#### Supporting Information for

# Alkali-Metal- and Halide- Free Dinuclear Mixed- Valent Samarium and Europium Complexes

#### Nishya F. M. Mukthar, Nathan D. Schley, Gaël Ung\*

## Department of Chemistry, University of Connecticut, 55 N. Eagleville Road, U-3060, Storrs, CT, 06269- 3060, USA E-mail: <u>gael.ung@uconn.edu</u>

#### Table of Contents

| Experimental Section   | 3  |
|--|----|
| Chemicals and reagents   | 3  |
| Apparatus  | 3  |
| Synthesis of calix[4]-pyrrole:   | 3  |
| Preparation of Sm(N(SiMe <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> ( $\mu$ -Cl)Li(thf) <sub>3</sub> :   | 3  |
| Preparation of Eu(N(SiMe <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> ( $\mu$ -Cl)Li(thf) <sub>3</sub> :   | 3  |
| Preparation of ( $\eta^5$ : $\eta^1$ : $\eta^5$ : $\eta^1$ Et <sub>8</sub> -calix[4]pyrrolyl){SmN(SiMe_3)_2}_2:  | 3  |
| Preparation of ( $\eta^5$ : $\eta^1$ : $\eta^5$ : $\eta^1$ Et $_8$ -calix[4]pyrrolyl){EuN(SiMe_3)_2}_2:  | 4  |
| Preparation of ( $\eta^5$ : $\eta^1$ : $\eta^5$ : $\eta^1$ Et $_8$ -calix[4]pyrrolyl){Sm <sub>2</sub> (N(SiMe <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> )(thf)}:   | 4  |
| Preparation of $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 \operatorname{Et}_8$ -calix[4]pyrrolyl){Eu <sub>2</sub> (N(SiMe <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> )(thf)}:   | 4  |
| Preparation of ( $\eta^5$ : $\eta^1$ : $\eta^5$ : $\eta^1$ Et <sub>8</sub> -calix[4]pyrrolyl)Sm <sub>4</sub> ( $\mu$ -O) <sub>2</sub> (thf) <sub>2</sub> with silanol:                                     | 4  |
| Preparation of ( $\eta^5$ : $\eta^1$ : $\eta^5$ : $\eta^1$ Et <sub>8</sub> -calix[4]pyrrolyl)Sm <sub>4</sub> ( $\mu$ -O) <sub>2</sub> (thf) <sub>2</sub> with water:                                       | 4  |
| NMR  | 5  |
| Calix[4]pvrrole:   | 5  |
| $Sm(N(SiMe_3)_2)_3$ ( $\mu$ -Cl)Li(thf) <sub>3</sub> :   | 6  |
| $Eu(N(SiMe_3)_2)_3$ ( $\mu$ -Cl)Li(thf) <sub>3</sub> :   | 7  |
| $(\boldsymbol{\eta}^5; \boldsymbol{\eta}^1; \boldsymbol{\eta}^5; \boldsymbol{\eta}^1 \text{ Et}_8 \text{ -calix}[4] \text{ pyrrolyl} (SiMe_3)_2 $  | 8  |
| $(\boldsymbol{\eta}^5: \boldsymbol{\eta}^1: \boldsymbol{\eta}^5: \boldsymbol{\eta}^1 \operatorname{Et}_8$ -calix[4]pyrrolyl){EuN(SiMe_3)_2}:   | 9  |
| $(\boldsymbol{\eta}^5; \boldsymbol{\eta}^1; \boldsymbol{\eta}^5; \boldsymbol{\eta}^1 \operatorname{Et}_8 \operatorname{-calix}[4] \operatorname{pyrrolyl} (\operatorname{SiMe}_3)_2)(\operatorname{thf}) $ |    |
| $(\boldsymbol{\eta}^5: \boldsymbol{\eta}^1: \boldsymbol{\eta}^5: \boldsymbol{\eta}^1$ Et <sub>8</sub> -calix[4]pyrrolyl)Sm <sub>4</sub> ( $\mu$ -O) <sub>2</sub> (thf) <sub>2</sub>                        | 11 |
|  | 11 |
|  | 11 |
| Photophysical Data   |    |
| Absorption and emission of Sm complexes  |    |
|  |    |
|  |    |
| Cyclic voltammogram of $(\eta^3; \eta^1; \eta^3; \eta^1 \text{Et}_8 \text{-calix}[4] \text{pyrroly}] \{\text{SmN}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_2$  |    |
| Cyclic voltammogram of $(\eta^3; \eta^1; \eta^3; \eta^1 Et_8$ -calix[4]pyrrolyl){EuN(SiMe_3) <sub>2</sub> } <sub>2</sub>   | 15 |
| Mass Spectrometry  |    |
| X-Ray Crystalloaraphy  |    |
| $(n^5 \cdot n^1 \cdot n^5 \cdot n^1 \text{ Ft}_{\circ} - \text{calix}[4] \text{ nvrrolv}] \{\text{SmN}(\text{SiMe}_{\circ})_{\circ}\}_{\circ} \text{ Complex}$   | 18 |
| $(n^5: n^1: n^5: n^1 \text{Et}_8 \text{-calix}[4] \text{pyrroly}) \text{EuN}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2 \text{Complex}$  |    |
| $(n^5: n^1: n^5: n^1 \text{ Ets} - \text{calix}[4] \text{pyrroly}) \{\text{Eu}_2(\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2)(\text{th}f)\}$   |    |
| $(\boldsymbol{\eta}^5: \boldsymbol{\eta}^1: \boldsymbol{\eta}^5: \boldsymbol{\eta}^1$ Et <sub>8</sub> -calix[4]pyrrolyl)Sm <sub>4</sub> ( $\mu$ -O) <sub>2</sub> (thf) <sub>2</sub>                        |    |

## Table of figures

| Figure S1: Proton NMR spectrum of Calix[4]pyrrole in CDCl <sub>3</sub> . †residual CHCl <sub>3</sub> , ‡H <sub>2</sub> O, *iPrOH.5   |
|--|
| Figure S2: Proton NMR spectrum of Sm(N(SiMe <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> ( $\mu$ -Cl)Li(thf) <sub>3</sub> in C <sub>6</sub> D <sub>6</sub> . †residual C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub> 6   |
| Figure S3: Proton NMR spectrum of Eu(N(SiMe <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> ( $\mu$ -Cl)Li(thf) <sub>3</sub> in C <sub>6</sub> D <sub>6</sub> +residual C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub> ,   |
| ‡grease, *pentane7   |
| <b>Figure S4:</b> Proton NMR spectrum of $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 Et_8 - calix[4] pyrrolyl){SmN(SiMe_3)_2}_2$ in C <sub>6</sub> D <sub>6</sub> ,   |
| $\label{eq:residual} \ensuremath{^{+}\text{residual C}_6H_6}\hdots\ensuremath{^{-}\text{H}_6}\hdots\ensuremath$ |
| <b>Figure S5:</b> Proton NMR spectrum of $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 \text{Et}_8 - \text{calix}[4] \text{pyrrolyl}){\text{EuN}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2}_2$ in C <sub>6</sub> D <sub>6</sub> ,  |
| <pre>tresidual C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, ‡grease, *thf9</pre>  |
| <b>Figure S6:</b> Proton NMR spectrum of $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 Et_8 - calix[4] pyrrolyl){Sm_2(N(SiMe_3)_2)(thf)} in$  |
| $C_6 D_6, \dagger residual \ C_6 H_6, \\ \ddagger unidentified \ impurity, \\ \ast pentane. \\ 10$   |
| <b>Figure S7:</b> Proton NMR spectrum of $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 \text{Et}_8 - \text{calix}[4] \text{pyrrolyl})\text{Sm}_4(\mu-O)_2(\text{thf})_2 \text{ in } C_6D_6$ ,   |
| †residual C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub> , *pentane   |
| Figure S8: Proton NMR spectrum of the precipitate generated after addition of 12-crown-4,  |
| * pentane, ‡ grease  |
| <b>Figure S9</b> : Absorption spectrum of $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 Et_8 - calix[4]pyrrolyl){SmN(SiMe_3)_2}_2$ in   |
| pentane  |
| <b>Figure S10:</b> Emission spectrum of $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 Et_8 - calix[4]pyrrolyl){SmN(SiMe_3)_2}_2$ in   |
| pentane. Excitation at 320 nm  |
| <b>Figure S11:</b> Absorption spectrum of $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 \text{ Et}_8 - \text{calix}[4] \text{pyrrolyl}){Sm_2(N(SiMe_3)_2)(thf)}$ in   |
| pentane14  |
| <b>Figure S12:</b> Emission spectrum of $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 \text{ Et}_8 - \text{calix}[4] \text{pyrrolyl} (\text{SiMe}_3)_2)(\text{thf})$ in   |
| pentane. Excitation at 327 nm  |
| <b>Figure S13:</b> One electron reduction of $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 \text{ Et}_8 - \text{calix}[4] \text{pyrrolyl}){SmN(SiMe_3)_2}_2 15$   |
| <b>Figure S14:</b> One electron reduction of $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 \text{ Et}_8 \text{ -calix}[4] \text{pyrrolyl} \{\text{EuN}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_2 \dots 15$   |
| <b>Figure S15:</b> Extended voltammogram of $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 \text{ Et}_8 - \text{calix}[4] \text{pyrrolyl}) \{\text{SmN}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_2$ . 16   |
| <b>Figure S16:</b> Extended voltammogram of $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 \text{ Et}_8 - \text{calix}[4] \text{pyrrolyl}) \{\text{EuN}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_2 16$   |
| Figure S17: Electronic ionization high resolution mass spectrum of the volatiles obtained  |
| from the reaction with silanol17   |

#### **Experimental Section**

#### Chemicals and reagents

Pyrrole ( $C_4H_5N$ , 98+%) and 3-pentanone ( $C_5H_{10}O$ , 99%) were purchased from Alfa Aesar. Mercury (Hg, 99.99%) and 12-crown-4-ether( $C_8H_{16}O_4$ , 98%) were purchased from sigma Aldrich. SmCl<sub>3</sub> (anhydrous, 100%) and EuCl<sub>3</sub> (anhydrous, 100%) were purchased from Strem Chemicals. Methanesulfonic acid (CH<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>H, 70%) was purchased from Acros Organics. Lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide ( $C_6H_{18}Si_2NLi$ , 97%) was purchased from Aldrich. Toluene was refluxed over sodium and distilled under nitrogen before use. Any other solvents used for experiments under nitrogen were dried using a solvent purification system from Pure Process Technologies. All reactions except the ligand synthesis were performed under nitrogen.

#### Apparatus

All NMR spectra were obtained using a Bruker AVANCE 300 MHz or Bruker AVANCE III 400 MHz Spectrometer. Absorption and emission spectra were collected on a HORIBA Duetta Spectrophotometer using HORIBA EzSpec software. Cyclic voltammograms were collected on a CH Instruments Electrochemical Analyzer using a three-electrode system. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies were performed at Vanderbilt University, all measurements were made on a Rigaku Oxford Diffraction Supernova Eos CCD with filtered Cu K $\alpha$  or Mo K $\alpha$  radiation at a temperature of 100 K.

Synthesis of calix[4]-pyrrole: The synthesis of calix[4]pyrrole was adapted from Jacoby et al.<sup>1</sup> Freshly distilled pyrrole (11.6 mL, 167.2 mmol), 3-pentanone (17.7 mL, 167.2 mmol), and methanesulfonic acid (55.5  $\mu$ L/ 10mmol of pyrrole) were mixed in ethanol and refluxed for 4.5 hrs. The dark brown reaction mixture was allowed to cool down to room temperature and placed in the refrigerator overnight. The white crystalline solid formed was filtered off, recrystallized from ethanol several times to remove unreacted pyrrole, washed with cold ethanol and dried under vacuum (17.4 g, 77%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  (ppm) 0.63 (t, *J* = *9.7 Hz*, CH<sub>3</sub>, 24H), 1.8 (br s, CH<sub>2</sub>, 16H), 5.93 (s, C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>NH, 8H), 6.98 (s, C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>NH, 4H).

Preparation of Sm(N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub> ( $\mu$ -Cl)Li(thf)<sub>3</sub>: The synthesis of Sm(N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub> ( $\mu$ -Cl)Li(thf)<sub>3</sub> was adapted from Bradley et al.<sup>2</sup> Lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide (1.163 g, 6.950 mmol) was dissolved in dry THF (15 mL) under nitrogen and cooled down to 0 °C. Samarium(iii) chloride (0.595 g, 2.317 mmol) was added portion wise over 30 minutes. Then the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 hours. THF was removed under vacuum and the residue was extracted with n-pentane. Off white needle like crystals were obtained by slow evaporation of pentane (1.983 g, 96%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  (ppm) -1.56 (s, SiCH<sub>3</sub>, 54H), 1.35 (s, THF, 12H), 3.41 (s, THF, 12H).

Preparation of Eu(N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub> ( $\mu$ -Cl)Li(thf)<sub>3</sub>: Prepared according to the same procedure described for Sm(N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub> ( $\mu$ -Cl)Li(thf)<sub>3</sub> (0.620 g, 90%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  (ppm) 1.31 (s, THF, 12H), 3.61 (s, THF, 12H), 6.95 (s, SiCH<sub>3</sub>, 54H).

Preparation of  $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 \text{ Et}_8\text{-calix}[4]\text{pyrroly}]{SmN(SiMe_3)_2}_2$ : The synthesis was adapted from the procedure reported by Zhou et al.<sup>3</sup> A toluene solution of calix[4]pyrrole (0.124 g, 0.23 mmol in 2.0 mL of toluene) was added dropwise to a toluene solution of Sm(N(SiMe\_3)\_2)\_3 ( $\mu$ -

Cl) Li(thf)<sub>3</sub> (0.407 g, 0.458 mmol in 5.5 mL of toluene) under nitrogen and stirred at room temperature for six days and then at 100°C for one day. Solvent was removed under vacuum and extracted with pentane. Needle like crystals were obtained by slow evaporation of pentane (0.600 g, 23%).<sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  (ppm) -0.91 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>, 36H), -0.63 (t, *J* = *6.7 Hz*, CH<sub>3</sub>, 24H), 0.29 (s, CH<sub>2</sub>, 16H), 10.14 (s, C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>N, 8H). Elem. Anal. for C<sub>48</sub>H<sub>84</sub>N<sub>6</sub>Si<sub>4</sub>Sm<sub>2</sub> calcd. C, 49.77; H, 7.31; N, 7.26; found: C, 49.82; H, 7.38; N, 7.36.

Preparation of  $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 \text{ Et}_8 \text{ -calix}[4]pyrrolyl){EuN(SiMe_3)_2}_2$ : Prepared according to the same procedure described for  $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 \text{ Et}_8\text{-calix}[4]pyrrolyl){SmN(SiMe_3)_2}_2$  (0.231 g, 59%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  (ppm) -5.97 (s, C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>N, 4H), 8.69 (s, CH<sub>2</sub>, 16H), 10.69 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>, 24H), 45.19 (s, C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>N, 4H). Elem. Anal. for C<sub>48</sub>H<sub>84</sub>N<sub>6</sub>Si<sub>4</sub>Eu<sub>2</sub> calcd. C, 49.64; H, 7.29; N, 7.24; found: C, 49.64; H, 7.30; N, 7.24.

Preparation of  $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 \text{ Et}_8 \text{ -calix}[4]pyrrolyl}{Sm_2(N(SiMe_3)_2)(thf)}$ : To a THF solution of  $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 \text{ Et}_8 \text{ -calix}[4]pyrrolyl}{SmN(SiMe_3)_2} (0.036 g, 0.031 mmol) under nitrogen was added sodium amalgam (0.007 g, 0.304 mmol Na(s) in Hg)<sup>4</sup> at room temperature and stirred overnight. After sodium amalgam was separated from the reaction solution solvent was removed under vacuum. Then the brown solid obtained was dissolved in pentane and treated with a drop of 12-crown-4 ether diluted in pentane. The solid that precipitated out was filtered and solvent was removed under vacuum (27 mg, 77%). 1H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz): <math>\delta$  (ppm) - 18.05 (s, THF, 4H), -7.71 (s, THF, 4H), -4.31 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>, 12H), -2.60 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>, 12H), 1.35 (s, CH<sub>2</sub>, 8H), 2.55 (s, CH<sub>2</sub>, 8H), 2.94 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>, 18H), 12.96 (s, C4H<sub>2</sub>N, 4H). Elem. Anal. for C<sub>46</sub>H<sub>74</sub>N<sub>5</sub>OSi<sub>2</sub>Sm<sub>2</sub> calcd. C, 51.64; H, 6.97; N, 6.55; found: C, 52.02; H, 6.88; N, 6.43.

Preparation of  $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 \text{ Et}_8 \text{ -calix}[4]pyrrolyl){Eu_2(N(SiMe_3)_2)(thf)}:$  To a THF solution of  $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 \text{ Et}_8 \text{ -calix}[4]pyrrolyl}{EuN(SiMe_3)_2}_2$  (0.035 g, 0.029 mmol) under nitrogen was added sodium amalgam (0.007 g, 0.304 mmol Na<sub>(s)</sub> in Hg)<sup>4</sup> at room temperature and stirred overnight. After sodium amalgam was separated from the reaction solution solvent was removed under vacuum. Then the dark brown solid obtained was dissolved in pentane and treated with a drop of 12-crown-4 ether diluted in pentane. The solid that precipitated out was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated by slow evaporation to get needle like crystals (29 mg, 90%). NMR signals for this are extremely broad due to high paramagnetic nature of the complex. Elem. Anal. for C<sub>46</sub>H<sub>74</sub>N<sub>5</sub>OSi<sub>2</sub>Eu<sub>2</sub> calcd. C, 51.48; H, 6.95; N, 6.53; found: C, 51.28; H, 6.90; N, 6.42.

Preparation of ( $\eta^5$ :  $\eta^1$ :  $\eta^5$ :  $\eta^1$  Et<sub>8</sub> -calix[4]pyrrolyl)Sm<sub>4</sub>( $\mu$ -O)<sub>2</sub>(thf)<sub>2</sub> with silanol: To a THF solution of ( $\eta^5$ :  $\eta^1$ :  $\eta^5$ :  $\eta^1$  Et<sub>8</sub> -calix[4]pyrrolyl){Sm<sub>2</sub>(N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>)(thf)} (0.036 g, 0.034 mmol) under nitrogen was added a THF solution of silanol (0.003 g, 0.034 mmol) dropwise at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for eight days at room temperature and the solvent was removed under vacuum. Then the greenish brown crude obtained was dissolved in pentane and concentrated by slow evaporation to get needle like crystals (18 mg, 58%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  (ppm) -20.70 (s, THF, 8H), -11.76 (s, THF, 8H), -9.52 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>, 24H), -5.71 (s, CH<sub>2</sub>, 16H), -5.09 (s, CH<sub>2</sub>, 16H), 0.22 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>, 24H), 4.28 (s, C4H<sub>2</sub>N, 8H), 10.79 (s, C4H<sub>2</sub>N, 8H). NMR signals for this are broad due to paramagnetic nature of the compound. Elem. Anal. for C<sub>80</sub>H<sub>112</sub>N<sub>8</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Sm<sub>4</sub> calcd. C, 51.90; H, 6.10; N, 6.05; found: C, 51.92; H, 6.15; N, 5.95.

Preparation of  $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 \text{ Et}_8 \text{ -calix}[4] \text{pyrrolyl})\text{Sm}_4(\mu-O)_2(\text{thf})_2$  with water: Nitrogen was bubbled through a few mL of DI water and a dilute solution of water in THF was prepared. One

equivalent of the above solution was added dropwise to a THF solution of ( $\eta^5$ :  $\eta^1$ :  $\eta^5$ :  $\eta^1$  Et<sub>8</sub> - calix[4]pyrrolyl){Sm<sub>2</sub>(N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>)(thf)} (0.036 g, 0.034 mmol) under nitrogen at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for an hour at 0 °C and the solvent was removed under vacuum. Then the greenish brown crude obtained was dissolved in pentane and concentrated by slow evaporation to get crystals (10 mg, 33%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  (ppm) -20.78 (s, THF, 8H), -11.52 (s, THF, 8H), -10.13 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>, 24H), -5.76 (s, CH<sub>2</sub>, 16H), -5.08 (s, CH<sub>2</sub>, 16H), 0.22 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>, 24H), 4.13 (s, C4H<sub>2</sub>N, 8H), 10.82 (s, C4H<sub>2</sub>N, 8H). NMR signals for this are broad due to paramagnetic nature of the compound. Elem. Anal. for C<sub>80</sub>H<sub>112</sub>N<sub>8</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Sm<sub>4</sub> calcd. C, 51.90; H, 6.10; N, 6.05; found: C, 51.97; H, 6.14; N, 6.00.

#### NMR

Calix[4]pyrrole:



Figure S1: Proton NMR spectrum of Calix[4]pyrrole in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. †residual CHCl<sub>3</sub>, ‡H<sub>2</sub>O, \*iPrOH

 $Sm(N(SiMe_3)_2)_3 (\mu$ -Cl)Li(thf)<sub>3</sub>: <sup>1</sup>H (400.144 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)



Figure S2: Proton NMR spectrum of Sm(N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub> ( $\mu$ -Cl)Li(thf)<sub>3</sub> in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>. +residual C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>

Eu(N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (**µ**-Cl)Li(thf)<sub>3</sub>: <sup>1</sup>H (400.144 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)



**Figure S3:** Proton NMR spectrum of  $Eu(N(SiMe_3)_2)_3 (\mu$ -Cl)Li(thf)<sub>3</sub> in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> †residual C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, ‡grease, \*pentane.

 $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 Et_8 - calix[4] pyrrolyl){SmN(SiMe_3)_2}_2:$ <sup>1</sup>H (400.144 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)



**Figure S4:** Proton NMR spectrum of  $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 \text{Et}_8 - \text{calix}[4] \text{pyrrolyl}){SmN(SiMe_3)_2}_2$  in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, †residual C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>



**Figure S5:** Proton NMR spectrum of  $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 \text{Et}_8 - \text{calix}[4] \text{pyrrolyl} \{\text{EuN}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_2$  in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, †residual C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, ‡grease, \*thf.

 $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 Et_8$ -calix[4]pyrrolyl){Sm<sub>2</sub>(N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>)(thf)}: <sup>1</sup>H (400.144 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)



**Figure S6:** Proton NMR spectrum of  $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 \text{Et}_8 \text{-calix}[4] \text{pyrrolyl}) \{ \text{Sm}_2(\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2)(\text{thf}) \}$  in  $C_6D_6$ , †residual  $C_6H_6$ , ‡unidentified impurity, \*pentane.

 $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 Et_8$ -calix[4]pyrrolyl)Sm<sub>4</sub>(µ-O)<sub>2</sub>(thf)<sub>2</sub> <sup>1</sup>H (400.144 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)



**Figure S7:** Proton NMR spectrum of  $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 \text{Et}_8 \text{-calix}[4] \text{pyrrolyl})\text{Sm}_4(\mu-O)_2(\text{thf})_2$  in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, †residual C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, \*pentane.



**Figure S8:** Proton NMR spectrum of the precipitate generated after addition of 12-crown-4, \* pentane, ‡ grease

#### Photophysical Data

Absorption and emission of Sm complexes



**Figure S9**: Absorption spectrum of  $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 \text{ Et}_8 - \text{calix}[4] \text{pyrrolyl}){SmN(SiMe_3)_2}_2$  in pentane



**Figure S10:** Emission spectrum of  $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 \text{Et}_8 - \text{calix}[4] \text{pyrrolyl}){SmN(SiMe_3)_2}_2$  in pentane. Excitation at 320 nm



**Figure S11:** Absorption spectrum of  $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 \text{Et}_8 - \text{calix}[4] \text{pyrrolyl}){Sm_2(N(SiMe_3)_2)(thf)}$  in pentane



**Figure S12:** Emission spectrum of  $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 \text{ Et}_8 \text{ -calix}[4] \text{pyrrolyl} (Sm_2(N(SiMe_3)_2)(thf)) in pentane. Excitation at 327 nm$ 

#### **Electrochemical Data**



Cyclic voltammogram of ( $\eta^5$ :  $\eta^1$ :  $\eta^5$ :  $\eta^1$  Et<sub>8</sub> -calix[4]pyrrolyl){SmN(SiMe\_3)\_2}\_2





Cyclic voltammogram of ( $\eta^5$ :  $\eta^1$ :  $\eta^5$ :  $\eta^1$  Et<sub>8</sub> -calix[4]pyrrolyl){EuN(SiMe\_3)\_2}\_2

**Figure S14:** One electron reduction of  $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 \text{ Et}_8 \text{ -calix}[4] \text{pyrrolyl}){\text{EuN}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2}_2$ 



Figure S15: Extended voltammogram of  $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 \text{ Et}_8 \text{ -calix}[4] \text{pyrrolyl}) \{\text{SmN}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_2$ 



**Figure S16:** Extended voltammogram of  $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 \text{ Et}_8 \text{ -calix}[4] \text{pyrrolyl}){\text{EuN}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2}_2$ 

#### Mass Spectrometry



**Figure S17:** Electronic ionization high resolution mass spectrum of the volatiles obtained from the reaction with silanol.

## X-Ray Crystallography

 $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 \mathsf{Et}_8 \operatorname{-calix}[4] \mathsf{pyrrolyl}) \{\mathsf{SmN}(\mathsf{SiMe}_3)_2\}_2 \mathsf{Complex}.$ 

| ci ystal data and structure re       |  |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Identification code                  | NM132 (1)  |
| Empirical formula                    | $C_{48}H_{84}N_6Si_4Sm_2$                                      |
| Formula weight                       | 1158.27  |
| Temperature/K                        | 100.01(10)   |
| Crystal system                       | triclinic  |
| Space group                          | P-1  |
| a/Å                                  | 11.4659(3)   |
| b/Å                                  | 11.7021(3)   |
| c/Å                                  | 21.3015(6)   |
| α/°                                  | 79.168(2)  |
| β/°                                  | 79.681(2)  |
| γ/°                                  | 76.855(2)  |
| Volume/ų                             | 2705.71(13)  |
| Z                                    | 2  |
| $\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$                  | 1.422  |
| µ/mm <sup>-1</sup>                   | 2.274  |
| F(000)                               | 1188.0   |
| Crystal size/mm <sup>3</sup>         | 0.399 × 0.386 × 0.275  |
| Radiation                            | ΜοΚα (λ = 0.71073)   |
| 20 range for data collection/        | 4.576 to 60.552  |
| Index ranges                         | $-16 \le h \le 16, -16 \le k \le 15, -29 \le l \le 30$         |
| Reflections collected                | 65776  |
| Independent reflections              | 14653 [R <sub>int</sub> = 0.0552, R <sub>sigma</sub> = 0.0440] |
| Data/restraints/parameters           | 14653/0/561  |
| Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>    | 1.116  |
| Final R indexes [I>=2σ (I)]          | $R_1 = 0.0395$ , $wR_2 = 0.0823$                               |
| Final R indexes [all data]           | $R_1 = 0.0460, wR_2 = 0.0850$                                  |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $Å^{-3}$ | 2.71/-1.25   |

#### Crystal data and structure refinement for NM132 (1).

 $(\eta^5: \eta^1: \eta^5: \eta^1 \mathsf{Et}_8 - \mathsf{calix}[4] \mathsf{pyrrolyl}) \{\mathsf{EuN}(\mathsf{SiMe}_3)_2\}_2 \mathsf{Complex}.$ 

| crystal data and structure rel               |  |
|--|--|
| Identification code                          | NM210b (1)   |
| Empirical formula                            | $C_{48}H_{84}Eu_2N_6Si_4$                                      |
| Formula weight                               | 1161.49  |
| Temperature/K                                | 100.01(10)   |
| Crystal system                               | triclinic  |
| Space group                                  | P-1  |
| a/Å  | 11.4426(5)   |
| b/Å  | 11.6392(5)   |
| c/Å  | 21.2371(9)   |
| α/°  | 79.337(4)  |
| β/°  | 79.682(4)  |
| γ/°  | 77.099(4)  |
| Volume/ų                                     | 2681.2(2)  |
| Z  | 2  |
| $\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$                          | 1.439  |
| µ/mm⁻¹                                       | 2.444  |
| F(000)                                       | 1192.0   |
| Crystal size/mm <sup>3</sup>                 | 0.154 × 0.046 × 0.038  |
| Radiation                                    | ΜοΚα (λ = 0.71073)   |
| 20 range for data collection/°               | 4.942 to 60.59   |
| Index ranges                                 | $-14 \leq h \leq 16,  -15 \leq k \leq 16,  -29 \leq l \leq 29$ |
| Reflections collected                        | 14131  |
| Independent reflections                      | 14131 [R <sub>int</sub> = ?, R <sub>sigma</sub> = 0.1208]      |
| Data/restraints/parameters                   | 14131/0/562  |
| Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>            | 1.029  |
| Final R indexes [I>=2σ (I)]                  | $R_1 = 0.0585$ , $wR_2 = 0.1056$                               |
| Final R indexes [all data]                   | $R_1 = 0.1028$ , $wR_2 = 0.1237$                               |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å $^{\text{-}3}$ | 2.88/-2.00   |

## Crystal data and structure refinement for NM210b (1).

# $(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{5}:\boldsymbol{\eta}^{1}:\boldsymbol{\eta}^{5}:\boldsymbol{\eta}^{1} \mathsf{Et}_{8} \operatorname{-calix}[4]\mathsf{pyrrolyl})\{\mathsf{Eu}_{2}(\mathsf{N}(\mathsf{SiMe}_{3})_{2})(\mathsf{thf})\}$

| crystal data and structure re               | inement for wivi100_2.  |
|---|---|
| Identification code                         | NM100_2   |
| Empirical formula                           | $C_{46}H_{74}Eu_2N_5OSi_2$                                    |
| Formula weight                              | 1073.20   |
| Temperature/K                               | 100.00(10)  |
| Crystal system                              | monoclinic  |
| Space group                                 | C2/c  |
| a/Å   | 13.7438(4)  |
| b/Å   | 20.1221(6)  |
| c/Å   | 17.6945(4)  |
| α/°   | 90  |
| β/°   | 90.855(2)   |
| γ/°   | 90  |
| Volume/ų                                    | 4892.9(2)   |
| Z   | 4   |
| $\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$                         | 1.457   |
| µ/mm⁻¹                                      | 2.626   |
| F(000)                                      | 2188.0  |
| Crystal size/mm <sup>3</sup>                | 0.306 × 0.249 × 0.045   |
| Radiation                                   | ΜοΚα (λ = 0.71073)  |
| 20 range for data collection/               | ' 4.658 to 60.48  |
| Index ranges                                | -18 ≤ h ≤ 18, -27 ≤ k ≤ 28, -23 ≤ l ≤ 24                      |
| Reflections collected                       | 30304   |
| Independent reflections                     | 6620 [R <sub>int</sub> = 0.0589, R <sub>sigma</sub> = 0.0486] |
| Data/restraints/parameters                  | 6620/0/262  |
| Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>           | 1.097   |
| Final R indexes [I>=2σ (I)]                 | R <sub>1</sub> = 0.0407, wR <sub>2</sub> = 0.0939             |
| Final R indexes [all data]                  | $R_1 = 0.0515$ , $wR_2 = 0.0998$                              |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å <sup>-3</sup> | 3.20/-1.20  |

## Crystal data and structure refinement for NM100\_2.

# $(\boldsymbol{\eta}^5:\boldsymbol{\eta}^1:\boldsymbol{\eta}^5:\boldsymbol{\eta}^1$ Et\_8-calix[4]pyrrolyl)Sm<sub>4</sub>(µ-O)<sub>2</sub>(thf)<sub>2</sub>

| ciystal data and structure re               |  |
|---|--|
| Identification code                         | NM229_twin1_hklf4  |
| Empirical formula                           | $C_{47}H_{64}N_4O_2Sm_2$                                 |
| Formula weight                              | 1017.72  |
| Temperature/K                               | 100.01(10)   |
| Crystal system                              | monoclinic   |
| Space group                                 | C2/c   |
| a/Å   | 17.9470(8)   |
| b/Å   | 21.5868(6)   |
| c/Å   | 23.2649(9)   |
| α/°   | 90   |
| β/°   | 108.706(4)   |
| γ/°   | 90   |
| Volume/ų                                    | 8537.1(6)  |
| Z   | 8  |
| $\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$                         | 1.584  |
| µ/mm⁻¹                                      | 20.763   |
| F(000)                                      | 4112.0   |
| Crystal size/mm <sup>3</sup>                | $0.159 \times 0.122 \times 0.08$                         |
| Radiation                                   | CuKα (λ = 1.54184)                                       |
| 20 range for data collection/               | 6.618 to 146.804   |
| Index ranges                                | $-22 \le h \le 21, -26 \le k \le 26, -28 \le l \le 28$   |
| Reflections collected                       | 9870   |
| Independent reflections                     | 9870 [R <sub>int</sub> = ?, R <sub>sigma</sub> = 0.0443] |
| Data/restraints/parameters                  | 9870/102/546   |
| Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>           | 1.116  |
| Final R indexes [I>=2σ (I)]                 | R <sub>1</sub> = 0.0659, wR <sub>2</sub> = 0.1888        |
| Final R indexes [all data]                  | $R_1 = 0.0831$ , $wR_2 = 0.2030$                         |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å <sup>-3</sup> | 1.58/-2.33   |

#### Crystal data and structure refinement for NM229\_twin1\_hklf4.

References:

- 1. D. Jacoby, C. Floriani, A. C-. Villa and C. Rizzoli, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1993, **115**, 3595-3602.
- 2. D. C. Bradley, J. S. Ghotra, and F. A. Hart, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans,* 1973, 1021-1023.
- 3. S. Zhou, S. Wu, H. Zhu, S. Wang, X. Zhu, L. Zhang, G. Yang, D. Cui, and H. Wang, *Dalton Trans*, 2011, **40**, 9447-9453.
- 4. N. G. Connelly and W. E. Geiger, *Chem. Rev.* 1996, **96**, 877–910.