# **Room Temperature Aqueous**

# Synthesis of UiO-66 Derivatives via

## **Postsynthetic Exchange**

Mark Kalaj, Kathleen E. Prosser, and Seth M. Cohen\*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, United States

## **SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

#### Materials Synthesis

All solvents and starting materials were purchased from chemical suppliers and used without further purification (Sigma Aldrich, Alfa Aesar, EMD, and TCI).

### **MOF Syntheses**

**UiO-66-F4.** This MOF was synthesized using a previously reported method (*CrystEngComm* **2019**, *21*, 2409-2415). In a 100 mL Erlenmeyer flask, zirconium (IV) oxynitrate hydrate (ZrO(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>•xH<sub>2</sub>O) (1.62 g, 7.02 mmol) was dissolved in 36 mL of DI H<sub>2</sub>O via sonication. In a separate 100 mL Erlenmeyer flask, tetrafluoroterephthalic acid (1.08 g, 4.54 mmol) was dissolved in 36 mL of DI H<sub>2</sub>O via sonication. The two solutions were mixed together and stirred for 40 h at room temperature. The crystalline powder was collected by centrifugation (8500 rpm for 10 min). The crystalline powder was washed  $3\times40$  mL of DI H<sub>2</sub>O and subsequently with  $2\times40$  mL of ethanol and immersed in acetone for 2 d. Fresh acetone was exchanged every 24 h. The powder was isolated and dried in a vacuum oven at room temperature overnight.

**UiO-66 PSE Synthesis.** Terephthalic acid (1.65 g, 9.93 mmol) was dissolved in 50 mL of 4% KOH solution (0.2 mM). The solution was then neutralized to pH = 7 using 1M HCl. 4 mL (0.8 mmol) of terephthalic acid solution was added to 256 mg (0.8 mmol ligand) of UiO-66-F<sub>4</sub>. The mixture was sonicated for 5 min and allowed to sit at room temperature for 2 h. The crystalline powder was collected by centrifugation (8000 rpm for 10 min). The solids were then washed  $3\times 20$  mL of H<sub>2</sub>O and  $3\times 20$  mL of methanol. After washing the solids were immersed in methanol for 3 d, with fresh methanol being exchanged every

24 h.

**UiO-66-NH<sub>2</sub> PSE Synthesis.** 2-Aminoterephthalic acid (1.80 g, 9.93 mmol) was dissolved in 50 mL of 4% KOH solution (0.2 mM). The solution was then neutralized to pH = 7 using 1M HCl. 4 mL (0.8 mmol) of 2-aminoterephthalic acid solution was added to 256 mg (0.8 mmol ligand) of UiO-66-F<sub>4</sub>. The mixture was sonicated for 5 min and allowed to sit at room temperature for 2 h. The crystalline powder was collected by centrifugation (8000 rpm for 10 min). The solids were then washed  $3\times20$  mL of H<sub>2</sub>O and  $3\times20$  mL of methanol. After washing the solids were immersed in methanol for 3 d, with fresh methanol being exchanged every 24 h.

**UiO-66-Br PSE Synthesis.** 2-Bromoterephthalic acid (2.43 g, 9.93 mmol) was dissolved in 50mL of 4% KOH solution (0.2 mmol). The solution was then neutralized to pH = 7using 1M HCl. 4 mL (0.8 mmol) of 2-bromoterephthalic acid solution was added to 256 mg (0.8 mmol ligand) of UiO-66-F<sub>4</sub>. The mixture was sonicated for 5 min and allowed to sit at room temperature for 2 h. The crystalline powder was collected by centrifugation (8000 rpm for 10 min). The solids were then washed 3×20 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O and 3×20 mL of methanol. After washing the solids were immersed in methanol for 3 d, with fresh methanol being exchanged every 24 h.

UiO-66-I PSE Synthesis. 2-Iodoterephthalic acid (2.90 g, 9.93 mmol) was dissolved in 50mL of 4% KOH solution (0.2 mM). The solution was then neutralized to pH = 7 using 1M HCl. 4 mL (0.8 mmol) of 2-iodoterephthalic acid solution was added to 256 mg (0.8

mmol ligand) of UiO-66-F<sub>4</sub>. The mixture was sonicated for 5 min and allowed to sit at room temperature for 2 h. The crystalline powder was collected by centrifugation (8000 rpm for 10 min). The solids were then washed  $3\times20$  mL of H<sub>2</sub>O and  $3\times20$  mL of methanol. After washing the solids were immersed in methanol for 3 d, with fresh methanol being exchanged every 24 h.

**UiO-66-Napth PSE Synthesis.** Naphthalene terephthalic acid (2.15 g, 9.93 mmol) was dissolved in 50mL of 4% KOH solution (0.2 mM). The solution was then neutralized to pH = 7 using 1M HCl. 4 mL (0.8 mmol) of naphthalene terephthalic acid solution was added to 256 mg (0.8 mmol ligand) of UiO-66-F<sub>4</sub>. The mixture was sonicated for 5 min and allowed to sit at room temperature for 2 h. The crystalline powder was collected by centrifugation (8000 rpm for 10 min). The solids were then washed 3×20 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O and 3×20 mL of methanol. After washing the solids were immersed in methanol for 3 d, with fresh methanol being exchanged every 24 h.

MOF	% F <sub>4</sub> -bdc <sup>2-</sup> linker after	% R-bdc <sup>2-</sup> linker after PSE
	PSE	
UiO-66-F <sub>4</sub>	100%	0%
UiO-66-PSE	7 ± 2%	93 ± 2%
UiO-66-NH <sub>2</sub> -PSE	4 ± 2%	96 ± 2%
UiO-66-Br-PSE	$10 \pm 2\%$	90 ± 2%
UiO-66-I-PSE	19 ± 2%	81 ± 2%
UiO-66-Napth-PSE	24 ± 2%	76 ± 2%

 Table S1. Ligand percentages of MOFs synthesized through postsynthetic exchange (PSE)
 of UiO-66-F4.

#### **Characterization Methods**

**Powder X-ray Diffraction (PXRD).** PXRD data was collected at room temperature on a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer running at 40 kV, 40 mA for Cu K $\alpha$  ( $\lambda$  = 1.5418 Å), with a scan speed of 0.5 sec/step, a step size of 0.01° in 2 $\theta$ , and a 2 $\theta$  range of 3-50° at room temperature.

**Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM).** MOFs were placed on conductive carbon tape on an aluminum sample holder and coated using an iridium-sputter coating for 8 s. A FEI Quanta FEG 250 microscope was used for acquiring images using a 5 kV energy source with a spot size of 3 under high vacuum at a working distance of 10 mm.

### Nuclear Magnetic Resonance.

NMR experiments were carried out on a JOEL ECA 500 MHz spectrometer equipped with a Jeol 2 channel inverse-detect <sup>1</sup>H/<sup>19</sup>F probe. MOFs were digested for NMR analysis by immersion of ~5-10 mg MOF in 500  $\mu$ L DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> with 5  $\mu$ L HF (48% in water). Samples were kept in this acidic solution at room temperature until the MOF was fully dissolved. In each NMR experiment was included a coaxial tube containing a reference sample of 40  $\mu$ mol of trifluorotoluene in 100  $\mu$ L deuterated DMSO. The parameters used for each NMR nuclei are included in Table S2.

Parameter	<sup>1</sup> H experiment	<sup>19</sup> F experiment	
Gain	36	50	
Sweep Center	5 ppm	-100 ppm	
Sweep Width	15 ppm	150 ppm	
X-pts	32768	262144	
Scan Number	128	128	
Acquisition time	4.367 s	3.713 s	
Acquisition delay	5 s	5 s	

**Table S2.** NMR parameters used to analyze digested MOF samples.

 $N_2$  Gas Sorption Analysis: Samples for analysis were evacuated in a vacuum oven overnight at room temperature prior to analysis. ~50 mg of sample was then transferred to pre-weighed sample tubes and degassed at 105 °C on a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 Adsorption Analyzer for a minimum of 12 h or until the outgas rate was <5 mmHg. After degassing, the sample tubes were re-weighed to obtain a consistent mass for the samples. Sorption data and BET surface area (m<sup>2</sup>/g) measurements were collected at 77 K with N<sub>2</sub> on a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 Adsorption Analyzer using volumetric technique.

**Catalysis Experiments.** In this study, DMNP hydrolysis was measured using a modified version of a previously reported procedure (*Chem. Commun.* **2018**, *54*, 5768-5771). All catalytic monitoring was carried out using a BioTek Synergy H4 plate reader using single wavelength absorbance mode. 20 and 40 mM of *N*-ethylmorpholine buffer was prepared from deionized water adjusted to pH = 8.0. A plot of absorbance of *p*-nitrophenol at varying concentrations was measured yielding a calibration curve with a slope of 3.48

Abs/mM (*Chem. Commun.* **2018**, *54*, 5768-5771). MOF samples were prepared by weighing 6 mg of MOF powder and diluting this powder in 10 mL of deionized water. These solutions were rigorously sonicated and vortexed (>3× of each) and diluted in half with 40 mM buffer solution yielding 300 µg/mL MOF in 20 mM buffer solution. Dimethyl *p*-nitrophenylphosphate (DMNP) hydrolysis assays with MOF powders were carried out in Olympus Plastics clear, flat-bottom 96-well plates. Each well was prepared with 100 µL total volume containing: 95 µL MOF suspension in buffer and 5 µL substrate (25 mM DMNP in MeOH; 1.25 mM total concentration; 0.125 µmol). Upon the addition of substrate using a multi-channel pipette, hydrolysis was monitored by the change in absorbance ( $\lambda_{max} = 407$  nm) over 15 min at 24 °C with 3 sec shaking of the plate every 10 sec. The absorbance was monitored from the 30 to 360 sec time period, as previously reported in (*Chem. Commun.* **2019**, *55*, 53481-3484).

 Table S3. Corrected hydrolysis calculation to account for increased mass of MOFs used

 in this study MOF materials.

MOF	Molar Mass	Mole ratio to	Experimental	Molar Mass
	of MOF	UiO-66	Hydrolysis	Corrected
	(g/mol)	Standard	Rate <sup>a</sup> (k,	Hydrolysis
			mM/sec)	Rate (k,
				mM/sec)
UiO-66-F4	2070	0.80	24.2	30.3
UiO-66-PSE	1662	1.00	50.6	50.6
UiO-66-NH <sub>2</sub> -	1752	0.94	76.9	81.8
PSE				

<sup>a</sup> 6 mg of MOF used in each experiment.

### **NMR Spectroscopy**

a) <sup>1</sup>H NMR



Figure S1. a) <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of digested UiO-66-F<sub>4</sub>. b) <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra of digested UiO-66-F<sub>4</sub>.



Figure S2. a) <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of digested UiO-66-NH<sub>2</sub>-PSE. b) <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra of

digested UiO-66-NH<sub>2</sub>-PSE.



Figure S3. a) <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of digested UiO-66-Br-PSE. b) <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra of

digested UiO-66-Br-PSE.



**Figure S4.** a) <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of digested UiO-66-I-PSE. b) <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra of digested UiO-66-I-PSE.





**Figure S5.** a) <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of digested UiO-66-Napth-PSE. b) <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra of digested UiO-66-Napth-PSE.

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)



Figure S6. SEM images of UiO-66-F<sub>4</sub>.



Figure S7. SEM images of UiO-66-PSE.



Figure S8. SEM images of UiO-66-NH<sub>2</sub>.



Figure S9. SEM images of UiO-66-Br-PSE.



Figure S10. SEM images of UiO-66-I-PSE.



Figure S11. SEM images of UiO-66-Napth-PSE.



**Figure S12.** *Top:* DMNP degradation reaction. *Bottom:* Rate of catalytic degradation of DMNP by MOFs measured by UV-visible adsorption (407 nm) at pH = 8 (corrected for differences in the molar mass of each MOF).