Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Dalton Transactions. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2020

> Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Dalton Transactions. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2018

Novel Luminescent homo/heterometallic platinum(II) alkynyl complexes based on a Y-shaped pyridyl diphosphine

Li-Jing Han, Xiu-Xin Wu, Zheng-Gen Ma, Yi Li*, Qiao-Hua Wei*

^{*a*} MOE Key Laboratory for Analytical Science of Food Safety and Biology, Fujian Provincial Key Laboratory of Analysis and Detection Technology for Food Safety, Fujian Provincial Key Laboratory of Electrochemical Energy Storage Materials, College of Chemistry, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou, Fujian 350108, China.

^b State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter and Graduate School of CAS, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, China.

E-mail: <u>qhw76@fzu.edu.cn</u>; liy99@fzu.edu.cn.

content	page	
Cover page	1	
Table of Contents	2	
Characterizations (Fig. S1-S2)	3-4	
Crystal Structures (Table S1-S4)	5-8	
Photophysical Properties (Table S5-S6, Fig. S3-S7)	9-15	
References	16	

Table of Contents

Characterizations Additional IR Analysis



Fig. S1 FTIR spectra of complexes 1-3 (A) and 4-6 (B).

Characterizations

Additional ³¹P{¹H} NMR Analysis



Fig. S2 ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR spectra for complex 3 and 6 in CDCl₃ solution.

Compound	1·3/2CH ₂ Cl ₂	3·MeCN·CH ₂ Cl ₂
Empirical formula	$C_{207}H_{154}Cl_6N_4P_8Pt_4$	$C_{123}H_{112}Cl_2N_4P_4Pt_2$
fw	3938.15	2231.13
Temperature (K)	193 (2)	193 (2)
radiation (λ , Å)	0.72000	0.72000
Crystal system	Orthorhombic	Monoclinic
Space group	Pnna	<i>C</i> 2/c
<i>a</i> (Å)	23.599 (5)	32.222 (6)
<i>b</i> (Å)	37.492 (7)	16.172 (3)
c (Å)	19.730 (4)	20.802 (4)
β (°)	90	92.77 (3)
$V(\text{\AA}^3)$	17457 (6)	10827 (4)
Ζ	4	4
$\rho_{\rm calcd}, {\rm g/cm^3}$	1.498	1.369
μ , mm ⁻¹	3.417	2.740
R1 (<i>F</i> _o)	0.0481	0.0375
$wR2(F_o^2)$	0.1362	0.1068
GOF	1.042	1.056

Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for $1\cdot 3/2CH_2Cl_2$ and $3\cdot MeCN\cdot CH_2Cl_2$.

Compound	$[4]_{\infty} \cdot CH_2 Cl_2 \cdot 1/2 EtOEt$	$5 \cdot CH_2Cl_2$	
Empirical formula	$C_{172}H_{128}Ag_4CI_4N_2OP_4Pt_4$	$C_{179}H_{140}Ag_4CI_2N_2P_4Pt_4$	
fw	3716.28	3725.55	
Temperature (K)	193.15	77(2)	
radiation (λ , Å)	0.71073	0.72000	
Crystal system	Orthorhombic	Monoclinic	
Space group	Pbca	<i>P</i> 2(1)/c	
a (Å)	24.901(5)	18.249(4)	
b (Å)	24.480(5)	14.605(3)	
c (Å)	26.342(5)	27.333(6)	
β (°)	90	91.31(3)	
$V(\text{\AA}^3)$	16057(6)	7283(3)	
Z	4	2	
ρ_{calcd} , g/cm ³	1.537	1.699	
μ , mm ⁻¹	4.103	4.488	
R1 (<i>F</i> _o)	0.0618	0.0484	
$wR2(F_o^2)$	0.1657	0.1449	
GOF	1.185	1.143	

Table S2. Crystallographic data for compounds $[4]_{\infty}$ ·CH₂Cl₂·1/2EtOEt and 5·CH₂Cl₂.

Table S3. Bond lengths and bond angles for $1\cdot 3/2CH_2Cl_2$ and $3\cdot MeCN\cdot CH_2Cl_2$.

	bond distance [Å]					
	1·3/2CH ₂ Cl ₂			3·MeCN·CH ₂ Cl ₂		
Pt–C	Pt(1)-C(44)#1 2.002(4) Pt(1)-C(44) 2.002(4) Pt(2)-C(4)#1 1.991(3)	Pt(2)-C(4) Pt(3)-C(92) Pt(3)-C(100)	1.991(3) 1.999(4) 2.002(4)	Pt(1)-C(1) 1.989(2)	Pt(1)-C(21) 1.988(2)	
Pt–P	Pt(1)-P(1) 2.2883(10) Pt(1)-P(1)#1 2.2883(10) Pt(2)-P(2) 2.2822(11)	Pt(2)-P(2)#1 Pt(3)-P(3) Pt(3)-P(4)	2.2823(11) 2.2853(9) 2.2832(10)	Pt(1)-P(1) 2.2908(7)	Pt(1)-P(2) 2.2845(7)	
	bond angle [°]					
C-Pt-C	C(44)#1-Pt(1)-C(44) C(4)-Pt(2)-C(4)#1 C(92)-Pt(3)-C(100)	179.19(19) 178.7(2) 178.00(16)		C(1)-Pt(1)-C(21)	173.29(10)	
P-Pt-P	P(1)-Pt(1)-P(1)#1 P(2)-Pt(2)-P(2)#1 P(4)-Pt(3)-P(3)	173.94(4) 176.17(4) 175.18(3)		P(2)-Pt(1)-P(1)	177.98(2)	
C-Pt-P	$\begin{array}{c} C(44)\#1-Pt(1)-P(1)\\ C(44)-Pt(1)-P(1)\\ C(44)\#1-Pt(1)-P(1)\#1\\ C(44)\#1-Pt(1)-P(1)\#1\\ C(4)\#1-Pt(2)-P(2)\\ C(4)-Pt(2)-P(2)\\ C(4)-Pt(2)-P(2)\#1\\ C(4)\#1-Pt(2)-P(2)\#1\\ C(92)-Pt(3)-P(3)\\ C(92)-Pt(3)-P(4)\\ C(100)-Pt(3)-P(3)\\ \end{array}$	93.45(11) 86.51(11) 86.51(11) 93.44(11) 87.16(12) 92.88(12) 87.16(12) 92.88(12) 93.42(13) 86.80(13) 84.69(10)		C(1)-Pt(1)-P(1) C(1)-Pt(1)-P(2) C(21)-Pt(1)-P(1) C(21)-Pt(1)-P(2)	87.68(7) 90.35(7) 93.80(7) 88.21(7)	

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: #1 - x + 3/2, -y + 1, z

	bond distance [Å]					
	[4] ∞·CH ₂ C	$l_2 \cdot 1/2 EtOEt$	5.CH ₂ Cl ₂			
Pt–Ag	Pt(1)-Ag(1) 3.1807(10) Pt(1)-Ag(2) 2.9078(8)	Pt(2)-Ag(1) 2.9198(9) Pt(2)-Ag(2) 3.0122(9)	Pt(1)-Ag (1) 3.0831(9) Pt(1)-Ag(2) 3.0161(9)	Pt(2)-Ag(1) 3.0062(9) Pt(2)-Ag(2) 3.0317(6)		
Pt–P	Pt(1)-P(2) 2.299(2)	Pt(2)-P(1) 2.307(2)	Pt(1)-P(2) 2.2994(11)	Pt(2)-P(1) 2.2933(10)		
Pt–C	Pt(1)-C(1) 2.019(10) Pt(1)-C(11) 2.007(9) Pt(1)-C(21) 2.023(9)	Pt(2)-C(31) 2.030(10) Pt(2)-C(41) 2.008(10) Pt(2)-C(51) 1.998(10)	Pt(1)-C(1) 2.013(4) Pt(1)-C(21) 2.010(4) Pt(1)-C(51) 2.018(4)	Pt(2)-C(11) 2.021(4) Pt(2)-C(31) 2.008(4) Pt(2)-C(41) 1.999(4)		
Ag–C	Ag(1)-C(1) 2.334(9) Ag(1)-C(21) 2.658(9) Ag(1)-C(41) 2.277(10) Ag(1)-C(2) 2.501(9) Ag(1)-C(42) 2.622(11)	Ag(2)-C(11) 2.696(9) Ag(2)-C(21) 2.604(10) Ag(2)-C(51) 2.473(9)	Ag(1)-C(11) 2.259(4) Ag(1)-C(21) 2.283(4) Ag(1)-C(12) 2.514(4) Ag(1)-C(22) 2.402(4)	$\begin{array}{rrrr} Ag(2)\text{-}C(1) & 2.490(4) \\ Ag(2)\text{-}C(31) & 2.291(4) \\ Ag(2)\text{-}C(51) & 2.413(4) \\ Ag(2)\text{-}C(32) & 2.545(4) \\ Ag(2)\text{-}C(52) & 2.626(4) \end{array}$		
Ag–N		Ag(2)-N(1)#1 2.290(7)				
C–C	C(1)-C(2) 1.220(13) C(11)-C(12) 1.195(13) C(21)-C(22) 1.217(14)	C(31)-C(32) 1.164(13) C(41)-C(42) 1.216(15) C(51)-C(52) 1.210(13) bond a	$ \begin{array}{ccc} C(1)-C(2) & 1.217(6) \\ C(11)-C(12) & 1.218(6) \\ C(21)-C(22) & 1.223(6) \\ \\ \text{angle } [^{\circ}] \end{array} $	C(31)-C(32) 1.225(6) C(41)-C(42) 1.211(6) C(51)-C(52) 1.214(6)		
C–Pt–C	C(1)-Pt(1)-C(21) 88.3(4) C(11)-Pt(1)-C(21) 89.9(4) C(11)-Pt(1)-C(1) 177.0(4)	C(41)-Pt(2)-C(31) 86.9(4) C(51)-Pt(2)-C(31) 88.0(4) C(51)-Pt(2)-C(41) 170.4(4)	C(1)-Pt(1)-C(51) 87.48(17) C(21)-Pt(1)-C(1) 88.68(17) C(21)-Pt(1)-C(51) 172.27(16)	C(31)-Pt(2)-C(11) 90.75(16) C(41)-Pt(2)-C(11) 87.81(16) C(41)-Pt(2)-C(31) 174.84(16)		
C–Pt–P	C(1)-Pt(1)-P(2) 88.3(3) C(11)-Pt(1)-P(2) 93.9(3) C(21)-Pt(1)-P(2) 172.4(3)	C(41)-Pt(2)-P(1) 93.6(3) C(51)-Pt(2)-P(1) 92.8(2) C(31)-Pt(2)-P(1) 170.4(3)	C(51)-Pt(1)-P(2) 89.15(13) C(21)-Pt(1)-P(2) 93.97(12) C(1)-Pt(1)-P(2) 173.32(12)	C(41)-Pt(2)-P(1) 87.17(11) C(31)-Pt(2)-P(1) 94.31(12) C(11)-Pt(2)-P(1) 174.94(12)		
C–Ag–C	C(1)-Ag(1)-C(21) 68.3(3) C(21)-Ag(1)-C(41) 128.2(3) C(41)-Ag(1)-C(1) 155.1(3)	C(21)-Ag(2)-C(11) 64.9(3) C(51)-Ag(2)-C(11) 128.5(3) C(51)-Ag(2)-C(21) 137.2(3)	C(11)-Ag(1)- C(21)160.43(16) C(21)-Ag(1)-C(12) 146.22(15)	C(51)-Ag(2)-C(1) 69.26(14) C(31)-Ag(2)-C(1) 130.07(14) C(31)-Ag(2)-C(51) 155.0(1)		
N–Ag–C		N(1)#1-Ag(2)-C(11) 92.4(3) N(1)#1-Ag(2)-C(21) 100.1(3) N(1)#1-Ag(2)-C(51) 117.3(3)				

Table S4. Bond lengths and bond angles for $[4]_{\infty}$ ·CH₂Cl₂·1/2EtOEt and **5**·CH₂Cl₂.



Electronic absorption spectra

Fig. S3 The electronic absorption spectra of L ligand, 1-3 (A) and 4-6 (B) in CH_2Cl_2 solution at room temperature.

Emission spectra



Fig. S4 Emission spectra of compounds 1, 3 and L ligand in the solid state at 298 K.

Emission spectra



Fig. S5 Emission (λ_{ex} = 312 nm) spectra of compounds 1 (black line), 2 (red line), 3 (blue line) and L (cyan line) ligand in CH₂Cl₂ (298 K) with the concentration 1×10⁻⁴ M.

Computational Details

All the calculations were carried out by using the Gaussian 09 program package¹ to get insight into the photophysical properties of $[4]_{\infty}$. The initial structure was extracted from the crystallographically determined geometry. To analyze the emission properties of the cluster, one hundred triplet excited states were calculated by the time-dependent DFT (TD-DFT)^{2, 3} method at the gradient corrected correlation functional PBE1PBE⁴ level on the basis of the ground state geometry structure. In these calculations, the Hay–Wadt double- ζ with a Los Alamos relativistic effect basis set (LANL2DZ)^{5, 6}consisting of the effective core potentials (ECP) was employed for the Ag and Pt atoms, and 6-31G* basis set for the remaining non-metal atoms. Visualization of the frontier molecular orbitals was performed by GaussView software⁷. In the Multiwfn⁸ program package, Ros-Schuit method⁹ (C-squared population analysis method, SCPA) was supported to analyze the partition orbital composition.

HOMO

HOMO-4

orbital	energy (eV)	1	MO contrib	ution (%)	
	-	Ag	Pt	C≡CR	L
LUMO+9	-0.027	32.72	10.31	33.30	23.67
LUMO+8	-0.136	51.42	6.80	14.96	26.82
LUMO+1	-2.721	2.01	2.27	1.17	94.55

45.36

13.91

4.32

35.51

21.11

50.55

29.21

0.03

-4.054

-6.041

Table S5. Partial molecular orbital compositions (%) in the triplet excited state for the simplified model of $[4]_{\infty}$ in solid state by TD-DFT method at the PBE1PBE level.

Table S6 The excitation transitions for the simplified model of $[4]_{\infty}$ in solid state by TD-DFT method at the PBE1PBE level.

states	<i>E</i> , nm (eV)	O.S.	transition	contrib.	assignment
T ₁	434 (2.85)	0.0026	HOMO-4→LUMO+1	91.42%	³ MMLCT/ ³ LLCT
T_2	354 (3.50)	0.2015	HOMO→LUMO+9	70.11%	³ MMLCT/ ³ LMMCT/ ³ IL/ ³ LLCT
			HOMO→LUMO+8	26.52%	³ MMLCT/ ³ LMMCT/ ³ IL/ ³ LLCT



Fig. S6. Plots of the frontier molecular orbitals involved in the excitation transitions of the simplified model of $[4]_{\infty}$ in solid state by TD-DFT method at the PBE1PBE level. The cyan, royalblue, orange, blue, gray, and white spheres represent the silver, platium, phosphorus, nitrogen, carbon, and hydrogen atoms, respectively.

Emission spectra



Fig. S7 Variation of the excitation (left, $\lambda_{em} = 560 \text{ nm}$) and emission (right, independent of the λ_{ex} used) spectra of **4** in ClCH₂CH₂Cl (298 K) with the concentration 1×10^{-5} M (black), 5×10^{-5} M (blue), 1×10^{-4} M (maganta), 5×10^{-4} M (red), and 1×10^{-3} M (green).

References

1. M. Frisch, G. Trucks, H. Schlegel, G. Scuseria, M. Robb, J. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci and G. Petersson, *Inc., Wallingford, CT*, 2009.

2. R. Bauernschmitt and R. Ahlrichs, Chemical Physics Letters, 1996, 256, 454-464.

3. R. Stratmann, G. Scuseria and M. Frisch, *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 1998, 109, 8218-8224.

- 4. J. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, *Physical review letters*, 1996, 77, 3865-3868.
- 5. P. Hay and W. Wadt, The Journal of Chemical Physics, 1985, 82, 299-310.
- 6. P. Hay and W. Wadt, The Journal of Chemical Physics, 1985, 82, 270-283.
- 7. R. Dennington, T. Keith and J. Millam, Semichem Inc., Shawnee Mission, KS, 2009.

8. T. Lu and F. Chen, Journal of computational chemistry, 2012, 33, 580-592.

9. P. Ros and G. Schuit, Theoretica Chimica Acta, 1966, 4, 1-12.