{0.9}Cr_2O_4\ model.$ 



Figure S4. The optimized structures of adsorbed NH3 on all of the possible Co and Cr sites on

 $Mn_{0.1}Co_{0.9}Cr_2O_4$  model

The effect of internal diffusion on  $Mn_{0.1}Co_{0.9}Cr_2O_4$  catalyst was studied and the results are presented in Figure S5. The catalysts with particle sizes of 80-100, 60-80, 40-60, and 20-40 meshes were prepared respectively and tested at 200 °C. It can be seen that when the particle size was 80-100 meshes, 60-80 meshes and 40-60 meshes, the NO<sub>x</sub> conversion was around 99%, while the NO<sub>x</sub> conversion decreased to 90.5% when the particle sizes were 20-40 meshes. The particle sizes of  $Mn_{0.1}Co_{0.9}Cr_2O_4$  catalyst tested were 40-60 meshes in this work, which suggests that the internal diffusion was eliminated under this condition.



Figure S5. The effect of internal diffusion on Mn<sub>0.1</sub>Co<sub>0.9</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> catalyst at 200 °C

The effect of external diffusion on  $Mn_{0.1}Co_{0.9}Cr_2O_4$  catalyst was studied and the results are presented in Figure S6. Samples weighing 0.1 g (W<sub>1</sub>) and 0.15 g (W<sub>2</sub>) were tested respectively under different GHSVs (60,000-30,000 h<sup>-1</sup>). It can be seen that all the points can be connected into one curve, which suggests that the external diffusion was eliminated under the GHSV of 112,000 h<sup>-1</sup>.



Figure S6. The effect of external diffusion on Mn<sub>0.1</sub>Co<sub>0.9</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> catalyst at 200 °C

## **References:**

- 1. Delley, B., The Journal of Chemical Physics, 2000, 113, 7756-7764.
- 2. Hammer, B., Phys. Rev. B., 1999, 59, 7413-7421.
- 3. Perdew, J.P., Burke, K. and Wang, Y., Phys. Rev. B., 1996, 54, 16533-16539.
- 4. Yang, Y., Liu, J., Liu, F., Wang, Z., Ding, J. and Huang, H., Chem Eng J, 2019, 361, 578-587.
- 5. Li, X., Ao, Z., Liu, J., Sun, H., Rykov, A.I. and Wang, J., Acs Nano, 2016, 10, 11532-11540.
- 6. Thirupathi, B. and Smirniotis, P., Appl. Catal. B, 2011, 110, 195-206.

7. Wan, Y., Zhao, W., Tang, Y., Li, L., Wang, H., Cui, Y., Gu, J., Li, Y. and Shi, J., *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, 2014, **148-149**, 114-122.

8. Yang, S., Wang, C., Li, J., Yan, N., Ma, L. and Chang, H., *Appl Catal B-Environ*, 2011, **110**, 71-80.

- 9. Chen, Z., Yang, Q., Li, H., Li, X., Wang, L. and Chi Tsang, S., J Catal, 2010, 276, 56-65.
- 10. Russo, N., Fino, D., Guido, S. and Specchia, V., J Catal, 2005, 229, 459-469.
- 11. Biesinger, M., Payne, B., Grosvenor, A., Lau, L., Gerson, A. and Smart, R., *Appl Surf Sci*, 2011, **257**, 2717-2730.
- 12. Zhang, L., Shi, L., Huang, L., Zhang, J., Gao, R. and Zhang, D., Acs Catal, 2014, 4, 1753-1763.